

# FIRST CONTACT.

**Gerry Zeitlin, from Open SETI gives an interview to 'Karmapolis' about the plausible origin of mankind.**



Gerry Zeitlin is a graduate of Cornell University (B.E.E. 1960) and the University of Colorado (M.S.E.E. 1969). He pursued further graduate studies in physics, astronomy, and astrophysics at the University of California, Berkeley. At Cornell, Mr. Zeitlin

performed studies of early feed designs for use at the Arecibo Observatory, then under development. His Master's Thesis at the University of Colorado was devoted to an analysis and modelling of propagation modes in the curved VLF earth-ionosphere waveguide. Zeitlin also conducted studies of seasonal patterns of world-wide VLF noise for Westinghouse Geo-research Laboratory in Boulder, Colorado. Mr. Zeitlin spent many years studying patterns of brainwave activity as an Assistant Research Computer Scientist at the University of California's Langley Porter Neuro-psychiatric Institute, San Francisco, and the highly regarded EEG Systems Laboratory. As a staff engineer with the University of California Space Sciences Laboratory, Berkeley, Zeitlin managed an early version of Project SERENDIP, collecting and analyzing SETI data at the Hat Creek Radio Observatory, and at Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Deep Space Network, Goldstone, California. He was awarded a NASA-ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship at the University of Santa Clara, supporting his contribution to the development of advanced methods of high-speed SETI analysis at NASA Ames Research Centre. He now devotes his energies to the Open SETI Initiative <http://openseti.org>.

In an interview on 25th February 2005 without cliché, this retired American scientist/engineer from the University of California, Berkeley, SETI program, follower of Taoism, enthusiast of the amazing and mysterious behaviour of the most elemental particles in physics, Gerry Zeitlin talks about extraterrestrial life, the SETI, the Myths and the ancients Gods, about Reptillians and the Disclosure



The 85-foot (aperture) radio telescope at the University of California's Hat Creek Observatory. Zeitlin collected SETI data using this facility and JPL's DSN-14 'Mars' 70 meter antenna at Goldstone, California.

Project. A non-classical point of view, a non-ideological one that opens doors rather than building definitive ideas. A challenge to the pure Darwinist (just a little fascinated by the Evolution of Man. To the great displeasure of the academic authorities and mainstream media, the kind of approach sustained by Gerry is a fascinating adventure that opens the doors to another conception of the plausible origins of Mankind, maybe extraterrestrial. *Open SETI* and *The End of Enchantment*, the two Web sites animated by Gerry and Malou Zeitlin look at other signals than the classical electronic signal from an unknown source that for which SETI scans days and nights. *Open SETI*, as its name seems to mean, enlarges the scope of SETI, examines signs and traces, artefacts from ancient civilisations, old scriptures and sacred texts from 1000 known religious traditions and other clues suggesting mankind and civilisation pop up suddenly from nowhere without progression and logic. Maybe those old texts and Myths could tell real stories with real people and meanings again, Myths are not only metaphor and allegory.

*Open SETI* is also a site where you can find some answers about energy, systems in physics and about the fact that Macrocosm could be a mirror of Microcosm. Galaxies could submit itself to the same kind of laws as the intimacy of matters, the small particles. So, if you would like to be involved in an unorthodox but scientific vision of life and exobiology, pay a visit to *Open SETI*, but first read the exclusive interview that Gerry Zeitlin gave to Karmapolis.

[http://www.karmapolis.be/pipeline/interview\\_zeitlin\\_uk](http://www.karmapolis.be/pipeline/interview_zeitlin_uk)

**Why did you create Open SETI and what is the purpose of it?**

My experience with Berkeley's SETI program was very satisfying. You could say "I knew too much" to be able to take the SETI paradigm seriously and eventually I decided to stop too compromising to continue with it. I left the field in 1991, I watched it from a distance for many years. In 1994 I wrote a paper, *The Opening of the Field*, describing why I thought SETI

was irrelevant to its own quest for contact and proposing ways to "open" its paradigm. I presented the paper at a conference. I decided to make a web page out of it - a small website. Two years later I broadened the scope of the website to include consciousness-experiments and experiential aspects, calling this *The End of Enchantment*.

Later I cut this into two web sites: *The End of Enchantment*, which became a joint project of Malou Zeitlin and me, and *Open SETI*, an expanded version of the original paper.

*Open SETI* finds that the interface between the original SETI premises and the unknown is expanded infinitely, like some strange Mandelbrot fractal. There are many reasons for that interface that SETI forbids even to think about, but which are a pure delight to explore. *But Open SETI is serious, not a flight of fancy.* I would hope that a true scientific engineer would be able to recognize and accept this.

Another very important departure of *Open SETI* is the way it treats myth. Simply put, *Open SETI* honours myths. Right from the introduction, *Open SETI* asserts that all human experience is mediated by myth, and western science is foolish to believe it has escaped this essential condition. *Open SETI* bids us to relax and allow our myth and many others to bring potential knowledge to us. Finally, *Open SETI* and *The End of Enchantment* are audacious [bold] enough to try to construct a myth, weaving elements that our scientific/technological society has ignored.

**Do you experiment personally some strange experiences like UFO sightings, abduction, etc. What were the consequences in your life and your work?**

I have nothing to offer you here. I am driven by a sense of *seeing clearly (or so it seems to me) and a need to explore and express what I see.*

**You didn't live through a personal experience with an alien, UFO, abduction or your relatives either. So, why are you so fascinated by this subject? As a scientist, you could always follow the standard "pattern" of the search of knowledge and don't be attracted by "ET hypothesis". So, what are your deep personal motivations?**

Each of us has an "essence nature" (I have just now coined this term for this interview) with a fragment of consciousness that has been extended, distracted, and to varying degrees 'trapped' by the physical world and its games. The "essence nature" includes its being, its knowledge, its powers, etc. It is really quite an incredible being from our everyday perspective. For reasons that are mysterious at this point, the "essence nature" provides a varying amount of itself, its knowledge, and its powers for the use of its incarnated consciousness fragment. I believe it remains for the consciousness fragment - what we call a person - to grasp what has been made available to it at any particular time, or not. And before it can be grasped, usually there needs to be a reminder of some kind *that will cause the person to take notice.*

For some people, a major life-changing event may be required to cause them to take notice of what has been offered to them. For others, it may be a dissatisfaction with the world as presented, which causes them to actively search. For others, there may be a piece of news or some other information that *is out of synch with the conventional view, but is simply recognized as noteworthy.* A parallel to this would be an event in a dream that reminds one that there is waking world that *contrasts greatly with the dreamed world.*

I was of the latter type, or maybe I was dissatisfied and searching. It didn't take much... just a story that was out of synch with the *common world* but that reminded me of something. The story came to me in the form of a book that I had somehow acquired when I was around 14 years old. It spoke of UFOs, and in particular, of the case of a military pilot named 'Mantell' who chased one while flying his Air Force jet. I don't recall much more than that. Just the sense that this could not be a mistake; this really seems to have happened and it means everything - EVERYTHING - must be different from what I had believed only a moment before. The story was completely inconsistent with the common world view, and yet I chose it instinctively as being consistent with the truth.

**Do you ever encounter scientists that have strange experience with E.T (abduction, UFO sightings and transcendental "revelation") working for SETI for instance? In case of yes, how did they evolve? What were their perceptions of the problem of E.T phenomenon?**

"Working for SETI..." You probably mean *The SETI Institute*. I have never been told of anyone in that organization having an

experience like that. However I can think of one or two individuals in the broader SETI community who have had this. It troubles them deeply because they cannot discuss it publicly, and yet it is the most important driver of their work.

**Would you mind if I ask you to describe more precisely what happened to them?**

One of them has attempted to live a double public life. He poses as a scientist who thinks the way other scientists do, while he also maintains a personal website, open to the public that goes into extremely intimate details about his experiences and how they disrupted his life and the lives of others who *were close to him.* Anyone who reads the website will realize that a person cannot have experiences like that and still think and operate the way a conventional scientist does. THAT realization can have an impact on the professional prospects of the person, one way or another, depending on what the person is attempting to do. Whether it HAS had that impact, I cannot say. I presume the person of whom I speak understands the danger and is proceeding with courage and his own kind of integrity.

*The second person has decided to conceal his experiences from public view, and to attempt to find professional opportunities that satisfy him on both sides of his life's experience. He would probably agree that this has been a difficult path.*

The general meaning to be drawn from the experiences of these two individuals is that "strange experiences" can present very great challenges for anyone trying to pursue a professional career in a world that does not officially acknowledge the reality of those experiences.

**Let start with this "hypothesis": some scientists and workers for SETI are well aware of the UFO phenomenon and really believe that the US government is in "physical" and tangible contact with E.T, E.B.E and related technology. How can you explain the "schizophrenic" situation of the SETI institution which continues to pretend that we are still looking for a signal, an intelligent contact and which know the reality of the situation. Don't you think that this "hypothesis" is realistic? Do you know some scientists (who will remain anonymous) who agree with this hypothesis?**

The hypothesis is quite plausible, given what we know of our society's other institutions. In this model, a few scientists and workers at the top will have been briefed and there will be a program which they are following. Those not at the top, who do not know, would be moved by the force of personality of those who do know. This model would lead to the behaviour that we see.

What would be the motivation of those who hold the knowledge? It would either be 1) very black or 2) a misguided patriotism or maybe some sort of fantastic earth-human chauvinistic protectiveness. If 2), I can hardly imagine the convoluted rationale that they use. In another model, no such knowledge is held by anyone and they are operating in such a way as to maximize the possibility of keeping their organization viable and their salaries paid.

In another, they are blinded by the rules of their science and are trying to be good scientists and to be accepted as professionals by the scientific community. Which is correct? At one time, around 25 years ago, I personally knew some of today's SETI leaders. At that time they seemed to be operating according to the last and next-to-last principles mentioned above. Today anything is possible.

**Do you think like certain authors that Science is entangled in a web of manipulation, of slowing up of knowledge?**

Yes. I make some references to this situation throughout my website; including one to Halton Arp's essay *What Has Science Become? In the Journal of Scientific Exploration*. In fact, Halton Arp's career is a perfect example, as he has shown that presently-accepted cosmology is all wrong and can be maintained only by suppression of perfectly good data. The problem is that science requires funding and all funding sources have their agendas, which are based on acquisition of economic, military, or political power; not primarily the pursuit of knowledge for its own sake - although there is a measure of allowance, recognizing that pure knowledge today can lead to power tomorrow.

**Do you think that the SETI will voluntarily not change the way that they analyze the "useful" frequencies that they agree to check in case of an intelligent signal?**

Ideally, if "SETI" detects a signal that meets their simple criteria for "intelligence", and that is not obviously of earthly origin, they are supposed to focus more resources on that signal, looking for confirmation of the detection and increasing their ability to discern any details. In fact, it is already acknowledged that tentative detections must be checked with authorities against the possibility that they are of clandestine human origin.

I ask you, if it is then determined that these are signals of artificial nature and NOT of human origin, do you think the authorities would release the information into the public domain?

**Can you explain to our readers the concept of "Incrementalism" which looks to be quite important in your perception of the E.T phenomenon?**

Thank you for recognizing the importance of this key concept in *Open SETI*.

The principle is so simple and obvious, yet is not recognized by ANY scientists in the SETI community. It comes about because SETI confines itself to searches for evidence of "ET" activity that matches our own present-day or expected near-future capabilities. You see this all the time; when one of these SETI scientists proposes a new search methodology, he or she will without fail justify the proposal by showing that our own society would be capable of producing the evidence for which they would search.

The most obvious example is SETI's refusal to look for evidence of a local ET presence, because they have been persuaded that ET would not be able to come here from wherever they are. Now that has been modified to allow a little discussion of the value of searching for robotic probes because we know how we would build those.

As our knowledge and technology improves incrementally, then the range of search modes that SETI is willing to discuss also expands by the same increment. It is as though we are willing only to search for our technological mirror image.

**Like Nigel Kerner (some of our readers are familiar with his theory), you seems to give a certain importance to ancient sacred text. Can you give us some ancient texts that looks important to explain the origin of man and how those old scriptures can be correlated to last scientific or archaeological finding?**

We are still waiting for our copies of Kerner's book to arrive, so we are not yet familiar with the texts he uses in his research. I have not read any of the original ancient texts, although *The Book of Enoch* and *The Popul Vuh* are at least on my list of materials to study, and of course I acknowledge the Vedas, the *Nag Hammadi* texts, and other scriptures from diverse cultures around the world. However, in terms of derivative works, I might mention that *The Shining Ones* (Christian and Barbara



Joy O'Brien), which I am reading now. I have extensively consulted several little-known texts, including *Kharsag Epics No. 1-9* (taken from Sumerian tablet: *The Atrahasis Epic* story from nineteenth century B.C. Mesopotamia that includes both creation and a flood account), the *Ask Codex* (a collection of ancient Coptic documents), and Bruce Cox (another Coptic collection).

As to how I correlate these latest archaeological findings, I can suggest the obvious use of them as a suggestive guide in planning research to compare findings with the contemplation

of meaning of discrepancies, and so forth. In other words, we consider that whoever wrote them may have been recording actual human experiences.

**You don't seem to agree with one point of the theory of Nigel Kerner and Michael Cremo about the devolution concept: the fact that we are in world of entropy, of more and more chaos? Can you explain how and why you do agree with this? Can you explain to us who La Violette and why you agree with him?**

Yes, entropy perpetually increases in a closed system by system that we take as our physical world is not closed is a very important part of the world view of Paul LaViolette whose work is highlighted and reviewed on several *Open* pages. Readers can use the website's search facility to find the key passages. Briefly, LaViolette is an astrophysicist whose work is not in the mainstream but has turned some important heads. I used the quotes because he earned his Ph.D. in systems theory, although he has published in the field of astrophysics, and has had access to the Very Large Telescope etc. His several books comprise an elegant "theory of everything", beginning with the dynamics of the sub-quantum domain, showing how this generates subatomic particles, physical fields, including gravitational fields, and observed characteristics and behaviours, building all this up to vast cosmological systems, not failing to bring in the mythological systems along the way. LaViolette accomplishes all this with just a very few equations describing sub-quantum dynamics. That's it! the result is breathtaking.

Now, for the purposes of answering your question, I'll just say that for LaViolette, energy isn't just "there", arising out of mythical big bang and running down ever since. It comes out of the sub-quantum domain constantly in accordance with specific principles and conditions. The important condition is the local gravitational density; greater it is, the more energy "comes out". This "genetic" is incredibly important for understanding how astro-

objects work. Important for us, here and now, because, living in a galaxy as we do, we are subject to what LaViolette describes as "Galactic Super waves" - periodic galactic core explosions whose shock waves have in the past and will continue to rampage through this galaxy and all others.

Out of his theory, LaViolette lists numerous predictions, which have been being fulfilled one by one ever since he originally published. Many more have yet to be tested! You are probably waiting for me to say whether or not I think species can "evolve" upwardly in such a system without the help of an outside agency. My answer to that has perhaps not so much to do with the Influx of genic energy as rather with the programming in DNA, and this programming represents information/energy from an unexpected and unknown source. This is a separate issue. We do have DNA; it does have an incredible program in it; various other races have tinkered with it. I believe they too have DNA-based genetics. So what do we have here? Perhaps you can tell me. :-)

**What do you think of the Disclosure Project of Dr Greer? Jean-Pierre Petit, a French astrophysical scientist thinks that Disclosure project is a trap set by Intelligence agency to track the military and scientist who wanted to tell the true, that it is in fact disinformation?**

I have always thought that the Disclosure Project has been based on naive expectations. Disclosure cannot be forced, those who hold the information are far too powerful to be pushed into doing what they do not wish to do, or saying what they do not wish to say. And the media will always protect them.

Therefore, whether or not it is a deliberate trap is not a significant distinction. Whistle-blowers will not achieve what they desire, but they will expose themselves. In the process, they may entertain a few spectators.

**What do you think about the Reptilian thesis defended by people like David Icke, Branton, etc. who believed that all our civilization, our world and our religions are manipulated by a race of ET called the reptilian (Identified as the Anunnaki) and that all the demonic activities recorded in the history of religions are in fact those Reptilian-short Greys aliens? Do you agree with Jacques Vallee or even Nigel Kerner when he sees the E.T as non physical entities, some kind of "Godhead" trapped in a devolutional process? Or with the thesis that those entities come from earth, inner earth (hollow earth theory, underground civilization, bases and tunnel)?**

There are many questions within this question! People like David Icke come from an ordinary style of consciousness to paint a very spooky picture. On my own pages both in *Open SETI* and *The End of Enchantment* I try to handle this in my own way. Yes, we have a serious problem.

Regardless of physical heritage, of which I am not certain, I do think we have been under the direct influence of "controllers". Countering this is our own higher aspect, which is supreme, but which leaves our incarnated part to deal and grow, or not, according to its own predilection and prowess. There is a game aspect to all of this. Yes to the concept of "Godhead" trapped. And yes to something very important going on beneath our feet. I can't be too specific; I just do not have the information.

**Do you agree like John E. Mack that the alien phenomenon is a good thing for us, that they are in fact benevolent?**

I don't support that. "Benevolence", however, can be found on a higher level, from which the game emerged. My conviction on that point makes it possible for me to sleep at night and to

enjoy my days.

**Do you agree with some theories (like Kerner) that our evolution process could be explain only by an Alien and intelligent outside manipulation (the presence of Junk DNA is still a mystery)? And that the Darwinian voluntarily misleads us?**

Again, sadly, Kerner's book has not arrived! But DNA developed somehow. We and others may learn to "improve" it. But the DNA itself... the question is whether or not it could have evolved out of simple molecules given the entire universe as an ensemble of possibilities, and endless time (not just a few billion years as currently believed). I guess I am not ready to answer that question!

**Did you ever heard about the twelfth planet hypothesis from Sitchin? The fact that a planet that can sustain life don't need to be close to the Sun and that a planet with light elements (not heavy like metals etc.) with water and a capacity to self produce her own energy (radioactive, some kind of inner light) can sustain life. The so called twelfth planet is in this case and brings the elements of life on earth. Earth at the beginning was not a good candidate to produce life because to much heavy elements. Do you think that this conception is scientifically possible even if it is unorthodox?**

I have read most of Sitchin's books. They provide much information that has been neglected by modern society. But they do not provide all of the essential aspects of the picture he paints, and they have other "downsides" which we could address if you wish. One of the gaps relates to your question: How did the "Anunnaki" happen to be living on this planet "Nibiru"? Did they "evolve" there (assuming humans and humanoids "evolve", which is an assumption I do not make), or did they arrive from somewhere else?

If they arrived from somewhere else, and did not simply originate and evolve on Nibiru, then the characteristics of Nibiru are not so important, are they? This comes under the general heading of what I call "colonization", a consideration that

has been utterly missed by the SETI community because they have been persuaded that interstellar colonization by living beings is utterly impossible. Thus they go on in a tiresome way about "good candidates for producing life" and "bad candidates". Aside from colonization by living beings, there is the interesting possibility of colonization and even environmental engineering by DNA itself. You will have to refer to my pages for more details about that.

**Why is the USA so concerned about ET search phenomenon, alien abduction, etc?**

I don't completely understand your premise. Do you mean the people of the USA or some open or black aspect of the government? Or some other "black" group not officially connected with the government? I will assume you mean the common levels of American society - the common people, and everyone else not a part of a black group. Then I have to ask, how concerned are they? Perhaps you mean they seem to be more concerned about these phenomena than the European or Belgian societies are. And that would require some sociological study to determine even if the premise is correct.

I would just say that the people are as concerned as somebody wants them to be. That "somebody" has a power structure that can control media presentations. The people follow like sheep. Therefore, somebody wants the society to have exactly the concern that it does have. And why does somebody want this? My answer is perhaps supremely paranoid: they want it because it will assist them in their consolidation of power.

How might it so assist? It could be a deflection or distraction designed to handle people's noticing that something is "broken" in the common world view. Or it could be a step toward a major operation of some kind.

**Have you been ostracised or victimised by your colleagues because of your position and your conception in physics and metaphysics?**

Never. For most of my life I was able to "compartmentalize" my thinking and discourse. But this was not satisfying, as you can imagine. After I began to develop Open SETI, I found that my colleagues not only could not grasp what it was, but were not even interested. I do know that one or two of them would occasionally browse my pages, but this would be their private experience and we never discussed it.

**Do you believe that one day, in a very close future, we will have a clear and full disclosure about the subject of UFO and Aliens?**

Disclosure? No. An event promulgated by aliens themselves. They have not done anything like that since the "gods" left several thousand years ago. Who can tell whether or when they will do it again.

*FSR Commentary: Gerry Zeitlin is most courageous and outspoken and speaks from an eminent highly qualified perspective. This interview revealed the paradoxical situation where his two colleagues; both SETI scientists must hide their own personal encounters with all intelligences in order to maintain the status quo and protect their budgets and their careers. How many other researchers active among SETI may also be holding the silence on their own encounters and that of their friends family? Zeitlin goes further in stating that he does not believe SETI will be allowed to reveal any new evident proof of anomalous signal data to the public.*

## Scientist Proposes Telepathic Communications in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence

By Michael E. Salla, PhD Copyright © Michael E. Salla May 5, 2007 Exopolitics Comment #48 [www.exopolitics.org](http://www.exopolitics.org)

A recently released scientific study, "A New Empirical Approach to the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence," proposes a series of experiments for testing the feasibility of communications with extraterrestrials through a form of telepathy termed "Controlled Superluminal Communications". First published on the prestigious physics database at Cornell University and available on the Los Alamos National Laboratory database, the study's author, Fred Thaheld, suggests that extraterrestrial communications may be located within a specific frequency band in the human brain (0.1 - 70 Hz) that can be easily monitored using EEG machines. Thaheld, a quantum physicist and author of a number of widely cited physics papers, proposes that "quantum entanglement, what Albert Einstein described as "spooky action at a distance," makes possible a direct measurable influence between living organisms. He proposes that all life is connected at the quantum level despite the great macroscopic distances separating biological organisms. Thaheld argues that the quantum influence occurring between all biological life, can be directly measured in human brain waves that can host instantaneous communications from extraterrestrials anywhere in the universe.

Thaheld argues that the Big Bang, the hypothesized starting point for the universe 14 billion years ago, created the conditions for quantum entanglement to exist throughout the universe. This is due to the observation by Erwin Schrödinger, a Nobel Prize winning physicist, that a pre-condition for quantum entanglement is previous contact between objects: "When two systems ... enter into temporary physical interaction due to known forces between them ... they can no longer be described in the same manner as before ... by the interaction the two representatives (or wave functions) have become entangled" (p. 18). Since Big Bang theorists argue that the universe began as a concentrated point of energy, then according to Thaheld, the necessary condition exists for quantum entanglement to exist for all physical particles in the universe. He argues that while quantum entanglement between non-living organisms demonstrates a 'wave collapse' making superluminal (greater than light speed) communication impossible, this does not occur for living

organisms. He proposes that living organisms separated great distances possess "a massive number of living entangled particles at the macroscopic level (p. 21).

Thaheld argues that the form of communication made possible by quantum entanglement and "non-local effects (widely separated systems that appear independent but in fact effect each other) of biological systems is greatly superior empirical methods currently used by SETI researchers. S monitors radio frequency bands for possible extraterrestrial communications. Radio waves travel at the speed of light making this form of communications for macroscopic distances unlikely for advanced extraterrestrial civilization extraterrestrials did use radio waves for communication across macroscopic distances, millennia could elapse before transmitted communications are received

by radio receivers on Earth from more distant parts of galaxy. This makes two way communications impractical highly unlikely to be attempted by all but less developed extraterrestrial intelligences that have only reached the initial stages of radio communications, and are relatively close to solar system. Therefore, another form of communication most likely the preferred method used by advanced extraterrestrial intelligences that are widely dispersed throughout the galaxy and universe. Advanced extraterrestrials would understand the principle of quantum entanglement existing between biological life forms thereby making possible instantaneous influences no matter the distances separating them. To help substantiate his arguments, Thaheld refers to a series of laboratory studies conducted over several decades that demonstrate quantum entanglement and non-local influences between neural stem cells and human subjects separated by significant distances. He argues that quantum physics pioneers first observed non-local effects with inanimate particles, and never considered that non-local effects would also occur with biological organisms. The claims in his study that "their reasoning appears to have been incorrect."

He points to a long series of experiments verifying the local effects of biological systems. For example, he cites scientists at the University of Freiburg who conclude

biophysical mechanism is presently known that could be responsible for the observed correlations between EEGs of two separated subjects." Similarly, scientists at the University of Milan conclude that "experimental data seem to strongly suggest that biological systems present non-local properties not explainable by classical modes."

Significantly, Thaheld argues that individuals may be bombarded daily by extraterrestrial communications but filter this out: "most of the non-local communications is probably lost within the normal brain wave or EEG noise, otherwise we would be incapable of functioning normally and would overload when confronted with such an influx of data. He proposes a series of experiments involving human subjects to test for possible extraterrestrial communications occurring in the subjects' brain which occurs without their awareness.

In conclusion, the implications of Thaheld's study are enormous for those advocating empirical methods for the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence. His study suggests individuals can receive within their brains, frequencies that are very likely used by extraterrestrials for communication purposes. While most individuals filter these out in order to function normally, it is very possible to access and interpret these brain frequencies. This makes it feasible for individuals

to be trained to access these frequency bands in ways that makes communication with extraterrestrial intelligences possible. This would enable the development of a scientific protocol for "Controlled Superluminal Communications." There is also the possibility that such ability may develop spontaneously as claimed by a growing number of individuals who claim to be in regular telepathic communication with extraterrestrials. Telepathy is widely disparaged by researchers in the SETI community and ridiculed by scientists and society in general. Thaheld's study suggests the inadvisability of such a dismissive attitude due to the quantum entanglement that exists between biological organisms throughout the universe and the non-local influences that are occurring. The scientific data and theory presented by Thaheld points to one or more forms of telepathy as a feasible form of communication. Most importantly, Thaheld opens the door to a hitherto unrecognized form of communication with advanced extraterrestrial intelligence being tested and developed through appropriate empirical methods and scientific protocols. [Note: Fred Thaheld's study "A New Empirical Approach to the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence: Astro-biological non-locality at the Cosmological Level," is available online at:

<http://xxx.lanl.gov/ftp/physics/papers/0608/0608285.pdf>

## The only language of choice.

### A review of the ideas of the Late Walter Buhler on alien to human telepathic communication.



Brazilian lawyer  
Joril Feydit Vieira

The following thought provoking quote was described by a Brazilian lawyer who encountered a brightly lit object intent on repeatedly signalling to him on the road to Miguel Pereira in the state of Rio de Janeiro on the night of August 3rd 1967:

At one time I had the intuitive feeling that someone was trying to communicate with us, the same thing happened to the driver. I felt an intense headache localised between my eyes, and a thought which was not mine asked "How will this vision before you be received?" I don't quite know how to explain the sensation, but I am certain that I was

not asked the question in words. Perhaps it was a telepathic contact? As if to get away from the thought or perhaps to respond to the question, I said to the driver that I believed in the existence of beings from other planets because, by logic, if there are planets older than ours, then it would be quite probable that other forms of life exist on them. And that if by chance a flying saucer appeared, I should, provided that my physical well being was respected, like to get a better look at it, especially from the side view, but that I didn't know whether I would have the courage to meet one face to

face. In fact I said I didn't know what my reactions would be.

Again the driver flicked his headlamps at the UFO and it responded by flashing back yellow and blue lights, at the end of a 40 minute long pursuit by a UFO, what seemed to be a 10 metre wide blue dome touched down, floating one metre above ground. Pereira curled up in his seat and began praying, by his fourth Lord's Prayer the object blinked out.

**Commentary by FSR:** What is apparent in this case, is the telepathic invitation which led to an open approach by a 'curious' UFO craft was halted by fear. This was not the usual case of alien abduction or kidnap but the curious prospect of a voluntary meeting with another sentient humanoid species. Is the human consent to be open to their invitation all important; a conscious or sub-conscious mental brainwave or observed behaviour, is this kind of person who says mentally 'yes' the kind they choose to telepathically link with?

The most commonly reported experiences of alien communication are the many incidents of telepathy associated with encounters, which seems to have been the chosen medium of contact from the very beginning. Perhaps the flashes of telepathy input the necessary new centres of meaning into our consciousness, upgrading the human hardware needed to bridge the development gap between us and them. Telepathy often seems to leave latent effects that may indicate facilitated growth of new connections in our brains. For example, after an encounter at the North London Suburb of Wood Green on August 15th 1966, a witness; Mr Palmer is described in his case notes by Gordon Creighton in the following way: "Mr Palmer firmly maintains that his experience has had some kind of permanent effect upon him and has changed his personality. He seems to feel that there has been an enlargement of his consciousness."

However one should bear in mind that a number of the stronger telepathic contacts resulted in partial destruction of the core personality of the witness leading to mental aberrations and personality disorders. Here are two cases that give us insight into the lives of people who have been subjected to strong telepathic contact by alien beings.

