

occurred in northwestern Ontario several years ago, while standing near a lake. He said that he observed "the same thing" as he had seen at Falcon Lake, though at a much greater distance from him, flying through the sky.

Are "they" keeping an eye on him? Or is Michalak simply one of those people who is now encouraged to look skyward for unusual things?

The mystery continues.

* * * * *

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due to Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Michalak, without whom this essay could not have been written.

I also wish to thank the following individuals for their assistance and encouragement: Dr. Norm Davison; Ms. Bonnie Lindstrom; Dr. Berthold; E. Schwarz; Dr. Sunil K. Sen and Mr. Vladimir Simosko.

Finally, a word of thanks to my typist, Ms. Charlotte Katz.

Notes and References

24. *Winnipeg Free Press*. Jan. 17, 1968. [Refers to item in Part 2 of article — EDITOR]
25. Letter on record from Mayo Clinic, dated 1 April, 1969.

26. Letter on record from Mayo Clinic, dated 13 January, 1970.
27. *Winnipeg Tribune*. May 22, 1967, "I was Burned by UFO" — City Man."
28. Michalak, p. 25.
29. This statement is curious, as Cannon records Bissky as saying, "there are certain facts, such as Michalak's illness and burns and the very evident circle remaining at the site, which are unexplainable." in *Canadian UFO Report* V.1, No. 4 July-Aug., 1969, p. 26.
30. National Research Council, *Non-Meteoritic Sightings* File, DND 222.
31. *Ibid.*
32. *CAPRO Bulletin*. V. 2, No. 2 Jan.-Feb., 1969, p. 5.
33. *Winnipeg Tribune*. 29 June, 1967.
34. Michalak, p.3
35. *Winnipeg Tribune*. 11 Nov., 1967.
36. Undated clipping in UFOROM files.
37. *Winnipeg Tribune*, 14 Oct., 1968.
38. *House of Commons Debates*, 6 Feb., 1969, Ottawa, The Queen's Printer, p. 5236.
39. Bondarchuk, p. 44.
40. Michalak, pp. 20-21.
41. Gillmor, p. 323.
42. *Ibid.*, p. 324.
43. Personal communication to author, 1980.
44. Bondarchuk, p. 43.
45. Cannon, p. 24.
46. Keel, John A. *The Mothman Prophecies* Saturday Review Press, NY., 1975, p. 169.
47. Bondarchuk, pp. 44-45.

CLASSIFICATION OF LEVELS OF HUMANOID INTELLIGENCE

Ahmad Jamaludin

OVER the years humanoid behaviour has been reported to be largely absurd and sometimes childish in nature. These observations therefore raise an important question — how intelligent are these entities? The excellent mode of propulsion of the UFOs, and their leaps from their points of origin into our physical reality seem to place them many steps ahead of us. But the behaviour exhibited by the entities seems to demonstrate the presence of different levels of intelligence amongst them.

From the hundreds of close contact reports with these entities, where either a one or two-day communication has taken place, we are able to classify their intelligence into different levels, as set out below. These levels of intelligence are based on how they approach human witnesses in trying to convey their message. This classification does not include Adamski-type contact. An entity which is intelligent will find a better way of getting the message to the

percipient when compared to the one who is less intelligent. Based on these, we have therefore come to the conclusion that the levels of intelligence of the UFO occupants can be classed in five different levels. We present this classification, below, with specific examples.

Level 1 — Entities possess telepathic powers. A two-way communication between entities and percipient is possible even though percipient does not have telepathic ability.

November 1957, Aston, England: "...she realised that questions which were racing through her mind were being answered mentally."¹

February 1977, Concord CA, USA: "...suddenly he was in the ship. He asked what was happening and telepathically he was told the aliens were on a mission to study life habits on Earth."²

Level 2 — Entities do not possess telepathic ability, but have knowledge of, and can speak the languages of Earth.

Spring 1964, Tioga City, NY, USA: "...one of the 'men' commenced to talk. His English was very smooth and effortless."³

August 1953, Ciudad Valley, Mexico: "...one 'man' spoke good Spanish, but in a peculiar manner, 'stringing the words together' in a strange accent while the other evidently understood it but did not speak."⁴

Level 3 — Entities use instruments to aid them to converse with the percipient. They probably do not possess telepathic powers. They have the knowledge of the languages of the Earth but cannot speak them.

April 1962, Sicily, Italy: "...they' addressed him in Italian, but it was not by means of natural voice organs, but by means of an artificial, metallic-sounding voice, like a tape-recorder in its effect".⁵

December 1978, Fronteira, Brazil: "...one of them went inside the machine and came out with a black box... from then on I was able to understand perfectly what they were saying to me."⁶

Level 4 — Entities do not know the languages of Earth. They have no telepathic ability, and no instruments to help them converse with the percipient. Therefore these entities use only gestures or sign language.

February 1954, Lossiemouth, Scotland: "...according to the witness he and the 'man' contrived to converse by signs."⁷

Level 5 — The lowest level of intelligence of the UFO entities. Entities in this level have no telepathic ability, have no knowledge on the languages of the Earth, and no instruments for communication with percipient. They do not attempt to converse by signs, but instead speak in their own language.

November 1957, Meridian MS, USA: "...three 'men' came out of the object. They seemed friendly and wanted to talk but he could not understand their chattering."⁸

October 1954, Choleix, France: "...Two individuals

emerged from the craft and spoke to the witness in a language he could not understand."⁹

An ethologist cannot understand animal behaviour if he lumps all types of animals together and expects them to behave in the same manner. The same applies to the understanding of humanoid behaviour. This classification may pave the way to understand them by grouping them into different levels of intelligence.

For researchers who are engaged in the study of abduction cases an important observation emerges, namely that some abduction claims involve loss of memory of the events, while other abductees remember the whole of the event. Based on this classification we see that if the percipient is abducted by the entities of Levels 1 or 2 he, or she, will experience a memory loss of the event, which may only be retrieved under hypnosis (Hills, 1961; Schirmer 1967; Peter and Frances 1974; Higdon 1974). If the abduction is conducted by entities of Levels 4 or 5 there is usually no loss of memory (A.V.B 1957; da Silva 1969).

References

1. Bowen, C: "Few and Far Between" in *The Humanoids*, Futura Publications Ltd., (1977) pp. 17-18.
2. Ibid. pp. 14-15.
3. Lorenzen, C: "UFO Occupants in the United States" in *The Humanoids*, Futura Publications Ltd., (1977) p. 163.
4. Creighton, G: "The Humanoids in Latin America" in *The Humanoids*, Case No. 4.
5. Creighton, G: Translator's note in "Conversation with Entities at Marimbonda," FSR 25, 3. p. 19.
6. Buhler, W: "Conversation with Entities at Marimbonda," FSR 25, 3. pp. 18-19.
7. Bowen, C: op cit. pp. 14-15.
8. Lorenzen, C: op cit. p. 155.
9. Vallée, J: "The Pattern Behind the UFO Landings" in *The Humanoids*, case No. 52.

(The foregoing item was submitted to FSR by way of UFOIN, and a comment was added by Jenny Randles).

An interesting and useful idea, certainly worthy of

Don't forget to tell your friends about

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW

We need all the new subscriptions we can muster at this time

follow-up by researchers. I would like to make two points:—

- 1) Is it *less* intelligent to use technology to translate languages than it is to learn that language (and presumably several others if contacts are global)? I suspect levels one and two might readily transpose.
- 2) The fact that repressed memories seem to refer to entities who either communicate telepathically, or in

the language of the contactee, does *not* prove that these repressed memories are deliberately instilled by an advanced intelligence. If these memories were subconscious fantasies the same elements would probably emerge. Telepathy, because the fantasy is a mental product and is “in the mind,” and normal language because an Englishman “thinks” in English, a Frenchman in French and so on.

A WARM AND PEACEFUL EXPERIENCE

(Continued from page 16)

she has an interest in Buddhism. She shares what could be termed a “telepathic link” with her five-year-old niece, who can often pick up her thoughts and achieves a high success rate with zener cards, and who also has seen a figure —unseen by Mrs. Findlay) in her kitchen. All in all, a good background of psychic experiences, and when it was suggested to Mrs. Findlay that her UFO encounter could have been an extension of her psychic ability, she felt inclined to agree.

Conclusion

The remarkable “unreality” of the surroundings at the time of the encounter does make one wonder whether the incident had any objective reality at all, or whether Mrs. Findlay was temporarily transported into an altered state of consciousness, this making the observation possible for her. Maybe a person already in possession of a measure of psychic ability makes this operation easier. Whatever the truth of the matter, it does seem that an alternative reality, a subjective state of mind, could be the place to look for UFOs.

MAIL BAG

Correspondence is invited from our readers, but they are asked to keep their letters short. Unless letters give the sender's fullname and address (not necessarily for publication) they cannot be considered. The Editor would like to remind correspondents that it is not always possible to acknowledge every letter personally, so he takes this opportunity of thanking all who write to him.

A reply to Mr. Kaneko

Dear Editor, — Several statements in Julian H. Kaneko's letter (Vol. 26 No. 5) call for an answer, since he is expounding on subjects about which his information is incomplete.

He states that the CIA is not concealing any knowledge about UFOs. As a matter of documented record, the CIA became involved in investigation of UFOs up to its eartips before 1953, and in 1953 was responsible for establishing the US official policy of denial and ridicule of the subject. That the CIA's motives in 1953 might have been soundly (though indirectly) based on security reasons is beside the point. Those 1953 reasons are no longer valid.

In the 1960s, the CIA was still heavily and secretly involved. I have personal knowledge from a confidential, but totally reliable, source that several dozen detailed photos of UFOs in flight were in AF files by that time. Those pictures have never been made public. They were analyzed by classified enhancement equipment and in

the opinion of the scientists who performed the work, the objects were aircraft of an unfamiliar design and from an unknown source. I regret I'm unable at this time to publish full details on this, but until such time as an American president grants immunity, my source must remain silent.

Mr. Kaneko states unequivocally that Marian apparitions and UFOs are caused by one and the same “Immaterial Entity.” He forgets that many, if not most, close encounters with UFO entities cause psychological and physical damage so severe, at times, as to be irreparable. The benevolent appearances of genuine Marian apparitions have yielded only beneficial results, after the initial ridicule and disbelief toward the percipients has ended.

If the Vatican suspects that *some* UFO phenomena and religious apparitions are linked, it remains silent not because it fears a death blow to Catholic/Christian dogma, but because, like the rest of mankind, it simply does not know enough about UFOs to make any kind of public pro-

nouncement. My inquiries to various Catholic priests have resulted in disinterested shrugs, raised eyebrows, or at best a logical statement that UFOs are still on the borderline of human knowledge. Only rarely have I found a priest/intellectual who admits that the Church should be paying the question of parapsychological phenomena, including UFOs, the attention they deserve. One such source is of the opinion that the next generation of clerics will probably do this.

The Church never fears Truth about anything. If UFOs are part of creation, and they logically *have* to be, then knowledge about them will simply expand our knowledge about God. This is the aim of *all* true religions, since “religion” can be defined as man's relationship with his Creator.

Mr. Kaneko credits the “Paraphysical Intelligence” (God) with a need for amusing itself by setting up human-UFO interaction. The Christian God is infinite. He has no need for amusement. Amusement denotes that one is bored and needs to be freed from boredom. God, living out-