

The Soviet rulers, being extremely smart folk, who have got their priorities straight, and who have never taken their eyes off the ball since 1917, have taken care, since their humiliating climb-down in Cuba, "to be the fustest with the mostest" in nuclear weaponry, and in every other line of weapon too. Addressing the leaders of the Warsaw Pact in a secret session in 1973, Brezhnev said that "by 1985, we shall be in a position to impose our will, not only in Western Europe, but anywhere on the globe." (This sort of output of arms is quite feasible if you keep your mind unwaveringly on the goal, have no changes of government and, particularly, if you have no strikes, in a country where the standard of living is nice and low, the pay-packet is nice and thin, and where you therefore "get such a much bigger bang for your money" than you would in a capitalistic society like America, where pay-packets are so fat).

Of course, it would be foolish indeed to think that this means that anyone in Russia *wants* a war! *Of course they don't!* So the Red agents who keep writing letters to the British papers telling us this are 100% right. *Russia does not want a nuclear war. It is the one thing she fears! For it is double-edged and it is messy.*

Nor do they desire any other sort of war if the victory can be won without it. So, as they see it, the right course is to get our people to disarm themselves — and then, Comrades, the road ahead is open!

And unquestionably the clear-headed gentlemen who have been sitting for so long in the Politbureau are completely right and logical in their thinking.

However, (and this is where — at last — "our subject", the UFOs — comes into the picture) a few wiser folk here and there among us are beginning to perceive that *there is now a Joker in the pack — a Joker whose existence was quite unsuspected until recently, though he may well have been here for a long time already.*

SOME SPECULATIONS ON "THE INFLUENCE"

D. J. Hampton

THERE was much food for thought in the Editorial to FSR Vol. 29, No. 3, which discussed the question of why such a remarkably small percentage of the world's population appears to be interested in the UFO phenomenon. As the Editor stated, apathy and fear of deviation from conventional patterns (fear of ridicule) could indeed be responsible in some instances. But, after sounding out many people on this matter, I have arrived at the following conclusions:—

1. Nearly everyone has an in-built fear of the unknown or of the incomprehensible, whether or

We have not the time or space to give details now, but we are working on them for a future article. Meanwhile, it will perhaps suffice at this stage if we remind readers that the evidence provided in the book CLEAR INTENT shows that there are non-human Intelligences now operating in this world who are seemingly quite capable of seeing that no such nuclear conflict occurs here, *and have already given massive proof of this capacity by interfering with nuclear war-heads of missiles.*

It would, no doubt, be fatuously optimistic to imagine that the marionettes of Greenham Common and elsewhere will now have the bat-sense to "pack it in" and go home to their neglected babies, but it is obvious that they are flogging a dead horse. *Because there isn't going to be a nuclear war.*

That does not mean, of course, that there won't be any sort of war, although the Russians certainly hope that, faced by their awesome power, the peoples of the West will opt for surrender and will clamour for governments willing to negotiate such surrender.

We may assume that while there are possibly several factions of the Aliens, those who have the whip-hand here are thinking of their own interests when they "spike our nuclear efforts", and that it is of little or no concern to them whether we continue with our conventional wars — indeed there is very good reason for thinking that they might positively welcome and encourage this, as they have probably done in the past.

To judge by the way in which they seem to have treated a lot of their human victims, it would not be surprising if it turned out that they would rather see our world in the cruel grip of an Imperial, Fascist Power. In that case, the USSR might very likely be a favoured candidate.

not the individual is aware of this at the conscious level.

2. This fear results in the automatic rejection of the subject of UFOs, and causes the acceptance of "explanations" *that are far more ludicrous than the UFO phenomenon itself.*
3. Some individuals have more fear than others, and make titanic efforts to push and propagate the "explanations" referred to under paragraph 2. If in the public eye, these individuals are promptly hauled in front of microphones or television cameras so that they can preach their ver-

sion of the Gospel, i.e. "Venus" (or any other convenient planet); "insects"; "Russian discus throwers"; "hailstones that have flattened out and glided" (at 1,200 m.p.h.); "sunlight reflected from Patrick Moore's head", etc., etc., etc.

4. *That 1, 2, and 3 are all organised by the Phenomenon itself, in order to prevent investigation and subsequent identification.*

I am also of the opinion that the irrational behaviour of the so-called "UFO occupants", and their daft, half-witted "messages", are expressly staged in order to deter serious scientists, always in fear of being booted out of the Establishment.

A further aspect of the phenomenon which interests me greatly is the microwave effect, and I enclose herewith an article from Vol. 68, No. 1 of a very important journal, the *Proceedings of the (American) Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineers (IEEE)*, which deals entirely with the biological effects on the human body as caused by EM (electromagnetic) radiation.¹

In past issues FSR has recorded numerous accounts of auditory effects on the hapless victims of close-encounters, i.e. for example truck-driver Dionisio Llanca² — not to mention the extremely important Fátima³ incident, and it seems there is a very strong possibility that the UFOs are generating pulsed microwave radiation at high power levels. I have good reason to suspect that the frequency of the radiated pulses is around 3000 MHz (S-Band). This frequency is dangerous to the human body, as the energy is mostly absorbed, creating internal heating as well as buzzing, chirping, and clicking noises. As can be seen from the enclosed IEEE article, the type of noise heard is a function of the radiated pulse width and pulse repetition frequency (PRF).

Since most UFOs seem to require a pulsed EM source, probably linked to a drive/control system, their operators must be cursing the day when humans invented the magnetron oscillator, which gave us the beginnings of *radar*.

In the 1950s, it was suspected by the U.S. authorities that radar was knocking UFOs out of the sky, and the Americans attempted to utilise this on a wide scale, rushing portable radar transmitters to areas of highest UFO activity.

With the modern sophisticated radars, having enormous output power, automatic frequency shift, staggered pulse repetition frequency (PRF), and varying pulse widths, we must be giving the UFO operators one king-sized headache. The Rendlesham Forest UFO⁴, and several other alleged UFO crash cases, could easily have been caused by our radar interfering with their control system. Mention might here also be made of the case of the Florida scoutmaster, Sonny

Desvergers.⁵ The charred grass roots reportedly found in that case could only have been caused by EM radiation.

Since the hearing apparatus of animals is far more sensitive than that of humans, their obvious acute discomfort when in the proximity of a UFO can also be explained by the reception of high power EM radiation⁶.

Notes and References

1. *The Microwave Auditory Phenomenon*, by James C. Lin, Senior Member, IEEE. (This article, and its accompanying bibliography of some 41 items, seems to be of great importance, and all who are interested in this curious aspect of the UFO Phenomenon might find it well worth studying. We have of course no authority to republish the article ourselves).
2. See *The Extraordinary Case of Dionisio Llanca and the UFO-nauts*, by Creighton and Bowen, in FSR Vol. 26, No. 4 (1980). Subsequently, in FSR Vol. 30, No. 2 (1984) we reported, in *The Case of Dionisio Llanca in Argentina*, that a campaign is now under way in that country to prove that the affair is totally fraudulent. We have asked for full details of the proof of this, and will publish these details if and when they reach us. In the meantime, it might be wise to stand our ground and await events. For example, who knows whether this drive to debunk Dionisio Llanca is not itself an example of the effect of the "influence" about which Mr Hampton is writing in this article?
3. See (in FSR 28/6), as a very important source of details of the possible microwave radiation effects at Fátima, *The "Apparitions of the Virgin" at Fátima considered in Relation to the UFO Phenomenon*, By Joaquim Fernandes, Editor of the newspaper *Jornal de Notícias*, published in Oporto, Portugal. This article is itself a translation of a digest of part of the very important book published in 1982 by Joaquim Fernandes and his lady colleague Dr Fina d'Armada, holder of a Doctorate in History. The title of this book (so far as we know there is no English translation of it yet) is: *Intervenção Extraterrestre em Fátima — As Aparições e o Fenómeno OVNI* (pub. Bertrand, Lisboa, 1982).
4. On the Rendlesham Forest Case, or Tangham Woods Case, see articles by Jenny Randles in FSR Vol. 27, No. 6 and Vol. 29, No. 6 (*"The Rendlesham Forest Mystery"* and *"More About The Adventures of a Very Peculiar Lighthouse"*). Also, more comprehensive, the book *Sky Crash*, by Brenda Butler, Dot Street, and Jenny Randles (pub. Neville Spearman, 1984).
5. See *Report on Unidentified Flying Objects* (Chapter 13), by Captain Edward J. Ruppelt, formerly Head of U.S.A.F. *Project Blue Book*, Doubleday, N.Y., 1956. (British edition by Victor Gollancz, 1956.)
6. See Creighton: *A New Catalogue: The Effects of UFOs on Animals, Birds, & Smaller Creatures* (219 cases up to 1968) in FSR Vol. 16, No. 1, through to Vol. 18, No. 3. Finally, on this question of possible "influences" exercised over humans, see also the book review *On Sources for the Hostility to Psychical Research*, by Dr. Ivor Grattan-Guinness, Ph.D., in our next issue. G. C.

THE SORIA ABDUCTION: OR — THE HUNTER HUNTED. PART I

Antonio Ribera

(Translation from Spanish)

For many years past we have been bombarded with a mass of utterly convincing evidence that the UFOs are luminous-bellied ducks, luminous owls, spruce-budworm moths, chemiluminescent air-effects, beer-coolers, hospital-theatre lights, chicken-incubators, tobacco-curers, mock suns, piezo-electricity, ball-lightning, light-houses, and the planet Venus, so it comes as a great relief to learn that Dr Alvin H. Lawson of California has now solved the UFO Problem — or at any rate the Close Encounter Problem — and has proved that all the UFO abduction narratives are nothing more than memories of *perinatal events* — the distressing experiences of the birth-trauma and after, experiences through which every one of us has passed when embarking upon the extra-uterine stage of our life on Earth.

Illuminated by this new theory, the interesting report by Antonio Ribera which follows will be seen as an account of the work of a devoted team of three unusually husky, broad-shouldered Spanish obstetricians. It will be noted that the Spanish child whom they delivered recalled subsequently under hypnosis that he *had had his dog with him there inside the womb (or was it maybe inside the hospital-ward?)*

Naturally of course a *British dog*. (which is as it *should be*. We British are justly famous as the breeders of fine dogs.)

Fortunately for Dr Lawson's theory, the infant in this particular parturition was a *male* child, for, in addition to his dog, it turns out that (a fine Freudian touch this!) *he had his gun in there with him too!* EDITOR

Introduction

The kidnappings are one of the most fascinating aspects of the whole UFO Phenomenon, abundant and complex as it already is. We know so far of several hundreds of kidnapping or abduction cases throughout the world, but owing to the intrinsic features of abduction (one of these features being what I call "*dis-memory*" in the individual abducted — I prefer this term to "*amnesia*" —) it may well be that very many more cases exist, which, by definition, will in fact for ever remain unknown. And then there are, of course, also the possible cases of "*total abduction*", that is to say, those cases in which the abducted individual is never returned. For I suspect that these cases too exist — and maybe in large numbers. There are, in fact, disturbing police statistics about missing persons, which give much food for thought (entirely leaving aside of course such disappearances as are due to the white slave traffic, voluntary abandonment of the conjugal partner or the paternal home, etc.)

Generally speaking, those humans who have been abducted and whom it has subsequently been possible to interview recall the start and/or the end of the episode. The middle part of it — which is the most important part — has to be extracted from the subconscious by the techniques of hypnotic regression (since it is to the subconscious that this most important part seems to be relegated). In a word, therefore, the subject has got to be hypnotized and made to re-live the mind-boggling episode — which frequently turns out to be highly traumatic for him; maybe indeed this is why the experience has been submerged down there

in his subconscious, in order to 'protect him'.

What usually comes to light in these sessions of hypnosis is very interesting and — why should it not be so? — very revealing.

It usually conforms to a pattern: the abduction subject — or subjects — for there are cases of multiple abduction, as we shall see in my book — almost always speak of a "control room" replete with "screens", in which they find themselves — "screens" which they frequently liken to TV screens — with panels and consoles, and with lights flashing on and off. Seated working at these panels there are usually beings — generally humanoid — the putative "crews" of the craft — who usually belong to the category of short, macrocephalic (i.e. large-headed) humanoids, though there are also some that are very tall (as in this present case of Julio F —) or that at times seem to be aberrant types (such as the "robots" at Pascagoula)¹ and sometimes even monsters (as in the case of Zanfretta).²

The subject of the kidnapping is subjected almost always to what, if we wish to be clearly understood, we must term "a medical inspection", which usually has its emphasis on the sexual (and possibly also the genetic) aspects; in a very few cases (Villas Boas for example) there is copulation between a "terrestrial" and an "extraterrestrial woman".

Arriving now at this point in our discussion, we find ourselves confronted by a very important question. Are we to take literally, at its face value, what these abductees tell us in their sessions of hypnosis? This is the very pith and marrow of the question. And