

in the hovering mode. The witness stated that there was no apparent noise coming from the triangular craft, although the helicopter may have drowned this out.

Almost instantaneously, the helicopter switched on a light beam towards the witness (blinding his equipment), while at the same time moving away "at an incredible rate of knots. It disappeared like a rocket".

Mr. 'N' tried to follow it with his night-viewer, then suddenly realised that it was more important to study the triangular craft. He turned back to where it had been hovering, but it was gone! (Mr. 'N' was sure that he had witnessed a secret aircraft and was petrified that he might be the subject of investigation if he related the incident to anyone. He eventually only mentioned it to two other close friends.)

**A FURTHER REPORT REACHED ME FROM "SKYWATCH INTERNATIONAL" AT SPILSBY, LINCS. THIS CONCERNED A SIGHTING BY A WITNESS ON THE NIGHT OF THE 17TH SEPTEMBER, 1998. THIS WITNESS SAID:**

"I finished work at Louth at 10 pm and headed out on the B1502. It was 10.25 pm and I was about 4 miles past Ulceby Cross, when I saw something in the starry night sky that I thought looked a little odd. I saw what I believed to be two *Tornado* aircraft in company with a craft that, because of its lights, appeared to be of a triangle nature. On each point it had a bright green glow, rather than a green created by a light bulb.

The triangle completed flight manoeuvres that I had never seen before. As the two aircraft approached my car, the left aircraft tried to get ahead; the two aircraft passed over my car I looked up through my sunroof and noticed that the triangular craft was about three-quarters the size of the *Tornado* aircraft. I live near RAF Coningsby and I am familiar with *Tornado* aircraft."

**FINALLY, ON 15TH AUGUST, 1997, I RECEIVED SOME INFORMATION FROM A CONFIDANT WHO REVEALED DETAILS OF A SURPRISING CONVERSATION AT A RECENT AERONAUTICAL SHOW:**

**Source:** "In absolute confidence please. I deal with aviation products, I do Pilot Supplies, Satellite Navigation Equipment, I retail various products. We did an exhibition at Christmas, at 'XXXXX'. This chappie came to see me and we were talking about aircraft. I gather that he is

a civilian, in his sixties and ex-military, and he commented that he had flown a lot of aircraft, all sorts of aircraft. We were talking about aircraft and I sprang the question on him that I do with all sorts of people, 'do you believe in UFOs?'

**Source:** "He said to me -'what do you mean?'," I replied that we had heard of people that have had quite a few sightings of the Flying Triangle. 'Yes', he said 'Yes, I make them.' I said "How do you mean 'I make them'? 'Well, let me put it this way' he said, 'we are actually making triangular-shaped craft in this country, but we are also aware of what we would call extra-terrestrial craft flying over this country.' He repeated, when questioned, 'We are aware that there are triangular craft flying over this country, that are not of this world!'

"At this, I moved him away from the other customers, as this was a private conversation. I said to him, 'do you mind me asking you one question, 'where are you located?' I said. 'I can't tell you that' he said. I said, 'I'll ask you one other question. What is the chance of me visiting your factory?' 'Absolutely not,' he said 'We're not Top Secret, we're levels above Top Secret.' 'We have materials now, in this country, with which we could manufacture *stealth* aircraft that would be virtually undetectable.'

**Source:** 'Undetectable by what means, I don't know, visual, night vision, radar, I don't know.' He complained to me that quite frankly, 'there are too many so-and-sos in London sitting on their backsides, who are not releasing funds to us, but we have the technology to lead the world in *Stealth* aircraft' Then he said, 'our aircraft are telemetrically controlled?' (This would account for the widely reported 'parent' aircraft).

**Source:** 'That is 100% the truth, but please don't mention my name. He seemed a very sincere fellow. I can't disclose his name for obvious reasons, but yes, that's what I was told.'"

**In conclusion, we must accept that the placing of a "D-Notice" on the subject of Flying Triangles could well have taken place to guard the secret development of a British *Stealth* aircraft and not as the censorship of information on extra-terrestrial FTs. After all, which is the more important from the Government point of view?**

(-and what could possibly have been more logical? A marvellous way for the Government to confuse the issue and strengthen the cover-up over the Alien Triangles! G.C.)■

## **THE CHALLENGE OF THE SAUCERS. © BY STANTON T. FRIEDMAN, Ph.D., NUCLEAR PHYSICIST.**

**(79 Pembroke Crescent, Fredericton, N.B. Canada, E3B 2V1. Email: fsphys@brunnet.net)**

[We have not yet encountered anyone else who delivers his evidence with so much verve and so much "punch" as this distinguished and highly experienced and qualified Canadian researcher, and long-term friend and supporter of FSR.

We recall with pleasure that on two occasions, when Mr. Friedman had been slandered in this country, we were able to be of help to him. In the first case, in a Northern English court, damages were paid by J. Randles and a Manchester newspaper.

In the second case, Mr. Friedman was slandered by the Director of the Royal Geographical Society, who had suggested that Friedman had no scientific qualifications and that talk of UFOs was nonsense. In this case I was able to secure the immediate advice of a prominent QC, one of the best in Britain, and a public apology to Mr. Stanton was the result.

We are not a bit surprised to find that many folk are so upset by Mr. Friedman's robust and forthright campaign on behalf of the truth, and it is good to know that if it comes to a law court, or looks like coming to court, he is unlikely to lose!

You may note one interesting point about Mr. Friedman. He generally talks about "*flying saucers*" or "*flying discs*", and not about "*UFOs*", because he remembers and knows, as we at FSR remember and know, that those were the original terms used, in the first few years after Kenneth Arnold's sighting, in the summer of 1947, in all the press reports throughout the U.S.A. and in all the documents and reports of the U.S. Government and its Military and Intelligence Services, regarding the coming of these new and unknown devices.

*Stanton knows, as we at FSR have always known, that the term "UFO" was deliberately introduced by Captain Ruppelt of Blue Book, on express instructions from above, because it was a complete misnomer and because it was vague and, hopefully, would carry the minds of the public one step away from reality.*

**On at least two occasions, in our private FSR meetings in Britain with Dr. J. Allen Hynek, I recall having heard him exclaim vehemently: "But they're not UFOs! They are IFOs - Identified flying objects - identified as somebody else's!"**

That this idiotic term "UFO" has been allowed to take over and has been adopted so slavishly simply shows what bloody fools so many folk are, and how easily they have let themselves be brainwashed and manipulated by the U.S. Government! (Maybe on express instructions from Alien Controllers?).

We all know now of course that not all of the craft or devices seen are saucer-shaped, and no doubt it would be helpful if we could find another blanket term. **But certainly "UFO" is ridiculous, and we ought to start to get rid of the term.** EDITOR, FSR]

#### STANTON FRIEDMAN'S ARTICLE.

**A**s a nuclear physicist who has had a serious interest in flying saucers since 1958, I have reached four major conclusions:-

1. The evidence is overwhelming that Planet Earth is being visited by intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft. In other words, *some* UFOs are alien spacecraft. Most are not.

2. **The subject of flying saucers represents a kind of Cosmic Watergate, meaning that some few people in major governments have known since July, 1947, when two crashed saucers and several alien bodies were recovered in New Mexico, that indeed *SOME* UFOs are ET. As noted in 1950, it's the most classified U.S. topic. \***

3. None of the arguments made against conclusions

one and two by a small group of debunkers such as Carl Sagan, my University of Chicago classmate for 3 years, can stand up to careful scrutiny.

**4. The Flying Saucer story is the biggest story of the Millennium; of visits to Planet Earth by aliens and of U.S. Government coverup of the best data, of the bodies and wreckage, for 53 years.**

Since 1967, I have lectured on the subject "Flying Saucers *ARE Real*" at more than 600 colleges and over 100 professional groups in all 50 US States, 9 Canadian Provinces, 12 cities of England and 12 other countries, with only 11 hecklers. I have also appeared on hundreds of radio and TV shows. Overall, I have probably answered about 35,000 questions about saucers and secrecy.

It is clear that over 97% of the people have NOT read any of the 5 major scientific studies I discuss, and are unaware of the mountains of evidence that support my conclusions. They are also unaware of the scientific data, as opposed to tabloid nonsense.

However, it is also clear from the Opinion Polls and my own experience, that indeed most people accept the notion that *SOME* UFOs are alien spacecraft. **The greater the education, the MORE likely to accept this proposition.**

In an October 25, 1995, Oxford University Union Debate on the resolution "*Planet Earth is being visited by intelligent extraterrestrial life*", the affirmative side, of which I was a part, garnered 60% of Debate Union Member votes on the question. 92% of 100,000 people calling during a TV Debate in London on June 27, 1997, said Earth has been visited by aliens!

The problem is NOT that there is not enough evidence to justify my conclusions, but that most people, especially the noisy negativists, are unaware of the real, non-tabloid, evidence.

#### DEBUNKERS SEEM TO EMPLOY FOUR MAJOR RULES:

**A. WHAT THE PUBLIC DOESN'T KNOW, WE CERTAINLY WON'T TELL THEM. THE LARGEST OFFICIAL USAF UFO STUDY ISN'T EVEN MENTIONED IN 13 ANTI-UFO BOOKS, THOUGH ALL THE BOOK AUTHORS WERE AWARE OF IT.**

**B. DON'T BOTHER ME WITH THE FACTS, MY MIND IS MADE UP.**

**C. IF ONE CAN'T ATTACK THE DATA, ATTACK THE PEOPLE. IT IS EASIER.**

**D. DO ONE'S RESEARCH BY PROCLAMATION RATHER THAN INVESTIGATION. IT IS MUCH EASIER, AND NOBODY WILL KNOW THE DIFFERENCE ANYWAY.**

Many major media people will concede that, if, indeed, aliens are visiting Earth, that would be a major story. But because they take great pride in their own knowledge of major stories, they imagine that if this were happening,

they would know about it. But they don't. Therefore anybody who says visits are real must be a crackpot!

I have noted 4 major reasons why the big names in science and journalism haven't jumped on the pro-saucer bandwagon:-

**1. IGNORANCE OF THE DATA.** Scratch a debunker and one usually finds somebody who is putting down what he is not up on.

**2. FEAR OF RIDICULE IN SPONSORING A THESIS** (only about 10 have been done relating to UFOs) if a professor, or sponsoring a detailed reportorial investigation, if an editor. I check all my audiences and find that, while in agreement with polls, 10% have had a sighting, only 5 - 10% of these witnesses have been willing to report what they saw. *Biggest reason? -Fear of ridicule.*

**3. EGO.** If aliens were visiting Earth, they would call a press conference or ask to talk to the National Academy of Sciences. They haven't, *so aliens must not be visiting.* **Flying saucers finish the job Copernicus started in taking man out of the middle of the Universe. Priests fought Copernicus's ideas. Today guys in lab coats, rather than priestly robes, fight alien visitations.**

**4. FAILURE TO USE OUR KNOWLEDGE OF TECHNOLOGY TO UNDERSTAND ALIEN BEHAVIOUR.** *"It is impossible" is said, rather than "I don't know how".* Despite the absurd claims of certain ancient academics and fossilized physicists, it is clear, on the basis of solid engineering studies, that trips to nearby stars are feasible with round trip times shorter than the average person's life time, using, for example, staged fission and fusion propulsion systems, on both of which I have worked.

It is clear that technological progress comes from doing things differently in an unpredictable way. The history of science is littered with absurd impossibility claims made by people who know nothing about the job at hand.

**5. THE CULT OF S.E.T.I. (Silly Effort to Investigate) with its crazy notions that nobody would travel, but that aliens, stuck at the level of radio, are trying to attract our attention, mocks the notion of flying saucers, not dealing with the evidence, but by proclamations about the absence of evidence. This ignores science.**

**I prove at every lecture that the NSA and CIA are withholding saucer data. Having worked under security for 14 years, and visited 19 document archives, and having become aware of the huge black budgets for the NSA, NRO, CIA, DIA, etc., I know how easy it is to keep secrets.**

**My 22 years of study about crashed saucers and my**

**16 about the original Majestic-12 documents have convinced me these are real. The challenge for us all, as we enter the new Millenium, is to recognize that our future is in Space, but we are not alone. I truly hope we qualify for admission to the Cosmic Kindergarten.**

#### **NOTE BY EDITOR OF FSR:**

\*Mr. Friedman is here referring to the work of yet another scientist (also a Canadian) who played an important role, and who is now rapidly being forgotten. He was Wilbert Smith, MA., MS., P.Eng., Canada's top expert in matters electrical and magnetic.

In the very early years after Kenneth Arnold's famous sighting of 1947 -probably in 1950, as Friedman here suggests- Wilbert Smith was in the U.S.A. on official business and, while in Washington D.C. he managed to learn, from his American scientific friends, of the amazing business of the "UFO Secret".

Returning to Canada, he wrote a report for his departmental chiefs on what he had learned, and he used the now famous phrase that the UFO business was now "super-secret in the U.S.A. -**more secret than the Atom Bomb (Project Manhattan.)**"

In 1953, at Shirley Bay, not far from Ottawa, in a region where, as I myself recall, there had been some quite extraordinary UFO happenings, including landings, the Canadian Government set up the world's "first ever" (!) official flying saucer research station, which however they decided to close down not much later when they found that awkward questions about it all had been asked in the Canadian Parliament!

The Canadian Government also had a project, headed by Wilbert Smith, to try to construct a flying disc powered by electromagnetic means. It seems likely that this was the same project as the one about which there was later so much publicity -the scheme for Avro Aviation Company to build in Canada a big saucer-type of craft.

The name of **John Frost** was associated with it (possibly the designer?) and there were speeches made about the scheme by the Canadian Government's Minister of Transport, Howell. In the end it seems that nothing came of it all.

Subsequently Wilbert Smith was the Superintendent of Radio Regulations Engineering in the Department of Transport at Ottawa.

We published a total of eight long articles about UFOs by Wilbert Smith in FSR. He died on December 27, 1962. G.C.■

## **VICTIM MENTALITY IN ABDUCTEES: AN UNACCEPTABLE CONCEPT. © An Essay by ANN DRUFFEL, (California), FSR Consultant.**

[This article was first published in the *MUFON UFO JOURNAL* for May 2000, and has been revised slightly by the author for the overseas issue in FSR. Editor FSR.]

**I**n August 1998 my most recent book, *HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION*, was published by Three Rivers Press, a "How To" division

of Random House, followed closely by a U.K. edition in November 1998 from Piatkus Publishers Limited. Better publishers could not have been found - the finest editors

and class-act books which covered twenty-five years of personal research on the UFO abduction phenomenon. For a year afterward, and continuing full speed today, the book receives publicity on radio and TV. It has been reviewed favourably in numerous UFO and parapsychology journals, and in newstand magazines in the U.S. and the U.K.

In spite of the fact that the new concept presented in the book is of supreme importance to the UFO field, certain influential UFO researchers have remained strangely silent. This is in stark contrast to favourable reviews by top objective researchers - Dwight Connelly in *MUFON UFO JOURNAL*, Jennie Zeidman in *INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER*, Tim Owen and Paul Norman in *AUSTRALIAN UFO NEWSLETTER*, Gordon Creighton in *FLYING SAUCER REVIEW* among others.

During a magic 2½ hours with Art Bell on *COAST TO COAST*, I fielded intelligent questions from numerous listeners and will presently appear on *DREAMLAND* with Whitley Strieber. All this demonstrates the interest in the subject of "resisters" who successfully tell the abduction phenomenon, whatever it is, to go away and quit bothering them.

Many abduction researchers in various countries have sent letters of agreement and encouragement, and my data base of "resisters" grows rapidly as many abductees continue to share their experiences, containing approximately 100 cases to date. Yet a few top abduction researchers continue to ignore the new concept and message in the book, namely, that stout-hearted witnesses and abductees can and do fend off so-called "UFO aliens", and even permanently rid themselves of the visitations.

In conversation at the annual Laramie Conference last year with a well-known author and abduction researcher, he told me that he came across "resisters" in his case load but did not elaborate how he handled this information. I know for a fact that other researchers come across resisters, but these cases somehow never make it into print. Do these particular researchers dismiss "resisters" because they don't fit in with their own hypotheses? If so, I feel that this attitude is unscientific. In science, don't we work with all data that comes to us from rational, honest sources and not try to "screen out" what is unacceptable to us?

Curious as to why certain researchers have been ignoring the new concept and message in *HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION*, I recently sent friendly letters, copies of the book and related bio/résumé/bibliographical material to four of those who had been so silent, with a simple request for feedback and input. None of the four responded. Their silence was so deafening that it allowed valuable insight to seep into my brain - information which seems so startling that I feel impelled to share it with you. Namely: **Certain factors in the UFO field apparently do not want instances of successful resistance to come out.** If they ignore the book and its new concept, will they perhaps not be tempted to try to advise their own traumatized abductees that resistance may be possible?

Perhaps they do not believe that resistance works and

so don't want abductees to even consider it for fear they will "fail" and emerge doubly traumatized? **Or do they perhaps sincerely believe that experiencers have no right to resist? What other reasons would prevent researchers from learning vital, new information about a phenomenon on which they have laboured for decades?**

All four "silent" researchers I refer to are Americans working mainly with American abductees. I do not know if any researchers in the U.K. share these thoughts, but practically nothing has been written in overseas journals, either, about successful resistance. Do researchers truly feel that the abduction phenomenon is so overpowering that it is able to overthrow the principles of freedom on which democracies and republics worldwide were founded - the same principles of freedom from fear and oppression which guide our everyday lives?

**Do these researchers really believe that self-determination, right of privacy and other principles of individual freedom no longer apply? Do they truly think that we are now in the hands (or claws) of whitish, frail, large-eyed humanoids whose source is, at best, uncertain? What kind of nonsense is this? I think it is "nonsense" being fed to us by the phenomenon itself, whatever it is.**

**Where in the great works of history, philosophy, and theology does it say that other orders of beings can tamper with us, guide our destinies or are responsible for us in any way? Say what you will, authors like Von Däniken and Sitchin are not the equivalent of Plato, Aristotle, Thomas Aquinas, Muhammad, Buddha and other world thinkers, (not to mention one other who is held to be far greater than any of these! -G.C).**

The theories put forth by these top-profile abduction researchers are conspicuously contradictory, but they do not seem to recognize this among themselves. There is a "oneness" among them which is difficult to understand. Some of them theorize (or are actually convinced) that extraterrestrial creatures are hybridizing humans against their will so that they can take over our planet.

Others theorize (or are convinced) that these creatures are somehow responsible, in the great order of things, for evolving the human race and bringing us to "higher spirituality"? These gentler thinkers do not explain why the creatures first traumatize victims with unpleasant interactions, then eventually lead the abductees to "love" them. According to this theory, the creatures' painful interference is really "good" for the human race, and abductees should go along with it because the creatures "know what is best for us."!

## **TWO SEPARATE PHENOMENA?**

The data is overwhelming that unidentified, possible extraterrestrial, *craft* seem to be monitoring mankind. These demonstrably physical UFOs reported by credible witnesses constitute a serious scientific question which has regrettably been covered up by governments and ignored by official Science.

## **THE REAL "UFOS".**

However, in my considered opinion, UFO phenomena