

LEONARD STRINGFIELD'S REPORTS ON CRASHED DISCS AND DEAD OCCUPANTS

Gordon Creighton

THE pioneer UFO-crash researcher Leonard Stringfield of Cincinnati, Ohio, now possesses statements provided by no less than 30 first-hand eyewitnesses who testify that they have personally seen crashed alien machines or small preserved alien bodies, which machines and bodies are at present being held in conditions of the utmost secrecy and at several sites by the Government of the United States. As Stringfield says, "Where there is smoke, there's fire. And — boy — is there a lot of smoke!"

Mr Stringfield has compiled three successive *Status Reports* on his findings, and we gave a summary of the whole situation in our Editorial (*Those "Crashed Disc" Reports*) in FSR 32/2, published in April 1987. The full details of these three Status Reports are as follows:-

FIRST STATUS REPORT: UFO CRASH/RETRIEVALS OF THE THIRD KIND. (July 1978).
Entire text published by us in FSR 25/4, 25/5, 25/6 (1979)

SECOND STATUS REPORT: THE UFO/CRASH RETRIEVAL SYNDROME, STATUS REPORT II: NEW SOURCES, NEW DATA. (January 1980).
Entire text published by us in FSR 28/1, 28/2, 28/3, 28/4, 28/5. (1982-1983).

THIRD STATUS REPORT: UFO CRASH RETRIEVALS: AMASSING THE EVIDENCE. STATUS REPORT III. (June 1982).

Owing to the great accumulation of material in our "pipeline", we have not yet been able to publish this Third Status Report, but we hope to be able to get down to reproducing the entire text in the very near future. Meanwhile, FSR readers who perceive the great importance of Mr Stringfield's work are advised to consult — if they have not already done so — the undermentioned three books which (along with Stringfield's work) contain almost all that is yet known by us about the crashed discs and the little dead crews:-

(continued on Page iv)

MAIL BAG

Correspondents are asked to keep their letters short and give full name and address (not necessarily for publication). It is not always possible for the Editor to acknowledge every letter personally, and so he takes this opportunity to thank all who write to him.

A Letter from one of FSR's Founders

Dear Gordon Creighton, — I have been meaning to drop you a line for about ten years, but too many trivia seemed to intervene!

I was one of the original backers of FSR, with Desmond Leslie, thirty-three years ago, and, as such, write to congratulate you, Charles Bowen, and associates, on a phenomenal job in the brilliant production of the journal.

Best wishes,

Sincerely,

Oliver Moxon, J.P.,

Boscobel,

St. Mary,

Jamaica.

May 30, 1988.

The Search for 'Goodies'

Dear Gordon, — Although not acquainted with earlier editions in which the problem of 'goodies' and 'baddies' may have appeared, I feel the need to respond to the letter from D.J. Hampton under the above heading (FRS 33/1, page 24).

As the years slip by the whole subject of the UFOS has become a very confusing and complex structure indeed, with question marks hanging over every aspect of it.

D.J. Hampton's third paragraph has summarised the situation beautifully and I find myself in total agreement with paragraph four!

Having had access to various messages in circulation from groups in different parts of the world over a period of twenty years now, I do think it would be fair to say that many of them are unacceptable to thinking people — too many 'astrals' speaking through half-fledged mediums! On the other hand, there are a few highly trained telepathic sensitives who present an entirely different picture to whom little in the way of publicity is given. Likewise, there have been a few sensible people in the professions (such as George van Tassel* and Dr Daniel Fry) who have quietly claimed intelligent contact with superior beings. These receive no publicity at all, while the sensational cases — which confuse and alarm — are given full press publicity.

Yes, these visitors have been around since time began, and I have been forced to the conclusion that they come from various places of origin; some from other *dimensions*; and that we are being faced with two distinct groups of beings — some to deceive and confuse, and others who genuinely wish to help humanity.

Are we not being pushed, as so-called intelligent beings, to accept that we are NOT ALONE in the Universe, and NOT the most intelligent creatures in existence?

It was Swedenborg three centuries ago who discovered to his dismay that life on other earths in this Solar Sys-

tem was superior in every way to life on this planet in his day. He deplored our materialism then, and the situation has worsened. And was it not Rudolf Steiner who revealed that it is the negative influences *already here* which prevent or discourage the evolution of humanity in every way, i.e. spiritually, mentally, morally and physically?

I think D.J. Hampton is wrong in his inference — namely that these beings are *all* very much associated with the Earth and its environs. Rather like Jacques Vallée in his *"Passport To Magonia"*, in his ignorance of other facts, D.J.H. dismisses the indications of a benign and positive influence *from beyond the Earth*, which is also there to be found, and which will be increasingly in evidence as the final chapter to this particular phase in our history draws to its inevitable conclusion.

Are we "property" — Charles Fort suggests?

I am inclined to the view that we are witnessing a 'Space War' in which the goodies and the baddies fight one another for control of the Earth and the minds and souls of men! It is just possible that Chapter 12, verses 7-9 of the *Revelations of John* may give us a clue to the total and confusing situation in which we find ourselves today. Certainly Arnold Toynbee, faced with the decline of Western Civilization, and the inability of the

historians to explain the rise of the next one, felt that the Bible might offer hints as to how this would come about. I offer this for serious consideration by both D.J.H. and Miss Buckingham.

Yours sincerely,
(Mrs.) Pat Byrne Finch,
AXMINSTER LIGHT
CENTRE,
6 Terrace View,
Coldharbour,
Sherborne,
Dorset DT9 4AF.
June 15, 1988.

*Of course every one of these considerations and possibilities is always present to our minds! I cannot say, however, that I have ever regarded the claims of George van Tassel as anything but totally spurious and, in general, after a vast experience of séance-room work and mediumship over many years, and in many countries, I likewise feel that the mediumistic communications are not worth the proverbial row of beans. As for Dr Daniel Fry, I did listen to his story as told by him to a very small private gathering in London a good many years ago, and admit that I found him a great deal more convincing than van Tassel.

As regards the rest of Mrs Byrne Finch's thesis — I AGREE. OF COURSE: THERE IS "A BENIGN AND POSITIVE INFLUENCE FROM BEYOND THE EARTH!" I could not agree with anything more wholeheartedly. This is what the whole of mankind's religious search is about! But I would emphasise those two words "from beyond".

As I think I have already made it clear to FSR readers, although I am sure the entire Universe teems with Life, much of it of an unimaginably high (for us) level, my conclusion after studying the "UFO Phenomenon" for almost 50 years (my first sighting of a UFO was in the summer of 1941 not far from the eastern frontier of Tibet) is that the UFO manifestations pertain entirely to this Earth, and to no high or benign level. What the various beings are claimed to be saying to us does not add up. They are patently brazen liars.

Consequently, my present position is that I share entirely the sceptical views of Budd Hopkins and George Andrews. Unlike Budd Hopkins, I do not share the view that these parasitical aliens who are now harassing us are "Extraterrestrials", or that they are in any sense "humans". I'm very sure that they are not, and that they are plain old common-or-garden devils and demons — very well known to mankind in the past.

However, since I believe firmly in the existence of other Forces which come "from outside of the Earth" — indeed, even "FROM BEYOND THE SUN" — I agree that the pages of FSR must remain open for a fair and balanced appraisal of every spot of evidence that we can get. By golly — we're going to need it!

— EDITOR

More Claims for the "Goodies"

Dear Mr. Creighton, — In response to your appeal for reports of encounters with beneficent space beings that appear like Earth people, I would suggest the following candidates: The Billy Meier case in Switzerland; the Stevens report, "Contact from Koldas"; the report of DiBitontos in Italy, "Angeli In Astronave"; the report by Hachiro Kubota in his Jan. 1987 "UFO Contactee"; the accounts by Oscar Magocsi, "My Space Odyssey", etc.; Elizabeth Klarer's "Beyond the Light Barrier"; and many of the accounts in Dr Walter Bühler's "Vierzig Begegnungen mit Ausserirdischen in Brasilien"; and others from South America, brought to light by Karl Veit in Wiesbaden.

In addition, it seems to me there is strong support for this type of contact in the article by Muslim Siregar in the same issue of FSR as your editorial, Vol. 32, No. 5. I, too, am very interested in "contacts of the Adamski type", and have recently completed an English translation of "Angels in Starships", which I hope will soon find a sympathetic publisher.

May I respectfully request the address of Muslim Siregar of Bandung, Indonesia?

Thank you very much. I do appreciate your wonderful magazine greatly, and look forward to each issue with keen anticipation.

Sincerely,
William T. Sherwood,
Rochester UFO Study Group,
200 Pinnacle Road South,
Rochester, NY 14623,
U.S.A.
January 7, 1988.

I am familiar with the details of most of these cases. The trouble is that, when gone over carefully, "they don't feel or smell right". It seems highly unlikely that any of them are the fruit of mere human fraud. But more and more observers begin to suspect that a great deal of it all — especially such long drawn out affairs as the "UMMO" business in Spain and the Billy Meier story in Switzerland — is the result of elaborate frauds and hoaxes perpetrated by demonic beings who belong to the lower levels of Planet No. 3 of our Solar System. — EDITOR

Retribution?

Dear Gordon, — I was fascinated by the article 'What they're Doing to Us' by Budd Hopkins, especially the passage where an abductee describes her feelings whilst viewing a T.V. programme in which a polar bear is captured and tagged. I have long since concluded that treatment of animals by humans and the treatment we receive from the U.F.O. occupants, is almost completely parallel.

Budd Hopkins says that he would prefer to think that the Aliens do not cold-bloodedly disregard the human condition but are simply unaware of the trauma they cause. Our species has cold-bloodedly exploited the other

animals which occupy our home planet on an enormous scale which far exceeds any use and abuse we have experienced at the hands of the Aliens. We eat them, drink their milk, wear their skins and wool, smear their fats on our bodies, kill them for fun, gawp at innocent victims serving life-sentences and performing undignified tricks, race them and work them, select a chosen few for pets and most heinous of all, vivisect them. Except for a few but ever-growing number of individuals, all this is accepted as normal and natural by humans who regard themselves as kind and considerate folk who wouldn't hurt a fly. Many claim that a good and merciful God arranged it this way but show no mercy to creatures subject to them.

Throughout our history, we have bred untold millions of animal slaves and have indeed created many new strains and now with the isolation of the D.N.A. molecule, I dread to think what horror upon horror awaits the animal kingdom and eventually the human one too. It has been suggested that the Aliens created us but I think it more likely the they took us over in our infancy and manipulated our breeding just as we have done with animals. There is plenty of evidence that the A.I.D.S. virus was created in the laboratory.

Now when I hear that some dedicated scientist has tortured yet more choiceless, voiceless animal victims ostensibly for the benefit of Mankind, my mind automatically switches to a similar scenario with the Aliens justifiably carrying out experiments on humans for the betterment of all Alienkind. Perhaps the Universe is just after all.

Yours sincerely,
Margaret Buckingham,
Flat 7, Craven Court,
8 Knyveton Road,
Bournemouth BH1 3QN.
P.S. July 1, 1988.

As a P.S. to my letter of 25th June, the following recently acquired piece of information would seem very relevant and shows that the world of horror that I dread is already here.

In April, Havard University were issued with the first patent for a genetically-engineered mouse that carries the Oncogene C-MYC. In layman's terms, this means that the mouse and its offspring are genetically predisposed to breast cancer, the Oncogene C-MCY being a cancer-causing gene.

What sort of mind can engineer such a monstrosity and what sort of heart can find this pitiless cruelty acceptable? — M.B.

*First of all, we must always bear in mind the age-old secret teaching that NOT ALL OF US HERE CAME OUT OF THE SAME BARREL. Every passing day brings fresh evidence that many of our scientists in this century may very likely NOT BE HUMANS

AT ALL, AND MAY BE HERE TO WREAK a vast amount of damage. What greater calamity, for example, could have been inflicted on us than the nuclear waste of which we have no conceivable safe means of disposing? (Certain folk, like the Brazilian AVB, who have been aboard alien craft, have subsequently shown signs of being highly radioactive and of suffering from radiation poisoning. Is it possible, then, that certain aliens may hail from regions where the natural radioactivity is far higher than ours? If so, would not the increase of our own radiation here provide a very favourable milieu into which those aliens might be planning to move? And, if so, could those humans who brought about the increased radioactivity here have been acting as the mere (unwitting) pawns of alien controllers?)

As regards our treatment of our Brethren, the Animals, I agree that we may be incurring some grim *karma* for ourselves. Let it be borne in mind that, on July 26, 1984, the *London Daily Telegraph* reported that an American scientist had just completed a study of the chemicals present in the blood of humans and of apes, and that the chimpanzee was now proved to be our closest relative, both of us being derived from a common ancestor who lived about 4½ million years ago, according to the scientist, Professor Jerold M. Lowenstein of the University of California in San Francisco. Addressing a meeting of the International Primatological Society in Nairobi, Prof. Lowenstein went on to say that the gorillas and orangutangs, previously thought to be man's closest cousins, had diverged from the common ancestral stock about a million years earlier than the chimp. This, he said, was his conclusion after studying minute quantities of albumin and transferrin in the great apes.

Only two days later, listening to BBC Radio Four, I heard their Religious Affairs Correspondent Rosemary Harthill say that scientists in Copenhagen had just administered a shot of *syphilis* to a chimpanzee! All in the cause of "Science"...

And, early this year, I read in my newspaper that so many chimps are now being sacrificed for the sake of research on AIDS that soon the chimpanzee will be extinct.

Yes — on the whole, I think that mankind probably richly deserves all that is about to come its way...

In conclusion — there is another "interesting" little point that strikes me. In the course of a long and rich life, spent on all five Continents, I came to the conclusion that generally speaking, the Hindu peoples of India are the least violent and most tolerant of others. And of all the world's religions, theirs is the one that has the greatest appeal for me. One of the marked features of Hindus and Buddhists is their unwillingness to kill living creatures — as a consequence of which "apathy", as we Europeans called it, so many Indian villagers have even fallen prey to man-eating tigers or cobras. And particularly

striking is the Hindu attitude towards the *monkeys* which swarm everywhere. They have a special affection for monkeys, and will never harm them. And if you ask them what they think the monkeys are, they will reply: "maybe they are the degenerated remnants of the previous race of men who failed to evolve spiritually, and so they lost their chance."

Anyway — what a world of difference there is between the attitude of the callous Western scientist and that of the ignorant Hindu villager with his courteous respect for life — EDITOR

A Sighting by "Busty" Taylor in December 1987

Dear Editor, — On December 9th 1987, at approximately 8.45 p.m., I was driving westwards on the A303 towards Thrupton in this county (Hampshire) when I noticed two red lights in the sky towards the south. They were coming towards me, and I assumed they were two Air Force planes from the field at Middle Wallop. A little while later I realized that they were going to cross my route, and it was not two aircraft, but one long object with a red light on the front and another red light one-third of the way back, behind which came a row of white lights like portholes. I accelerated in order to try to get beneath it, watching it all the time. It crossed my road at least two miles or possibly more, ahead of me, being some 30° above the horizon. As it was now on my other side, I decided to "pull in" on top of the bridge at Thrupton, beside the White Horse Inn. I turned off my engine, there being no other traffic at the time, and listened. I could hear nothing.

The object was now moving away from me, so I was looking at the rear end of it. It had two large lights with one red one up above between them. It was below cloud, and I estimated its speed at between 150 and 180 m.p.h.

When over the area of Ludgershall, the object executed a left-hand turn. Its front now had a white strobe followed by a long line of white lights or windows, with one red light up above the rear end. It now made off in a westerly direction, until somewhere in the vicinity of Tidworth. Then it turned to a north-westerly direction, towards Upavon, where I finally lost sight of it. On returning home I made these sketches of what I had seen.





The following evening, Colin Andrews came to see me about our Circle researches, and I told him what I had seen and showed him my sketches. He mentioned that something had also been seen over Nottingham on the same date, December 9, 1987, but he had no details.

On December 27th I showed my sketches to Omar Fowler, who also said he was interested in the Nottingham case, though he had no details yet.

On January 17 of this year, I was visiting Colin Andrews again, and he showed me an article on the Plymouth and Nottingham incidents, and I re-

alized that my drawing seemed to indicate great similarity with those two events.

WEDNESDAY 9 DEC
8.45 PM



BELOW CLOUD
SPEED 150?

Yours sincerely,
F.C. Taylor;
52 Appletree Grove,
Andover,
Hampshire.
January 20 1988.

(Mr F.C. Taylor is the investigator who regularly pilots the helicopter when the FSR team set out on their frequent aerial patrols over southern England. They tell me that on one of their recent "sweeps" over Wiltshire and Hampshire, at the beginning of July 1988, they found a dozen new "cornfield circles" in less than an hour! — EDITOR.)


V-shaped object with red lights over Wales

Dear Sir, — I was interested to read, on the last page of FSR 33/1, report no. 5: "A V-shaped craft with a row of red flashing lights". It sounds similar to a craft which I and two members of my family saw on the 1st March of this year.

We were out walking near our home at 9.30 p.m., when we saw a bright light low over the mountains to our west. It was much brighter than the average star, and, due to thick

cloud, no other stars were visible. We carried on walking, but continued to observe it.

The light remained in the same position for over five minutes, then began to rise towards us. Its speed was slow at first, but increased gradually, and it curved round until flying in a southerly direction. As it turned, the white light at the front was no longer visible to us. Instead, we could see two red lights, forming a line perpendicular to its flight. Two more red lights then appeared in front of these, as if the front of the craft were rising in comparison to the rear. The formulation of the lights was:



We could see nothing of the rest of the craft in the darkness.

Although none of the lights ever went out completely, their brightness seemed to be increasing and decreasing regularly, at intervals of about half a second. They flashed in a pattern: the lights which I have labelled 'A' and 'D' would brighten at the same time, then become dimmer as 'B' and 'C' brightened.

The craft made a noise rather like an old-fashioned aeroplane: a steady, low-pitched droning as it rose towards us, fading as it turned away. It was not the noise of a helicopter. Yet can any aeroplane remain in the same position for over five minutes, as this did?

The craft disappeared into the low cloud south of our village, Henryrd. However, the following evening, we were just leaving our house at about the same time, when we saw it again. It was disappearing beyond the mountains south of the village. Since then we have watched for it in vain.

Yours sincerely,

Sally Aspden,
Gwynt-Y-Mynydd,
Henryrd,
Conwy,
Gwynedd LL32 8YB,
Wales.
20th March 1988.

Something over Suffolk in 1614?

Dear Mr Creighton, — I think you might be interested in the following extract from the Parish Church Register of Westley in Suffolk:—

“The tenth day of Maye being Twesdaye in Anno Domini 1614 there were seene in the ayer about six of the clocke in the afternone three sonnes which were seene by men of good credite for the space of one wholl hower. The lyke was sayed to be seene some six years

before this”.

“March 18, 1639 there appeareth ye like againe”.

Yours sincerely,
Wing-Commander A. Cecil Harper,
MA, RAF (Ret'd.),
2 Holly Gardens,
Milford-on-Sea,
Lymington,
Hampshire SO41 0SB.
April 4, 1988.

1. Why FSR continues to exist

2. The Circles in the Corn

Dear Editor, — The average clown in the street stands not the remotest chance of even a small understanding of such a complex enigma as the UFOs, so it is no wonder that every effort is made to keep all bonafide information on the subject well away from Joe Public.

FSR continues to exist because it has a small, limited circulation. Put FSR on every bookstall in the country, and it wouldn't last the next five minutes.

You are probably right in suggesting, as you did in your last letter to me, that considerable effort was expended in trying to hamper the circulation and distribution of “ABOVE TOP SECRET” and other books. Just try — for example — to buy a copy of “THE ROSWELL INCIDENT”!

With regard to this vexed question of the “Circles in the Corn”, has nobody thought of the possible explanation that — since, as we all know — UFOs can appear and disappear from human sight instantaneously — the “circles” might easily be produced by a UFO (or by some other unknown agency) that no human witness would be able to see even if he *were* present?

Yours sincerely,

D.J. Hampton,
22 Tennyson Road,
Cowes,
Isle of Wight,
PO31 7QA.
December 6, 1987.

I entirely agree with this last suggestion, which is one that I can safely say has never been absent from the minds of the *intelligent* students of the matter.

As regards the general question of censorship, interference, and procrastination, I note that Mr Hampton wrote again in Mid-January 1988 to say that, although he had ordered a copy of “ABOVE TOP SECRET” *three months before*, it still had not arrived. So I think one can say that there has indeed been a massive “braking operation”.

With respect to postal interference etc., I hope that one day, when we have the space in the magazine and I have the time to look out the facts, I may be able to give a little résumé of some of the interference that FSR has suffered over the years — and still suffers. Like the case where TV or radio companies telephone you to ask what you want done with the huge bag of mail they have received from the

public. You tell them to send it. And you wait. And then it arrives... four or five letters.

Also similar cases where newspaper editors, and policemen, have been *unwise enough to telephone* and say they are sending you a large packet of newspaper clippings or letters. And it never arrives. Similarly newspaper editors have borrowed UFO photos from me and then, very conveniently of course, have “lost” them. The interception and theft of mail is standard procedure in Britain, and has gone on for years past. Unfortunately we at FSR cannot operate at all without the public mails and without the telephone. What our readers finally get is therefore what finally escapes these two nets — the postal interference and the recording (now standard) of telephone conversations. We understand that in the modern recording processes, such antiquated methods as “bugging” or “phone-tapping” are no longer even necessary. So, when they tell you that you “aren't being bugged and aren't being tapped,” *they aren't lying!* — EDITOR

A new FSR reader “on our doorstep” — plus some more in Canada too?

Dear Sir, — Having just read about your publication in the *Spitfire Society* magazine I should be pleased if you could tell me where I might obtain copies of it. (Details sent. ED.)

As a member of the above Society I know that many of my fellow members in Canada will be interested, having read the same short article. As a civilian I am lucky enough to be in touch with the men who were the pilots that we needed back in 1939-45, and a vast number of these men are now listed in the Canadian Fighter Pilots Association.

Although of the older generation, of course, they show intense interest in any article of news that I send them, and I know that they would expect me to follow up the mention of your Review, knowing that I live in a place called *Snodland* which is the address given to contact.

I myself am a member of 127 Wing Assn. — this includes 403/416/421/433 RCAF Sqns. Three of these are still flying, but not Spitfires anymore.

Yours sincerely,
Raymond E. Mills,
3 Meadow Walk,
Snodland, Kent.

An interesting letter! Snodland definitely has its place in history. And, as another FSR reader, Ray Martin of South Africa, reminded us in his letter in FRS 32/2, it was flattened by a German *V-1* flying-bomb in 1944. — EDITOR

FSR Aficionados in California

Dear Mr Creighton, — Would it be possible for me to obtain the names and addresses of other subscribers to *Flying Saucer Review* with U.S. postal codes 920 — — and 921 --? I would

very much like to start a local group here in San Diego, in order to spread the word about FSR to as many people as possible. Any assistance you may offer will be most welcome.

Yours sincerely,

Eric Herr,
6250 1/2 Stanley Avenue,
San Diego,
California 92115,
U.S.A.

June 24, 1988.

Very kind and flattering and helpful of you, Mr Herr! As the number of arms employed in the production of FSR is a modest one, such searches through our cards would be an additional burden, and so we feel it simpler to publish your letter. Hopefully our readers in your areas will see it and will contact you.

— EDITOR

Readers can help to advertise FSR locally

Dear Mr Creighton, — Thank you for your letter of 6th April. I am glad you approve my idea of readership helping by advertising FSR. Of course it would be wonderful if a lot of rich, generous readers paid for full-page adverts in their newspapers! *But advertising need not be expensive.* A few words in the public notice column of a local community paper can be quite effective; so can a postcard in a newsagent's window for a few cents a week. Then there is free advertising. Workplaces, clubs, shopping-centres, libraries and colleges all have boards where notices can be displayed at no charge. Some organizations have newsletters, and perhaps the editors of these can be persuaded to recommend FSR. This applies particularly to the newsletters of UFO groups.

I imagine something like the following would be right:—

ARE YOU INTERESTED IN UFOs? IF SO, SUBSCRIBE TO THE PRESTIGIOUS BRITISH MAGAZINE, FLYING SAUCER REVIEW, ESTABLISHED 1955. ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION (4 ISSUES) £15.00 OR \$US30.00 POSTED BY SURFACE MAIL. AIRMAIL £6.00 OR \$US10.00 EXTRA. SINGLE SPECIMEN COPY £4.50 OR \$US8.00. REMIT BY STERLING CHEQUE ON A UNITED KINGDOM BANK, BY CHEQUE IN U.S. DOLLARS DRAWN IN THE U.S.A., OR BY INTERNATIONAL MONEY ORDER IN STERLING, PAYABLE TO FSR PUBLICATIONS LTD., P.O. BOX 12, SNODLAND, KENT ME6 5JZ, ENGLAND.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs J. Hill,
P.O. Box 541,
Cannington,
Western Australia 6107.
April 27, 1988.

Many thanks, Mrs Hill! Yes, indeed a number of readers in various countries have recently written to me more

or less along these lines, and they will no doubt see this letter in due course and then take whatever steps, if any, may seem right to them, making the necessary amendment by omitting the \$US figure wherever this is not applicable. As regards the world-wide readership of FSR, this is still strong, despite the great falling-off of interest which occurred about a decade ago, largely due to the enormous success of the global campaign to "debunk" the UFOs and to ridicule us. By around 1980 (two years before Charles Bowen fell ill) FSR was losing some 200 readers with each issue, which was not surprising in view of the "climate" of that period. However, the situation began to change markedly two or three years ago, and our circulation is now going up all the time, despite the widespread and organized new campaign of vilification and denigration, whose instigators are well known to us all. — EDITOR

Who's for the Museum?

Dear Editor, — Did you not receive my five-page prospectus for a UFO MUSEUM & RESEARCH CENTER, and my four-page listing of 58 sources of UFO information that I mailed you months ago?

I was in hopes that FSR would publish my UFO Museum prospectus (complete with sketches, as well as details of my 58 sources in USA, Canada, Australia, and England — still available for \$2.00 — international money orders or cheques).

Keep up the good work!
Warmest regards,

George D. Fawcett,
602 Battleground Road,
Lincolnton, N.C. 28092,
U.S.A.

February 15, 1988.

Sorry Mr Fawcett, but we don't have the space. Moreover, in the light of what Messrs Hopkins and Strieber and others are discovering, we wonder whether it isn't you and we who will end up, stuffed, in some cosmic museum somewhere? Or maybe alive — in the Zoo! — EDITOR

"Flak for FSR"


Dear Editor, — I fail to see why FSR has been receiving so much *flak* of late. After all, NOBODY knows the cause of the 'Phenomenon' — even after all these years, so therefore EVERYBODY is entitled to his opinion. At least FSR does not publish page after page of boring theories, with ufologists debating and arguing the toss about such and such a book because it doesn't fit into their particular sphere of thinking.

Yours sincerely,

James R. Havers,
53 Cuckmere Crescent,
Gossops Green,
Crawley,
West Sussex RH11 8DJ.
July 5, 1988.

Couldn't our capital offence perhaps be that we have produced a pretty good magazine, for a great deal too long, and with a great deal too many readers, particularly in the USA? — EDITOR

This excellent cartoon was produced by Andrea, the 15-year-old daughter of an FSR reader. (Unfortunately her full name failed to reach the printers).



FLYING SAUCER
■ REVIEW ■
still the
world's leading
journal
■ established 1955 ■

(from Page 21)

1. Frank Scully: *Behind The Flying Saucers* (1950). (US and British editions.)
2. Charles Berlitz and William Moore: *The Roswell Incident* (1980). US and British editions.
3. William Steinman and Lt. Col. Wendelle Stevens: *UFO Crash At Aztec: A Well Kept Secret* (1986). (U.S. edition only, published by UFO Photo Archives, P.O. Box 17206, Tucson, Arizona, 85710.)

In addition to these three books, readers might also consult our Editorial in FSR 32/3 (published 1987. Copies still available at £2.50) where we discussed various facets of the problem, including the possibility that this particular species of little dead crew might be merely *cloned bio-robots* — in which event they would surely not have themselves been the *actual builders* of the crashed discs? (These latter might of course have been made *anywhere* — either outside of our planet, or on this planet (in some underground base or underwater base, regarding which the rumours are steadily increasing.) It also seems conceivable that any kind of machine that is *solid* enough and *three-dimensional* enough to our own limited way of thinking might well have been constructed here, in our three-dimensional world, but nevertheless by something "alien" existing in a *four-dimensional* or *multi-dimensional* world.

* * * * *

by the lady Kaga no Chiyo (1703–1775 A.D.)
 (Japan)

All things that seem
 Are but
 One dreamer's dream.

STOP PRESS

AMONG numerous alien types said to be "looking in upon us", a tall species, known in U.S. official circles as "*the Blonds*", are said to be benevolent. But a smaller and cleverer species, "*the Greys*", between 3½ and 4½ ft. high and described in countless reports (including crash reports) and especially in the books of Whitley Strieber and Budd Hopkins, are *reputedly in grave biological difficulties due to the failure of their own glandular system, and are therefore purloining chromosomes and DNA and glands and organs from our cattle (over 10,000 cattle mutilations in the USA) and sperm and ova from humans (and probably organs and glands too).* (In February 1984, Dr Womack of Texas A. and M. University announced that human and cattle chromosomes were well-nigh identical — 23 pairs in us and 30 pairs in the cattle.) The "*Greys*", who reportedly were in contact with Hitler, have since allegedly made some sort of "*deal*" with at least one of the leading governments on Earth, securing "*permission*" (!) for the mutilations and for numerous underground bases in return for certain technological information! The recent sudden outbreak of "*peace*" all over our warring planet, plus the antics of both Washington and Moscow, seem to indicate that both Governments, and others, are now deeply worried. And there are reports that the "*deal*" has "*turned sour*" and that there have been armed clashes, with loss of lives.

An important new stage in our evolution may thus be at hand, though we must bear in mind that such "*genetic interference from outside*" has probably been going on ever since "*Man*" was created here.


There are also other curious points to be noted, such as the sudden enormous advances in computers here, and all the sudden advances in human "*biological engineering*" and "*spare-parts replacements*" etc., including now talk of fitting us out with parts of *pigs* (whose DNA, I learn, is also close to ours!) All of which, in my opinion, indicates a rapid increase in the mental control and domination of the humans by an alien species). Karl Jung was indeed right when he predicted that changes of the most profound kind were at hand for mankind. And I have not the slightest doubt that all this fits together closely with the Islamic traditions about the various species of *Jinns*, for the reports indicate that, just like the *Jinns*, the "*Blonds*" and the "*Greys*" are both capable of manipulating matter, materializing and dematerializing, etc. Some current reports indicate that the "*Blonds*" are even capable of *interdimensional travel* — a faculty not possessed by the "*Greys*" — G.C.

FLYING SAUCER TIE

John M. Lade, Secretary of FSR Publications Ltd., writes:-

"We introduced the Flying Saucer necktie in 1962 to indicate (1) A serious interest in the subject of Flying Saucers and (2) a willingness to discuss it. Although commercially successful, we allowed it to lapse, because we came to think that the subject required scientific study rather than club enthusiasm. We are still of this opinion: however, the study of the UFO phenomena has become worldwide and, with different languages involved, as well as greater opportunity to travel, we are now reviving this tie in its original meaning, as a token of serious scientific study for the interest of all mankind.

"The design is the same as before and shows a pattern of small, silvery saucers on a dark-blue background. The necktie, in 100% polyester reppe weave, is available from us at £5.00 each (\$10.00 US), post free by surface mail."



FSR Publications Ltd., PO Box 12, Snodland, Kent ME6 5JZ, England.