

archived as http://www.stealthskater.com/Documents/UFONuke_02.doc [pdf]

more related articles at <http://www.stealthskater.com/UFO.htm>

*note: because important websites are frequently "here today but gone tomorrow", the following was archived from <http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/080718/lkl.01.html> on July 22, 2008. This is **NOT** an attempt to divert readers from the aforementioned website. Indeed, the reader should **only** read this back-up copy if the updated original cannot be found at the original author's site.*

UFOs and Nukes

transcript from The Larry King Show (CNN)

aired July 18, 2008 - 21:00 ET

THIS IS A RUSH TRANSCRIPT. THIS COPY MAY NOT BE IN ITS FINAL FORM AND MAY BE UPDATED.

Larry King, Host: Tonight, have UFOs shut down our Government's defense systems?

There is evidence that something caused missiles to malfunction during test launches. Former Air Force officers tell their incredible story about the film that was confiscated by the CIA and what was on it and why don't officials want us to see it.

Find out right now on "Larry King Live".

Larry King: Good evening.

We begin with allegations that UFOs have interfered with missiles at U.S. Air Force bases and *aliens* are monitoring nuclear warheads and bombs.

Our first guests claim that UFOs have activated missile systems at 5 Air Force Bases in 5 different states. They also claim a cover-up -- that the United States Government is keeping the information secret.

Former Air Force officers and an investigator are here with their stories.

Here in Los Angeles is **Robert Hastings**. He's author of UFOs and Nukes. I have his book right here. The book is available at ufohastings.com. He has been investigating sightings at weapon sites for years.

Bob Salas is a former captain of the United States Air Force. He was at Malstrom Air Force Base in 1967 where there were claims that a UFO caused missiles to malfunction. He's co-author of Faded Giant.

Bob Jamison is also with us -- a former U.S. Air Force officer. He was at Malstrom as well in 1967. And he says his superiors told him UFOs caused the malfunctions.

And in Peoria, Illinois is **Dr. Bob Jacobs** -- a former lieutenant in the U.S. Air Force, former U.S. Air Force photographic instrumentation officer. A UFO showed up on film that he shot in 1954 at Vandenberg Air Force Base and was later confiscated by CIA agents.

[**StealthSkater note: these stories were well-documented in one of the "bibles" of UFO research -- namely The UFO Cover-up (formerly published as "Clear Intent") by Lawrence Fawcett and Barry Greenwood. It was one of the 4 "go to" references that appeared in the assembly instructions by John Andrews for Testors' model of Lazar's UFO (http://www.stealthskater.com/Documents/Andrews_1.doc).**]

Some excerpts from this book are archived at (a) [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL-doc](#) [URL-pdf](#) and (b) [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL-doc](#) [URL-pdf](#) . The authors' site at one time was <http://www.project1947.com/> . It was also referenced at <http://www.ufomind.com/misc/1998/aug/d27-001.shtml> . (Note that you may have to use the Internet "Wayback Machine" to access past versions of these if they do not exist today.)]

All of our guests are named 'Bob', so I'm going to call them by their last names.

We'll start with Robert Hastings. How did you get ... What's your explanation for UFOs at nuclear weapon sites?

Robert Hastings: I can simply say after 35 years of research that these incidents have taken place. There are hundreds of declassified documents which indicate that UFOs have demonstrated a distinct and ongoing interest in our nuclear weapons sites. I've also interviewed nearly a hundred gentlemen who were involved in these incidents at various Air Force bases. This is very widespread. What you're seeing here this evening is the "tip of the iceberg".

King: How do they cause a malfunction? What do they do to cause something to not work?

Hastings: I think that's probably still an unknown. I know that Boeing engineers attempted to duplicate some of the malfunctions. They did succeed in doing that. But they still can't call ... determine what initially caused them. Bob Salas can address that.

King: Bob, what happened at Malstrom in 1967?

Bob Salas (former USAF officer worked at base where missile malfunctioned): In 1967, I was on duty as a missile launch officers. I got calls from my guards upstairs.

First, I get one call saying that they're seeing strange lights flying in the sky. I didn't pay too much attention to that. About 5 minutes later, the main security guard -- the flight security controller -- calls down and says he's looking at a glowing red object, very large, hovering over the front gate. And he wants to know what to do.

I tell him to secure the facility. We hang up. I go to tell my commander. So within seconds of that call, my missiles start shutting down. I recall losing all 10 of them.

King: You didn't see the object?

Salas: I didn't see the object because I was obliged to stay underground in the capsule.

King: By "shutting down", we mean what?

Salas: By "shutting down", what I mean is they were not launchable. They were in "no-go" condition, disabled.

King: How long to restart them?

Salas: How long to restart? Well, I'm sure it took over a day and maybe {INAUDIBLE}.

King: Now, Bob Jamison ... You were there too, right?

Bob Jamison (former USAF officer whose superiors told him that UFOs cause missile malfunctions):
Yes, Sir.

King: And you were in the Air Force?

Jamison: Yes, I was in the Air Force. I was...

King: And where were you when this was happening?

Jamison: Yes, I was a "tightening officer" -- a missile targeting officer. I was at home relaxing when I got [a call] from job control to come in. A missile had gone down. My job as a target officer was to bring them back up. And so I went in to...

King: But you didn't see the incident? You just went to the missile?

Jamison: No, I went to the incident. I went to the site.

King: Where is Malstrom?

Jamison: It's Great Falls, Montana. Just outside of Great Falls, Montana.

King: What did you make of the story?

Jamison: Well, I know that it's The Skeptic Society. I went into job control after I got to the hangar. I was called in. I went to the hangar. I went to the job control. And I noticed they have a map of the whole complex -- the green lights where the missiles are "good". But there's one small area with 10 red lights. It means those missiles were "out".

King: Is it possible they just malfunctioned?

Jamison: That doesn't happen. Very rarely does a missile malfunction. And I don't think any ... Much more rare would be two at the same time. But never 10.

King: Now, Bob Jacobs ... where were you? What were you filming and where were you?

Dr. Bob Jacobs, USAF ret. (former USAF photographic instrumentation officer whose film of UFOs was later confiscated by 2 CIA agents): I was in charge of optical instrumentation at Vandenberg

Air Force Base in from 1963 to '66. Our job was to photograph ...

King: That's California?

Jacobs: That's California. That's right there on the coast. And our job was to photograph with high-speed instrumentation every missile launch from Vandenberg going down the test range. They wanted to find out if we could figure out a place to put a telescope where we could get a side view of the missile so that we could see all 3 stages of powered flight.

So I went up to Big Sur, California, up on an Air ... on the U.S. Forest Service road on Anderson Peak and installed a telescopic site up there. And the Air Force flew in a huge catarctic telescope from the Cape. It was built by Dr. Walter Manning at Boston University.

They put this telescope up there. And with that thing -- which had a focal length of 2,500 inches -- we photographed an Atlas missile raising up out of the fog cover and flying downrange. We got all 3 stages of powered flight. And as the dummy warhead and the package flew on down the range, we were all celebrating the fact that we had seen the thing and accomplished the mission.

When I got back to the base with the film, the next day I was called into the office of Major Florenze J. Mansmann. And there were 3 people in gray suits standing in there. There was a 16-millimeter camera and a screen set up.

Major Mansmann said "*Lieutenant, sit down and watch this.*" And he turned down the lights, turned on the camera ... on the projector and the film came on. And I recognized it as the film that we had shot at Big Sur the previous day.

Toward the end of the flight, I was looking at Major Mansmann saying "*pretty good stuff, huh Sir?*" And suddenly he said "*Just watch this.*"

And as I watched, the warhead -- the dummy warhead, the chaff that was put out in front of it as the decoy to deflect the Russian anti-missile missile tracking radar... Everything was flying along and suddenly -- in the same direction this stuff was flying at about 8,000 miles-an-hour -- an object came into the frame ... shot a beam of light at the warhead ... flew up to the top ... shot another beam of light at the warhead ... flew around the direction it was flying ... shot another beam of light at the warhead ... flew down to shoot another beam of light at the warhead ... and then flew out the same way it came in.

[StealthSkater note: This is the same tale told by Clark McClelland ([doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#)).]

King: Well, I don't understand.

Jacobs: And that's {INAUDIBLE})...

King: Why didn't you see this when you were shooting it?

Jacobs: Well, it was 600 or 800 miles away from us.

King: Oh, I got you ...

Jacobs: All of this...

King: And they confiscated...

Jacobs: We only could see this...

King: They confiscated...

Jacobs: Well, first of all, Major Mansmann said to me "*What was that?* Were you guys screwing around up there?"

I said "*No, Sir.*" And he said "Then tell me what that was." And I said "*We got a UFO.*" And he said "*Lieutenant, you are never to speak of this again. As far as you're concerned, this didn't happen.*"

King: Hold on, guys.

Jacobs: And for ...

King: We've got to take a break. Okay. That's weird. Do you think there's an actual defense plan for aliens? It sounds crazy. We'll ask about that next.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: I'm not alone in witnessing something extraordinary. That's the bottom line.

Unidentified Male: Powerful beaming spotlights.

Unidentified Male: Triangular in shape, sitting on 3 legs.

Unidentified Male: No type of aircraft that I've ever seen before.

Unidentified Male: : Rapidly maneuvered and quickly disappeared.

Unidentified Male: Accelerating to very high speeds.

Unidentified Male: We were just trying our cars...

Unidentified Male: And what we were seeing, it don't resemble anything known to us.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Bob Jamison, we'll make this clear: you were asked to say nothing?

Jamison: I was not asked to say nothing. In fact, no one admonished me and I did not sign an oath saying that I can't say anything.

King: So, what ... There was no cover-up as far as you were concerned?

Jamison: That's correct.

King: You just ...

Jamison: I can tell. I can speak about it.

King: You can tell what you saw? Do you think there's a plan for invasion by aliens?

Jamison: I wouldn't presume to know that. I simply know that the U.S. Government does not obviously appreciate people -- such as myself and these gentlemen -- speaking out about this. What we're describing -- on an ongoing basis decade-after-decade at multiple Air Force bases -- is just disruption of our nuclear missiles.

King: We have an e-mail from "Kyle" in Plainville, Massachusetts: *"Why would UFOs only disable U.S. defense systems and not another country? Is there a lesson to be learned in all of this?"*

Or do you, Bob Salas, think maybe, they have disabled other countries?

Salas: They have. I know that there have been events in the Soviet Union where they have interfered there. They've been seen in just any country you could name. You know, I do disable communications that's {INAUDIBLE}.

King: We have an e-mail from "Eric" in Atlanta, Georgia: *"What can be done -- if anything -- to force the U.S. Government and/or military to declassify and release all it knows about UFOs?"*

Dr. Jacobs, when do you think that would happen? Do you think that would happen?

Jacobs: It would take a revolution in public opinion. The problem with this field is that it's surrounded by so many crackpots and weirdoes who make a joke about it. That those of us -- who take it seriously and think that something definitely is going on and it needs to be scientifically investigated -- are laughed at. The technician here in the studio where I am ... I said that we're talking about UFOs tonight and her face lit up. She got that kind of "hmmm" look. Which is typical of what happens to us.

I think that we need to a real scientific committee to be put together to look into these things. I think Rob {INAUDIBLE}, too.

King: I agree.

Unidentified Male: Absolutely.

King: Bob Salas, do you agree?

Salas: Yes. I would like to make a comment real quick. The Air Force has perpetrated a fraud. Especially in our case. They claim in their statement about UFOs that nothing has ... No UFO incident has ever affected national security.

And we lost 20 missiles during the Cold War. They also stonewalled the Condon Committee at that time. The Condon Committee had heard about our incident and were told to go away. They said that they were told that no UFOs were involved.

And then the Air Force turns around and uses the Condon Committee as a reason not to further investigate UFOs.

King: Robert Hastings, in doing all these shows, what confounds me is if all of this is "The Skeptic Society", what are they afraid of? What's the Government afraid of?

Hastings: Victor Marchetti is a former high-level CIA official. He wrote the book CIA and The Cult of Intelligence in 1975 -- a best-seller. The CIA tried to prohibit that being printed.

He -- Victor Marchetti -- in 1979 wrote an article regarding what the CIA thought about UFOs. He alluded to rumors at the agency of crashed UFOs and the recovery of bodies of *aliens*. More to the point, Victor Marchetti said that in his view as an intelligence analyst, he thinks that the power structures -- the elite, the status quo, people in every country on Earth who are in on the secret -- are really trying to maintain their own power and status and don't want to rock the boat.

[StealthSkater note: in my archives, I found "Victor Marchetti" referenced in [Cannon 4.doc](#), [Coppens 10.doc](#), [Good 01.doc](#), [Majestic 05.doc](#), and [NEXUS 4.doc](#). Some of these had to do with "mind-control" or the "screen memory implants" twist of UFOs.]

King: An e-mail from "Christian" in Brighton, Colorado: *"When is the Air Force going to stop lying to the people and finally tell them the truth about alien visitation? The American people are paying their salaries. They are supposed to defend and respect the Constitution of the United States of America."*

Bob Jamison, do you ever think we'll ever see it? Do you think we'll ever see an Air Force official ... the Secretary of the Air Force come on and say here's the story?

Jamison: Perhaps through more programs such as this, we can get the public tuned to the fact that there were ... are UFOs. They're not going to hurt you, I don't think. And they haven't hurt anybody that I know of. And I think that, perhaps, through more programs such as yours and such as these people are bringing out...

Unidentified Male: And a Government investigation immediately.

Jamison: Yes.

Unidentified Male: An open investigation.

King: There's never been one, right?

Unidentified Male: Right.

Salas: Not really, no. I mean in 1968 was the last Congressional hearing on UFOs ... 1968!

King: 40 years.

Salas: Forty years. We need another one. We need a strong one. Hopefully, the next administration will do that.

Hastings: There's been a lot of behind-the-scenes manipulation of Congress by the military lesson personnel.

King: Because of what? Fear of what?

Hastings: Well, again, Larry, these gentlemen are talking about nuclear missiles being dropped offline. The Pentagon does not want the Russians -- or previously the Soviets -- to know that. It's going on in the Soviet Union as Bob Salas has said. But I have interviewed persons who were involved with the Minutemen missile bases in the 60s, 70s, 80s, and 90s. And they say that this is continuing to occur.

I've actually interviewed a gentleman a year ago who said that his missiles were activated by UFO. And I describe that in detail in my book. I asked him to come on this program. But he declined, I think, because he's uneasy about talking publicly.

King: There are skeptics, not a surprise. Bill Nye, the science guy, is here when LARRY KING LIVE returns.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: It does not contain any pattern of purpose or of consistency.

Unidentified Male: And it's not a weather balloon nor an aircraft nor a missile. It is something else.

Unidentified Male: I don't know what they saw in 1947. But I'm quite sure it probably was Project Mobile.

CWO John Haut (ret.), U.S. Navy: Nothing we had at the time could fit the description, size, and shape.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Go to CNN.com/larryking right now and take our quick vote. Is the United States Government hiding knowledge of UFOs? Let us know what you think.

Joining our panel is **Bill Nye** "the science guy". He's a scientist, engineer, best-selling author, and Emmy winning television personality. He's, by the way, a member of "The Skeptic Society" and a fellow with the Committee for Skeptical Inquiry.

Now, Bill, assuming that these distinguished gentlemen are not lying, we have 3 former members of the Air Force and Robert Hastings who's looked into this for a long time. What's your thought?

Bill Nye "The Science Guy": Well, in the skeptical world -- in Science -- we look at claims. We look at individual claims. So I noticed that in the intro to your show and stuff that you ... There are several UFO incidents all mixed in together.

But let's talk about the one in 1967, right? This is your problem at Malstrom Air Force Base in Montana.

Unidentified Male: Malstrom.

Nye: So the nuclear missiles went down. Right?

So if you go look at the documents that these guys have offered -- if I understand it -- as evidence, you look at some of them ... and you don't have to look read it ... but you see there's something 'blacked out', okay, redacted. That's a guy's home address. They don't want you to publish your home address.

Here's one with a whole bunch of people's office phone numbers and stuff. And it looks spooky and scary.

King: What's your point?

Nye: Well, it looks spooky and scary. But it turns out that that day -- or the day before -- the power had gone out in some of the chiller units. The air conditioning, OK?

And Boeing was called out -- Boeing makes the Minuteman missile -- because all these things went down and they wanted to know what had happened.

King: So the man who called him and said he saw something outside, he didn't see something?

Nye: Well, let me just say when you see something, a lot of people see something. And a lot of people see things that are really ... they can't identify. But that doesn't mean they were ... It's quite a leap...

King: So you're saying it's a coincidence.

Nye: Yes.

King: This guy thought he saw something and the missiles go out?

Nye: And then you talk to people who were there ...

King: All right. Bob Salas, how do you respond?

Nye: ... and it's very compelling. And these documents ...

King: Hold up.

Nye: I just want to address this.

King: Okay. Let him respond.

Nye: When you respond, address that one of the officers suspected that somebody had been drinking, okay?

Salas: I never heard that.

Nye: Okay?

(CROSSTALK)

Salas: I never heard that explained that anybody was drinking.

Let me say first of all, the missile shutdowns had nothing to do with power failure. There is triple redundancy on power. We've got Montana Power. We've got a backup generator. And we've got batteries. Okay?

The power...

King: You've never seen missiles go down due to a power failure?

Salas: Not really because of all that backup on power. So this had nothing to do with power. The second point: the flight security guard that reported this was about less than 100 feet away from this object. He was looking at it through his window. It was right outside the front gate right above the gate. It was a glowing red object, pulsing.

Nye: Okay. Did you see it?

Salas: No. I couldn't see it.

King: No, he delegate 2006 election it.

Salas: I couldn't see it. I couldn't leave the capsule. But within seconds after that report, the missiles shut down. Now, was that a coincidence?

Nye: Well, it's "hearsay" as we say in the courtroom.

Salas: It's not hearsay.

Nye: Well, you're saying that this guy "told" you.

Salas: It was testimony.

King: Well, you're not saying -- I'm not asking you -- you don't think he's lying?

Nye: No.

King: All right. So the guy did tell him?

Nye: He did tell him and he saw something. And coincidentally, the missile shut down.

King: All right, okay. The guy saw something.

Unidentified Male: Coincidentally is called...

(CROSSTALK)

King: Wasn't that a weird coincidence?

Nye: Yes. I mean I've ... Many times I've flipped a light switch and you hear a siren.

Salas: What if the same thing happened a week earlier. Only this time 10 missiles go down. A lot of security guards see the UFOs right above the what are 5 other missile bases...

Nye: OK. So why don't we...

Unidentified Male: ... on repeated occasions.

(CROSSTALK)

Unidentified Male: Is that a coincidence, too? I mean you can buy the two... Are those two coincidences?

Unidentified Male: ... the 1950s, '60s, '70s, and '80s.

King: One at a time. One at there are time.

Unidentified Male: Well, but here's the thing. It's not...

Unidentified Male: There's over a hundred people. They'l l...

Nye: Well in Science, it's not evidence.

Unidentified Male: I wish ... I wish Edgar Mitchell were here. But in any case ...

King: It may not be evidence, let's say. But it is certainly a source of ...

Unidentified Male: Well, a hundred people are telling the same story at different Air Force bases over a 4-week period.

Unidentified Male: {INAUDIBLE}

Unidentified Male: These guys were entrusted ...

King: One at a time.

Unidentified Male: ...with weapons of destruction by the U.S. Government.

Unidentified Male: Yes.

Unidentified Male: And there's a hundred now who are coming forward and saying UFOs shut down their nuclear weapons.

(CROSSTALK)

Unidentified Male: So we have a mass psychosis...

King: All right. In the interests of time...

Unidentified Male: ...among our nuclear missile forces?

King: Hold it. In the interests of time, Dr. Jacobs -- he takes pictures, he comes back, they call him in. They show him the pictures of this strange thing.

Unidentified Male: I saw it. Im an eyewitness!

King: He saw it. They confiscate.

(CROSSTALK)

King: And they confiscate it?

Nye: Yes.

King: Why? Why?

Unidentified Male: I'm not talking about people who reported ...

(CROSSTALK)

Unidentified Male: I wouldn't be surprised ...

Unidentified Male: Hello?

Unidentified Male: Go ahead.

King: Yes, go ahead.

Jacobs: Do you mind if I speak, Mr. Comedian?

King: Go ahead.

Jacobs: I was there. I was there. I saw the film with my own eyes. I'm not lying. Why would I?

I'm a university professor with a Ph.D. and a lot of years of good respectful research. So the {INAUDIBLE} officer may not have seen the UFO. But they saw the results of it. I saw the damn thing on film with my own eyes so don't call me a liar. And you weren't there -- I was!

Nye: I didn't ... With all due respect, I'm not calling you a liar. It's just quite a step to say there was a film with remarkable images on it that the CIA confiscated which I saw and which ...

Jacobs: It's quite a step they did.

Nye: Yes. Which is quite a step from there to say it was definitely a spacecraft from another civilization. That's the leap that the skeptical community is reluctant to take.

[StealthSkater note: I can understand to what Mr. Nye is suggesting. Actually it is similar to what Bob Lazar (of all people!) said regarding reading briefing excerpts from what he called the "Government Bible" of *Alien* interaction with humans over thousands-of-years. He said that while interesting, they were just "words on paper" (like the film that Dr. Jacobs saw -- who knows how it was actually produced especially when you have intelligence agencies involved!) => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#) . And unlike the actual physical craft that Lazar (giving him the benefit of the doubt -- there are theories that Lazar was actually distributing disinformation on behalf of Naval Intelligence) saw demonstrated in front of his own eyes (unless it was a sophisticated holographic projection => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#)).]

Jacobs: Listen, I didn't ... Hey, pal! Listen to me. I didn't say it was a spaceship from another civilization. I said it was something in the air that we couldn't identify. Therefore, it was an Unidentified Flying Object.

It was shaped like 2 saucers put together with a golf ball on top. And it fired a beam that we assumed was a plasma beam at a dummy warhead and knocked it out of space. Tell me what happened. Tell me who did that. Tell me in 1964 who had that technology, pal. Not us. And not the Russians and nobody I know of.

So come on, Mr. Skeptic. What about it?

Nye: So what's your conclusion?

Jacobs: What's your conclusion?

Nye: Well, my conclusion is that something happened that you don't know what it was. And I wouldn't be surprised if it had something to do with another aspect of military testing in the sky that night. And it's a much more reasonable explanation ...

(CROSSTALK)

Jacobs: We had nothing ... There was nothing in our inventory that could do possibly do that.

Nye: So let's do ... Let's do this other little thought experiment, everybody. Okay, let's say this has been going on since 1967 routinely, right? There's an old joke in broadcasting ...

Unidentified Male: Are you going to do this with baking soda and vinegar, Bill?

King: Hold on. We're running out of time.

{LAUGHTER}

Nye: It's an old joke in broadcasting...

King: What is it?

Nye: Well, it's like trying to photograph a car wreck. At one time, considered an impossible thing to do. If you sent out a news crew, OK, let's go shoot a car wreck -- that was a joke. Well now, routinely on the nightly news we see car wrecks. We see car wrecks on the freeway. We see car wrecks behind us.

King: Why was it a joke that your ...

Nye: Because there didn't used to be a camera everywhere. There are millions and millions of cameras. There are billions of digital pictures taken every week.

King: So you're saying he -- they -- you're not saying there are no UFOs. You're saying they haven't been proven?

Nye: I'm saying that it's quite a step to see something you don't know what it is in the sky to say that there are *alien* spacecraft ...

Unidentified Male: But you've got to understand Dr. Jacobs ...

Nye: ...that are monitoring our nuclear weapons.

King: He has seen this, Dr. Jacobs.

Nye: Well, I understand that.

King: Now, so you're ... If you're questioning what he's seen...

Nye: No. Well, I'm questioning his conclusion that the technology did not exist in 1964 to produce images on film that the CIA would want to confiscate.

Unidentified Male: If I may ...

Jacobs: Well, in 1964 you were trying to figure out what ...

Nye: That's quite a step.

Jacobs: In 1964, you were trying to figure out what girls were. I was in the Service as a senior scientist (INAUDIBLE) capacity.

Nye: Sir, you can attack me ...

Jacobs: So get off your skeptic high horse, pal.

Nye: But that doesn't ... Well, it just doesn't...

Jacobs: You're attacking us.

Nye: It's quite a step ...

Jacobs: You're the one who's making ad hominem attacks and saying "that's quite a step". You bet it's quite a step!

Hastings: If I may? If I may?

Unidentified Male: Yes, please.

King: All right. One at a time, please. We've got 2 minutes left.

Hastings: The other person -- the other former Air Force officer, retired Air Force officer involved in it was charged with photoanalysis of this film. Florenze Mansmann who's now deceased.

Unidentified Male: Florenze J. Mansmann.

Hastings: I've spoken to his widow. I have correspondence -- private correspondence between Dr. Jacobs and Dr. Mansmann, actually. And 20 years later, they are marveling over what they saw. Mansmann's professional assessment at Vandenberg in 1964 was that this was an extraterrestrial craft.

Nye: Okay. So he made that conclusion.

Hastings: That's correct.

Nye: Okay. All right.

Hastings: And I can send you -- I will give CNN -- I'm trying to get the national media involved in this as much as possible. I will send anyone any correspondence for any newspaper, any radio station, TV station, any scientist, any member of your group all of the original documentation...

King: All right, we...

Hastings: ...where these gentlemen are discussing the subject 20 years later.

King: All right, we're going to have -- we're going to do a lot more on this, because we do a lot on UFOs.

We thank you all for coming. And we thank Dr. Jacobs.

But when we come back, we're going to really get into it -- a double debate. We're going to have a physicist, a lecturer, a researcher, and a documentary filmmaker go up against Bill Nye and Dr. Seth Shostek into a Two-versus-Two on this whole subject.

Don't go away.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: Do you think it was possible it was from another world?

Unidentified Male: My Air Force training says I can't think that way, okay?

Unidentified Male: I know what I saw. And I get very upset. And I was wondering why they won't find out what it was?

Unidentified Male: Until somebody actually sees it, they have no idea. No idea.

Unidentified Male: A story this big, there's no way it could be kept secret. What do you say to something like that? Who says that?

Col. Gordon Cooper (ret.), Astronaut: Well, somebody's kept it pretty secret for quite a while, haven't they.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Four distinguished gentlemen will now debate that reasonably. They are here in Los Angeles

Stanton Friedman -- a physicist, lecturer, and a UFO researcher and author of Flying Saucers and Science. **James Fox** is a documentary filmmaker and UFO researcher, the executive producer of "Out of The Blue", and finishing up a new film -- the working title "Beyond The Blue". **Bill Nye** our science guy remains. And in Boston is **Dr. Seth Shostak** -- the senior astronomer for the SETI Institute. That stands for the "Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence". He's the host of the weekly radio program "Are We Alone?"

All right, Stanton, it is Bill's contention that yes, people have sighted things. Yes, there are reports. But we don't know that there are unidentified flying objects from other planets.

Stanton Friedman, physicist: Well, you know, I admire Bill's courage. I can't imagine a well-trained scientist who's an expert at communicating Science to the general masses of people who has courage enough to go on a national, international television program to talk about something which he hasn't researched -- something which he knows nothing about -- and pretend he's being a scientist about it. Frankly, I'm sick and tired of the debunkers making their research be proclamations.

Bill showed a document with, you know, names redacted. How about this is a CIA document - it took me 5 years to get -- about UFOs. Not just names redacted.

King: Are you saying, Stanton, as a physicist that there are unidentified flying objects that have come from other places?

Friedman: Yes. I'm going beyond that. That's why the book is flying saucers and UFOs.

King: They would be unidentified.

Friedman: Yes, I'm saying some UFOs are intelligently-controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft. We're dealing with a Cosmic Watergate. Meaning a cover-up by the Government. And there are no good arguments against those two. And we're dealing with the biggest story of the Millennium.

King: Before I have Bill respond, let's have Dr. Shostak respond. Doctor, what do you know or not know?

Seth Shostak, Sr., astronomer, SETI Institute: Stanton likes to say that those of us who are not doing the UFO research shouldn't opine about them. And I find that not such a convincing argument because, frankly, I don't do black hole research.

I'm an astronomer. I don't do black hole research. But I can read a paper about black holes and decide whether it sounds credible or not. Is the guy who did it credible? Was the paper reviewed? Are other scientists convinced? Can I repeat the experiment?

There's no reason Bill Nye or I can't offer a serious opinion on this subject. So I regret that he said that.

Secondly, you're not offering ...

(CROSS TALK)

King: Don't interrupt. Let's bring in James Fox. Do you know that ... You're not a scientist but a filmmaker ... Do you know that objects have come from other places -- not the Earth?

James Fox, documentary filmmaker: Here's my theory.

King: "Theory"?

Fox: Two possibilities, the observed phenomena ... By the way, I've got a little document here that was released from the Air Material Command, General Nathan Twining (ph), admitting on the 23rd of September, 1947 that the phenomenon is real and not visionary or fictitious.

King: What do you know?

Fox: Basically, there's an observed technology for at least 60 years that one can easily establish. The ability to hover without making sound, without disturbing the air, and accelerate from the standpoint to out of sight in the blink of an eye. Either there's an agency within some government in the World that is in possession of that technology and has kept it under wraps for 60 years. I can definitively say that.

King: The government could be ours.

Fox: And it's terrestrial explanation. There's a terrestrial explanation for that technology or there isn't.

King: Or the government could be ours, too.

Fox: Or the government could be ours which would explain that they've kept this technology under wraps for 60 years or more.

King: Could you unequivocally, Bill, say there are no objects coming from outer space? Can you say that?

Nye: Of course not. There are definitely objects coming from outer space. There's 100,000 tons of ///

King: I mean manned alien.

Nye: ... dust that lands of day. Put a sheet out every night. You will find dust.

King: Aliens.

Nye: I'm very skeptical. As we say in science, the simplest explanation is generally the best one. So here's our problem, I think: people are confident that the United States government has covered things up.

King: Right.

Nye: And indeed, if you read recent publications, stuff declassified last year, and I didn't read the book, but if you read something like "Legacy of Ashes" about the CIA, the CIA has covered up a lot of things. A couple times, they got their own forces shooting at themselves because one part of the organization didn't tell the other part of the organization.

King: What's your point?

Nye: My point being that the U.S. government could have accidentally led people to believe that there was a lot more going on than there really was. And so this ability of the U.S. government to create these rumors, generally inadvertently, may I say, has led people to have confidence that when they can't explain something by traditional means, it must be some amazing, never-before experienced -

King: Let me get a break in. We'll come right back. Where are these so-called space aliens coming from? What do they want from us? We'll ask after the break.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

King: There's still time to participate in our quick vote. Is the United States Government hiding knowledge of UFOs? Head to CNN.com/LarryKing right now and weigh in. 'Yes' -- by the way -- right now, is in the lead.

Before we continue, let's take a look at a clip from James Fox's new movie. It involves a Japanese airliner. Watch.

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: Ahead on the radar, approximately 5 miles in front of your 6:00 position. Do you concur?

Unidentified Male: We cannot identify the type. It's quite big.

Unidentified Male: The CIA said to all the people there that this event never happened. Who are you going to believe? Your "lying eyes" or the Government?

---END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Why is the Government covering up, Stanton?

Friedman: In my book, I have a whole chapter on 6 reasons for it. You want to figure out how they work. You worry about the other guy figuring out how they work before you do because they make wonderful weapons delivery and defense systems. You don't want them to know you know

they know kind of thing. If a big announcement were to be made, there would be what? Church attendance would go up; mental hospital admissions would go up; the stock market would go down. But there would be a big push for earthling orientation. And no government wants that.

And fourth, some of the religious extremists ...

King: Do you, Dr. Shostak, believe there would be a panic?

Shostak: No. Absolutely not, Larry. Polls have shown since the 1960s that something like 50 percent, 60 percent Americans believe we're being visited by saucer-sailing *aliens* that occasionally abduct you for experiments that are inappropriate on a first date. And nobody's rioting in the streets about that. If the *New York Times* announced it tomorrow, I think that people would say "*I knew it all along*".

King: Our latest Associated Press poll shows 14 percent of Americans claim they've seen a UFO and 34 percent say they believe in UFOs. James, you had eyewitnesses you spoke to in Arizona -- right? -- who told you they saw. They saw what?

Fox: There was a craft. It's the boomerang-shaped craft. It's up to a mile across. It flew extremely slowly.

King: A mile across?

Fox: A mile across. It flew extremely slowly. People had to fly directly over. We're not talking about an ambiguous light off in the distance. Directly over their house -- so low they could have hit it with a rock.

King: How many people told you they saw it?

Fox: There's been over 1,000 people who have come forward. I talked to at least 150 from all over the state of Arizona including the governor of Arizona who did launch an investigation with the Pentagon local Air Force base -- Luke Air Force Base.

King: What happened in the investigation?

Fox: They shrugged their shoulders and said "*We don't know what that thing was.*"

King: How do you respond? They're not lying, Bill. What do you think?

Nye: My recollection was the Air Force said they had a flight of F-16's that dropped flares that night. It's a big 'V'.

King: These people are not ...

Friedman: That was at 10:00 -- not 8:30.

Fox: The real thing happened at 8:30. It started in the north and headed all the way down.

Nye: One explanation is ...

Friedman: ... people couldn't tell time.

Nye: One explanation is people ... Something happened in the evening and we have confused the time. Another explanation is there's an *alien* spacecraft.

King: Okay. Are you open to that possibility?

Nye: Not in the case of the one in Phoenix. In this case ... I don't have the documents in front of me, in all fairness.

Friedman: You haven't studied the evidence is what it boils down to, Bill.

Nye: That's where I really disagree with you, Stan. I've studied the evidence pretty well.

Fox: When this craft took off -- and I talked to people across the state of Arizona -- they said "*had I blinked, I would have missed it*". It didn't make any sound. It didn't disturb the air. It didn't make a sonic boom. And it was at least a mile across, including the governor of Arizona saw this thing.

Friedman: And he's a pilot.

Fox: And he's a pilot and former captain in the Air Force.

Nye: On CNN we had footage of that.

(CROSS TALK)

Fox: No footage of that. I'm about to uncover footage that ...

King: Let me get a break. Why do we presume that *aliens* -- IF they are out there -- are so much smarter than we? Interesting question. We'll try for an answer. Phone calls, too, after the break.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

King: I don't know what it is. We're back. Okay, calm down.

Stanton, a good point from an avid listener. We've lived through many administrations of this. We've lived through Johnson, Nixon -- all right, a whole bunch. We've had Republicans, Democrats, scientists. All of them are covering up? Nobody, nobody, no official has ever said "*I want to look into this*"?

Friedman: As they say ...

Fox: Jimmy Carter on camera ... I was the first person to get Jimmy Carter on camera saying that he tried to launch an investigation and he got nowhere. I got Gerald Ford in a phone interview. He did the same thing.

King: How could a president get nowhere?

Fox: He got nowhere. Bill Clinton tried to launch an investigation.

King: The CIA is hiding all this from Presidents?

Fox: We don't know it's the CIA. It's some sort of quasi-government, quasi-military ...

Nye: Here's the thing. The CIA hides stuff from the President. But that doesn't mean they are *extraterrestrial*.

King: Why, Stanton, on this topic would there be a giant cover-up?

Friedman: Because it affects everything we think about ourselves. As I said, if we make a declaration that indeed *aliens* are visiting, people are going to want to push for an earthling orientation. We have 300 million people ...

Fox: What orientation?

Friedman: A new identity. Instead of as Americans, Chinese, Greeks, Peruvian ...

King: Okay.

Friedman: Who speaks for the Planet. We're going to hold an election, right? But the Chinese have 1.3 billion. India has 1 billion. We have 300 million. We're not going to give up that. They have a common goal to stay in power. That's what government is all about, Larry. You know that.

Fox: Look at it on a smaller scale. Like with Fife Symington. He made a joke out of that sighting that happened in 1977. He had one of his aides dressed up in an *alien* suit. This massive craft flies over the state of Arizona. The governor said there was mounting pressure from his constituents to get to the bottom of it, growing hysteria. He holds a press conference. He has his aide dress up in an alien suit and makes a joke out of the whole thing.

The reason why he did that -- he told us 10 years later -- is because they didn't know what it was. People were freaking out.

King: An e-mail from Anne in Duncan, Oklahoma: "*UFO conspiracy theorists say the U.S. Government is conspiring to keep extraterrestrial contacts a secret. Why wouldn't aliens themselves make their presence known to the World? If they can travel light-years to get here, they don't need government permission for anything.*"

Don't you think they would do that, Seth?

Shostak: Of course they would. Look, you just have to look at the historical analogs, Larry. 1492 -- Columbus lands in America. 10 years later, Spain is sending 25 ships filled with colonists to the Americas. If you had asked the North American natives 10 years after Columbus do you think we're being invaded? It doesn't matter what their military wanted to do, what the chiefs wanted to do. They knew they were being invaded.

It's been more than 60 years since Roswell. As far as I can tell, my flights still take off on time from the local airport. No *aliens* whatsoever.

King: Why don't they come, James?

Fox: Here's the thing.

Friedman: I don't talk to the squirrels in my backyard, Larry.

Fox: I asked this exact question to Edgar Mitchell, Apollo 14 astronaut, 6th man on the Moon. I said why won't they just land? He said ... he really looked at me and said, "*Well, think about it, James. Don't you think if you went to a distant world, don't you think you would want to sit back and observe a little bit?*"

King: Why do they observe Wyoming and not Washington?

(CROSS TALK)

Fox: Washington was buzzed in 1962. It was captured on radar, photographed, caught on photograph.

Nye: That's your claim. What do I do?

Fox: It's a fact.

Nye: What do I do as a civilian when the U.S. Air Force says "Well, that was some flight testing we were doing and we covered it up." What do you do when they declassify the ...

Fox: Are they hiding this technology for 60 years? Maybe they are. But if they're hiding this technology, they shouldn't be because somebody's in possession of technology that could really be beneficial to all of Humanity.

(CROSS TALK)

Fox: I don't know. Maybe they're not. Maybe it is *alien* visitation. I don't know. I can't prove that. But I can tell you ...

King: Do you think *aliens* have visited here?

Friedman: No question about it. The evidence is so powerful. And I wish that Seth -- who when he attended my lecture admitted that he hadn't read any of the ...

King: What do they want -- the *aliens*. Do you think?

Friedman: I got a whole long list of bottom line. They're here to quarantine us.

King: "Quarantine" us?

Friedman: Hey, we're primitive society whose major activity is tribal warfare. I worked on fusion propulsion systems. Everybody in the neighborhood is going to know about fusion. That's what makes the stars work. Within a short time, we'll be able to take our brand of "friendship" which everybody else calls "hostility" out there. Remember, this is a planet that killed 50 million of our own kind in World War II.

Nye: You're saying the *aliens* are afraid that we will take over their technology?

Friedman: I didn't say that.

Fox: This is all speculation right now. Let's start talking about the facts.

Nye: That's where we disagree -- the facts.

(CROSS TALK)

Fox: We have government documents basically stating that these things exists.

Nye: Have you been to Wright Patterson Air Force Base?

Fox: Of course I have.

Nye: You've been there, Larry? It's cool.

(CROSS TALK)

King: They don't show you an *alien*, do they?

Nye: I had security clearance for a while.

Fox: What would you do if you saw one of these things?

Nye: First of all, I would take a picture of it. A good one.

Fox: Then what?

Nye: Then I would look at the picture carefully.

Fox: And then laugh at yourself because no one would believe you.

King: Let me get a break. We'll be right back.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

King: Is that a cow? We're back. I'm trying to figure out is that a cow?

Nye: Cows watching UFOs.

King: Einstein's theory of Relativity ... You can't travel faster than light, right?

Friedman: Yes. But as you get close to the speed-of-light, time slows down. You can go 39 light-years in 6 months pilot time if you're at 99.99 percent speed of the light. We physicists make particles that go ...

Nye: They become infinitely massive.

(CROSS TALK)

Nye: Here's what I'm saying. That's a much better explanation that we don't know enough about it. But Stan, you made a point before the break that the U.S. Air Force will not let you see everything. I should hope not. I hope the U.S. Air Force has a few things up their sleeves.

Friedman: I had 14 years under security.

Nye: But that doesn't mean that the stuff that you can't see at, for example ...

King: Let me get a call in. New Orleans, hello.

Caller: Hi, Larry. How are you doing?

King: Hi.

Caller: I would like to know how often are eye-witnesses given a polygraph to prove that they're telling the truth rather than making up a story just to be on TV?

King: Are they, James?

Fox: It happens.

King: Are they given polygraphs?

: It has happened. Benny Hill passed one on national television.

(*CROSS TALK*)

King: Seth, you are a skeptic? You think we'll ever know the whole story or will never know the whole story?

Shostak: Sorry, I didn't hear ... The "whole story" ... The bottom line is, Larry, is this. Stanton has some insight into *alien* sociology. He knows what they want. But he's failed to convince the scientists of the World.

When he finally does that, you'll have real investigation in this. It will move from the area of fantasy to real science. I'm waiting for that to happen.

Fox: Here's the problem. I just got back from a meeting with the French CNS -- the French equivalent of NASA. I don't know if people know this, but France just released their UFO files which basically confirms that the UFOs are real.

King: They say that?

Fox: Yes.

King: France has announced that UFOs are real?

Fox: The head of CNS just released 50 years of profiles. I went to interview the guy -- Jacques Pattinet (ph) -- just recently and I said to him, "*This is an amazing discovery. How are the French reacting to that?*" He said "*No one is jumping out of windows.*"

King: Not only is anybody jumping out of windows, it ain't a story. I haven't seen it.

Fox: It did get covered a little bit. Not a whole lot but a little bit.

King: Why not?

Fox: I don't know.

Nye: There's a lot of things ...

Fox: You had a show about it. England followed suit and releasing their documents. I asked him. I said, "*What would you like to say to the American government?*" He said, "*Don't be afraid to tell the people the truth.*"

Nye: Exactly. You see something in the sky that you don't know what it is. It doesn't mean they're aliens.

Fox: 60 years of technology ...

King: But if France announces that they're there, why doesn't that impress you?

Nye: What does "they're there" ...

Fox: It's 50 years of scientific study.

Nye: You guys!

Fox: It's been soil analysis, photographic analysis.

Nye: When I was young, you couldn't make a plane without a vertical tail. Now you can. That doesn't mean that there are *aliens*.

Fox: I'm not saying there are *aliens*. I'm saying there are disk-shaped craft. Physical phenomena that defy Physics as we understand it today.

King: Do they defy Physics?

Friedman: No. It means we don't know how to duplicate it yet. That doesn't mean it's impossible. I worked on fusion propulsion systems. It's what goes on in the Sun -- a lot of energy per pound in material -- back 40 years ago, Larry. We haven't built a big system because it takes a lot of money and effort.

King: Guys, we're out of time. Thanks. Another in our series of programs searching for an answer. Go to CNN.com/LarryKing for transcripts, ring tones, photo galleries, and more. Don't forget about tonight's vote: Is the United States Government hiding knowledge of UFOs? And we've got a UFO commentary from the former Arizona governor.

[StealthSkater note: after archiving the above transcript, I found the following UFO-related material from an earlier Larry King CNN show.]

<http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/080711/lkl.01.html>

UFO Hunters Investigate Sightings

transcript from The Larry King Show (CNN)

aired July 11, 2008 - 21:00 ET

THIS IS A RUSH TRANSCRIPT. THIS COPY MAY NOT BE IN ITS FINAL FORM AND MAY BE UPDATED.

Larry King, Host: Tonight, UFOs over Texas. Where were they heading? Radar shows something was headed very fast in the direction of Crawford.

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: We looked up and there it was.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Could it have been an alien aircraft or a super-secret military mission?

We've got eyewitness stories and intriguing new evidence. You can look and listen and decide for yourself.

UFOs -- are they an out-of-this-world phenomenon or is there a down-to-earth explanation?

It's all right now on LARRY KING LIVE.

Good evening.

What-the-heck has been going on in the skies over Stephenville, Texas?

It's a small town not too far from President Bush's Crawford ranch. And earlier this year, Stephenville became ground zero for the biggest mass UFO sighting since the 1997 lights over Phoenix phenomenon.

Let's meet our panel.

A guest in Stephenville is **Constable Lee Roy Gaitan**. He's the long-time Texas lawman who was one of the witnesses to the mysterious lights in the sky on January 8th. He had other strange occurrences as well.

Also in Stephenville is **Angelia Joiner**. She's a former staffwriter for *The Stephenville Empire-Tribune*. She has done extensive coverage of the Stephenville UFO sighting claims.

In Stephenville as well is **James Fox** -- documentary filmmaker, UFO researcher, executive researcher of "Out of the Blue", finishing up a new film. The working title is "Beyond the Blue."

And here in Los Angeles, **Dr. Seth Shostak**. He is senior astronomer at the SETI Institute. That stands for the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence. He's host of a weekly radio program called "Are We Alone?"

All right, Constable Gaitan, what did you see?

Constable Lee Roy Gaitan (says he saw UFOs in the sky over Stephenville): Actually, January the 8th about 7:15, 7:30, about 2½ miles south of Dublin -- which is south of Stephenville -- I walked outside to my car. It was already dark as I'm walking out to the car. As I'm returning back into the house, off to the southwest sky I see a red orb.

The red orb was round, very large. I'd say probably 500 yards away. I saw it just for a split-second. It caught my attention. And right when I focused -- as I focused -- it disappeared. To my surprise, it reappeared seconds later. Again, I just saw it for just a couple of seconds and it vanished.

I knew it wasn't normal. I ran inside. My wife was inside. And I asked my wife to come outside. I explained to her what I saw. She said "*Oh, you probably saw an airplane or something else*" and didn't think much of it. I went outside. My little 8-year-old boy Ryan went outside with me.

I looked in the direction of the southwest sky. I no longer could see these red orbs. Instead, I saw 9-to-10 flashing lights up a little further west -- I'd say probably 3,000 feet up in the air. These lights were bouncing around, not staying still. They were very bright.

King: What did you think you saw, Constable?

Gaitan: Well, you know ...

King: What do you think you saw?

Gaitan: I don't know, Sir. I went to the car and got my binoculars. I tried focusing in on the lights. I didn't see any type of outline, any figure.

After a short period of time, the lights stopped bouncing around and continued flashing strobes and very bright. I'd say probably 2 minutes passed. And in a sequence, these lights shot off to the southeast sky at a blazing speed. They were out of sight within 2-or-3 seconds.

I don't know what I saw. I do know that shortly after seeing these lights disappear, I did see 2 jets fly over, traveling in the path of these lights. I don't know if it was part of an experiment, military, or what. But I clearly saw and heard the jets. I didn't hear any sound when I saw the lights.

King: Angelia, ...

Gaitan: I don't know what ...

King: Angelia, ...

Gaitan: I don't know what to...

King: Hold it, Constable. Angelia, you've been covering this for a while. What do you think?

Angelia Joiner, former staffwriter for *The Stephenville Empire-Tribune*: I think this radar information that's coming out is very thought provoking. In the beginning, I really thought we were probably experiencing something that was military experimental. But lately, I've maybe started to go the other direction -- that perhaps we are being visited from another place.

King: James Fox, what do you think?

James Fox, executive producer for "Out of the Blue" UFO documentary: Well, this is my third time back to Stephenville now. And what piques my interest is some of the other testimonies.

Steve Allen (ph) was a pilot -- another gentleman you're going to hear come on shortly -- who actually stood under this craft as the performance -- the lack of air disturbance, no noise, and the ability to accelerate from 0 to out-of-sight in the blink of an eye. That definitely piqued my interest!

And there's a gentleman who's going to come on and tell us all about that in a minute.

King: I know.

Fox: But that's...

King: (INAUDIBLE)

Fox: (INAUDIBLE) back now.

King: All right. Doctor, what do you make of it?

Dr. Seth Shostak, senior astronomer, SETI Institute: Yes. Well look, you have an incident here. We have lots of witnesses. There's no doubt that something happened. That really isn't the issue. It isn't that anybody's lying, making things up, hoax, or anything like that.

The question is, of course, what they've seen.

Is this evidence so compelling that it tells us, you know, this wasn't some sort of military operation? This wasn't just aircraft from the Brownwood military operations area in Fort Worth but that this was, you know, visitors from another world?

And I haven't heard the compelling evidence that this is *extraterrestrial*.

King: But the Constable says he's never seen anything like it.

Shostak: Well ...

King: And he knows what a plane looks like.

Shostak: Right. Well, you know, I'm sure the Constable is quite honest in that. But the thing is that you see things all the time and you don't know what they are.

I was driving into Los Angeles once. I saw something on the horizon. It turned out to be the Goodyear blimp. But from 5 miles away, it wasn't clear what it was. It was something I had never seen before.

That by itself, of course, doesn't prove this.

King: So your general theory is what?

Shostak: My theory is well, I look for *aliens* for a living, of course. I'm with the SETI Institute. And we think they're out there, of course. That seems like a probable thing. But I don't think they're here. It's not that that's impossible. But I think that if they were here, we'd know it.

King: Constable, do you think they're here?

Gaitan: I'm ... Generally, I believe the way which Miss. Joiner did. I've never been one to believe that there is something else out there. But since this has occurred, I've changed my opinion. I've never seen anything travel so fast. And if indeed we do have -- if we do have something like this in our military -- why aren't we using it?

That's my question. And again, the Military denied the fact that we saw something originally that night.

King: Yes.

Gaitan: 16 days later, they come back and make a statement. "*Hey, we did have aircraft in the area that night.*" You know, I have all the respect in the world for our military. But why did they wait 16 days to come forward?

King: Okay. Thank you, Constable.

Fox: Is there any chance I can ask...

King: Hold it. Hold on, James.

Fox: Okay.

King: Angelia will remain with us, James. So will Dr. Shostak. And other guests will be introduced.

Is the U.S. military harassing people who claim to have seen UFOs? Our next guest says 'Yes' and that's next.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Ricky Sorrells claims harassment since reporting UFO sighting: *So I had my gun and I'm in my camouflage. I'm coming down to the woods. And I'm thinking if I make it into the woods -- at the very back -- I won't have enough sunlight to come back with. And I'm still looking in the trees. And I'm hunting. And this is where it's all going to start.*

-- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: That was Ricky Sorrells on his property near Dublin, Texas. He took our LARRY KING LIVE crew to the very spot where he says he witnessed something very, very unusual. Watch.

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Sorrells: *Right up here is some briars. I got tangled up in these briars and made a whole lot of noise. So I really slowed down. And when I got tangled up in the briars, my head was down and I stopped. And when I did, my head went up and I was in the process of taking another step. Then I looked up and there it was.*

The thing was huge! It was so big that I couldn't see the edges through the canopy of the trees. I couldn't see past it. I started looking at it, all the details. There wasn't no bolts, no rivets, no welded seams. It was smooth. It was like a barn gray -- (INAUDIBLE) a sandy gray.

It had cones protruding, going up into it, bigger at the bottom going up to smaller at the top. It ... Actually, it takes off like this. In my mind, I would have thought it would have raised up to take off for some reason. But it didn't. It remained flat and it took off at a 45-degree angle.

And that was it.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Angelia, James, and Seth Shostak remain with us. And we're joined now by Ricky Sorrells.

All right, Ricky, what do you make of this? Why, by the way, did you keep quiet about it at first?

Sorrells: Well, I had the Military coming down on me. And, you know, it was an awful lot to take in at one time. A whole lot of issues surrounding it. It was a pretty big ordeal for me.

King: What do you think it was, Ricky?

Sorrells: You know, I don't know. I can say this. If it was our military, we can sleep good at night. But if it's not our military, we're in trouble. It was pretty huge. Pretty big.

King: The Military came down on you in what way?

Sorrells: Well, I believe it was the Military. I had helicopters coming over my place here in a grid pattern. You know, I had a guy show up at 1:00 in the morning. I looked at him through the door.

And I had a lieutenant colonel -- who I believe it was, this is what he told me on the phone -- call me and, you know, he wanted to come interview me. We had a heated discussion when I told him 'no'. And I asked him if he would quit flying his helicopters over my air space.

That's when he informed me it wasn't my air space -- it was his! But we went ahead and, you know, he told me that if I would sort of kind of quiet down, he would not fly his helicopters over. And, you know, I've remained pretty quiet up until now. And the helicopters have quit flying over us. So ...

King: Where did it go, Ricky? Where did this thing go?

Sorrells: In which direction?

King: No. Did you watch it leave?

Sorrells: Oh yes, Sir. You know, I was in there and I was watching it. This thing -- it was above me about 300 foot. You know, the funny thing about it was it was flat. And I would have expected it to take off at an angle like this. But it didn't.

I was actually watching underneath. And when it took off, it took off so fast if I would have blinked, I would have thought it would have vanished. But I had my eyes on it and I know it took off flat at a 45-degree angle.

King: Angelia, assuming that Ricky is not nuts, what do you make of this?

Joiner: Well, I feel that perhaps Ricky might have been singled out simply because he was the one that had a daytime sighting. He had more detail as far as things like color and cone-shaped indentions underneath it. Maybe he had more detail than anyone else. And I wondered if that might be why he was singled out.

King: Well, what do you think it was, Angelia?

Joiner: Well, I'm concerned. I don't know what it was. If it was military, I don't know why that ... why it would be here when there's other places that are unpopulated that they could be flying over to test it. **[StealthSkater note: unless it was a psychological test on how much people panicked when they saw a new military craft?]**

King: Yes. James, what do you think it was?

Fox: It reminds me of what Governor Fife Symington and all of his constituents saw. And the fact -- the size of it, the enormity and the fact that it didn't disturb the air, didn't make any noise, and the color -- the flat black color they all described seeing.

And then taking off, literally people saying, you know, here's so many different ways of trying to describe the acceleration. And they say, *"You know, if I would have blinked, I would have missed it."* It was just an unbelievable rate of acceleration without making any sonic boom, without any noise. And large enough to land, you know, an airplane on it!

So I don't know. It doesn't sound like anything we have. I don't know.

[StealthSkater note: possibly reminiscent of the alleged "Black Triangles" => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#)]

King: How do you refute this one, Seth?

Shostak: Well, this is a tough one because the guy is ... You know, he sounds like a credible witness.

King: Yes he does.

Shostak: Yes. But, of course, it could be military. And there's this assumption that if the Military keeps secrets, that it's ... that there is a conspiracy. That it's, you know, keeping quiet "UFOs".

King: But we don't have anything that can take off like that?

Shostak: Well, you ...

King: A helicopter, yes.

Shostak: Yes, you do have things that can take off like that.

I mean, you know, if it were an *alien* craft ... We have 800 satellites up there that are, you know, mapping the Earth all the time. You can go to Google Earth and find your automobile parked in front of your house, right? How come it can't find these big spacecraft? Why is that? Why is it that we think that the Military would keep this quiet?

And if -- even if you think that that's true, if you're sort of like a paranoid mindset to think that's true, do you think that every military is keeping it quiet, you know, the Belgians, the Botswanans and, you know?

King: Yes.

More ahead. We thank Ricky. We thank James Fox.

Angelia remains. So will Seth. More guests coming.

Radar data shows that something strange was in the skies over Texas in January. Expert analysis and maybe some answers ahead.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: It was an unidentified flying object...

Unidentified Male: Hovering over Stephenville. It was a half-mile wide and about a mile long.

Unidentified Female: It was very intense, bright light.

Unidentified Male: They were dancing around and they were flickering.

Unidentified Female: It was so fast when it took off.

Unidentified Male: We're not all a bunch of idiots, you know. There are so many people that saw it.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: We're back. Angelia Joiner remains with us. She's in Stephenville. And here in Los Angeles, Dr. Seth Shostak.

Joining us from Denver, Colorado is **Glen Schulze** -- a former U.S. Army radar systems specialist. He used the Freedom of Information Act, by the way, to get the radar data relating to the skies over Stephenville and what might have been sighted.

And in Austin is **Robert Powell** -- director of research for the Mutual UFO Network. He also obtained radar data relating to the Stephenville UFOs sightings. His organization MUFON, by the way, is sponsoring the 39th annual International UFO Symposium later this month in San Jose.

All right, Glen Schulze, what do we know?

Glen Schulze, former Army radar system specialist, analyzed Stephenville data: Larry, what we know is that we've got an excellent set of data from the FAA/Fort Worth air route traffic control centers. And we're very thankful to Mark, Connie, and Greg down there for doing a very, very good job ... Gary -- I think it is.

King: And the data tells us ...?

Schulze: Well, the first thing we found ... The question is what did we find when we looked at this data?

The first thing we found was we had 25 million words. 2½ million lines, 10 words per line. We had the King James version of the Stephenville radar book.

I want to repeat that. We had the King James version of the Stephenville radar book. And I am not saying that facetiously our in jest.

We had, in my estimation, after I looked at the quality of this data -- which I spent the first 2 weeks doing -- an unabridged, unedited, un-adulterated set of excellent data not touched by human hands but the result of ...

King: And what ... All right, Glen, what do we know?

Schulze: Well, in a brief time we have, Larry, all I can do is refer you to ... What I hope we can do is show you Chart I because that tells you volumes just with one chart more than I could do in 10,000 words.

Can you put Chart I up there?

King: All right, do we have the chart?

I see -- do we have it?

Okay, okay. There it is.

Schulze: Okay.

Do you see the complexity of that plot? Do you see the number of specks on that plot? Each one of those specks is a radar return. You're looking at probably ...

King: And?

Schulze: You're looking at probably 100,000 radar returns. And these are skin paint returns. These are not returns from beacons. These are unknown objects in the air that are returning a skin paint target to an antenna in Texas. Furthermore, you're looking at the ...

King: Wow!

Schulze: You're looking at 2½ hours worth of time there. So you're seeing a global view of what we found in this data from one antenna. And we had data from 5 antennas. So we were able to check book, chapter, and verse here against each other.

King: What does it tell you, **Robert Powell**?

Robert Powell, director of research for the Mutual UFO Network: By that question, Larry, do you mean what does it tell me in terms of just the raw amount of data?

King: Do you think it reaffirms your feeling about UFOs?

Powell: Well, the data itself doesn't. But once we interpret the data and analyze it, it reaffirms that a lot of what the witnesses saw is confirmed by this data.

King: Okay. Could, though, others look at the data and refute that?

Powell: Well, I would say that Glen and I have put in several hundred hours. So it would take someone a long time to try to refute that. And I don't believe that they would be able to.

King: Dr. Shostak, what's your read on the read?

Shostak: Well, listen, there's a lot of data. It's a great job. They finally looked at something very carefully.

But the facts are that there are known to have been aircraft in the area. So, of course, you're going to get radar reflections from aircraft.

You know, the question that you have to ask Glen is what in that radar evidence convinces him that this is non-terrestrial craft? What's in those radar reflections that tells you this isn't aircraft from Earth?

Schulze: Hundreds of primary skin paint returns which don't fit to any known pattern that we can assign it to.

Shostak: So are you absolutely convinced?

Schulze: Well, I'm convinced that there were objects in the air that night that were not traveling with beacons on. That the military is not claiming ... the FAA is not explaining what they are. And they certainly fit the scenario of what the witnesses saw.

I don't see how you can (INAUDIBLE)...

Shostak: (INAUDIBLE) secret but it's not ... But why do you think it's *extraterrestrial* is really what I'm asking?

Schulze: I didn't say it was *extraterrestrial*. You're putting words in my mouth. I'm just telling you what the radar found. And the radar found hundreds of thousands of skin paint returns and a cluster of them right near Stephenville are headed off toward Crawford. I don't see how you can deny that.

King: I've got it. Do you think it was *extraterrestrial*?

Schulze: Are you asking me, Larry?

King: Yes.

Schulze: I...

King: What do you think?

Schulze: I don't want to speculate yet because we're still in the middle of this. This is the first day that this data has been released. Robert and I have been working on it for 4 months and I don't want to speculate yet.

But I'll say this. There's something there that needs to be ... Questions need to be answered by the Air Force and the FAA as to what was in the sky that night that was traveling without a beacon.

King: Angelia, what do you think?

Jointer: I was most impressed with the radar actually fitting to 8 of the witnesses in separate locations. You know, in Phoenix, you had hundreds of people that saw something. So that made it maybe easier for somebody to come out and say, "*Well, it was flares.*"

But in this case, you have 8 people that are in separate locations at different times that this unknown object matches up to their report.

So I don't think we're going to come up with one blanket reason or thing as to what they saw. That part was most impressive to me.

King: Robert, do you think this more confirms your feelings?

Powell: Yes. What Angelia just told you confirms my feelings.

At 6:10 pm, we had an object show up with a witness to the East. 5 minutes later, we have a witness to the South. We have a witness 30 miles to the West who sees the same object. And then we have another witness to the Southwest.

At the same time on radar, we pick up 2 data points of an object 20 seconds apart which is -- if those 2 data points are linked -- moving at 1,900 miles per hour. And that object is moving in the same direction and in the same location that our witnesses say they saw this object.

King: We'll take a break and be right back with this group. Don't go away.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

King: Glen Schulze, do you think there was a lot of interest in this because Stephenville is not far from Crawford? And Crawford is where the President has a home.

Schulze: It certainly is suspicious, Larry. I think we have to go a little easy on Seth -- maybe easier than I was before -- because Seth hasn't seen this radar data before. I don't know how much radar data he's looked at. I've looked at over 3 million radar returns. Until Seth is given a chance to look at the radar, maybe we should go a little easier on him.

King: Okay, Seth?

Shostak: I appreciate that, Glen. Actually, I did read your report. And I'm a radio astronomer, so I don't know much about radar. But I know something about receivers and so forth.

This compelling argument that was made just before the break -- could that not have been 2 separate aircraft picked up at different times giving you a false speed reading?

Schulze: Certainly possible.

Powell: May I comment on that, Seth?

King: Sure, Robert.

Powell: Those had no beacons, so those were not aircraft. Those were 2 points in time and there were no other points linking that. So it definitely was not an aircraft of any type.

Shostak: It was an aircraft maybe without a beacon.

Powell: Those are the only 2 points that show up on that aircraft. It would have been an aircraft without a beacon that was going 1,900 miles an hour. And no one reported any sound barrier breaking.

King: Was there press interest in this, Angelia, because of the closeness to the president?

Jointer: Yes. Early on, I got some questions about Crawford. People wanted to know, you know, had I contacted anybody to see if the President was in Crawford on the night of January the 8th. And it was my understanding that he was not there. So early on, there were a lot of questions about Crawford.

King: Dr. Shostak, I asked you this off the air. Do you think we'll ever know?

Shostak: I think we will. To me, it's remarkable. People have assumed that we're being visited for more than 60 years now -- right? -- since Roswell. If you had asked people in America 60 years after Columbus, do you think you are be visiting by Spaniards.

I don't think it would be controversial. They knew. It wouldn't be dependent on equivocal evidence.

King: What do you think? You think ... What do you think?

Shostak: I think they're out there. I think that's very likely given the enormity of the Universe and the fact that there's nothing terribly special, we think, about our Solar System. So they're out there.

But I don't think they're here. We've heard that. I think we may find them in the next few dozen years.

King: You don't think the Government is hiding anything?

Shostak: No. I'm sure the Government hides lots of stuff. You can think whatever you want of our government. But it seems to me that if we were really being visited by beings from other worlds, they wouldn't just last in the American southwest because they like the Tex Mex cuisine. They would be landing everywhere. And I don't think you could cover it up if they were landing everywhere.

King: Robert, how do you respond to that?

Powell: I guess the way I would respond to that is this is probably the most compelling evidence that I have ever seen. And SETI is working on trying to find -- using radio telescopes -- signs of alien life on other planets.

This evidence is much more compelling than anything SETI has found. We're spending millions of dollars on radio telescopes trying to determine that and basically nothing trying to determine whether-or-not what we see on our own planet is real or not real.

Shostak: Well, in fact, the money being spent on SETI is all private money. It's no tax dollars. I suspect that the total expenditure in the 2 fields is probably fairly comparable. But I think the big difference there, Robert, is that yes, we haven't found a signal. But we're not claiming that we found the *aliens* and you are.

Powell: No, Seth, I didn't say we found the *aliens*. We don't know if there was anything inside. All we know is that there were objects that show up on radar. And we have multiple witnesses that saw these objects within a very narrow period of time. And all these witnesses indicate that the object was extremely large.

When you look at the whole thing together -- when you look at all of the radar data -- you look at witness-after-witness within a very short time frame, from different angles, from different areas of that small geographical area. To me, that's fairly compelling.

It's compelling enough that it says our government should be looking into it. It really shouldn't be having to be done by small private organizations.

King: Glen, why did you get interested in this.

Schulze: I had spent a lot of time analyzing radar data from the disintegrate of TWA-800 which, by the way, I'm still doing 12 years later. 12 years later? No, 11 years later, I guess. It happened in 1996. And so radar has always been a subject I've been in-and-out of for 40 years.

And it was sort of close to Colorado, right in Texas. I wanted to see if this was just a cow town down there where a lot of rednecks are driving around in pickup trucks having maybe too many Coors.

So I went down to Stephenville and I found just the opposite. This is a slice of middle America, Larry. And these people ... these witnesses are not to be taken lightly.

King: Cow capital. Angelia, do you think we'll ever find the whole story?

Joiner: I hope so. I would like to think that eventually we'll know what was here. I'm sure something was here. These witnesses have been so credible. Our little towns and the surrounding community have been through a lot. We're not used to this sort of attention and I hope that in the future, we'll know exactly what visited here.

King: Thank you all very much. Angelia Joiner, Glen Schulze, Robert Powell, and Dr. Seth Shostak. The UFO Hunters are next when LARRY KING LIVE returns.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Bill Burns, "UFO Hunters": *Somewhere out there, there's a greater truth.*

Jeffrey Tomlinson, "UFO Hunter": *Are we alone? I think everybody asks this question.*

Burns: *Did you find it?*

Tomlinson: *Yes, it's over here.*

Burns: *It was just right there in the sand.*

Pat Uskert, "UFO Hunters": *We have a possible collision of a plane and a UFO.*

Dr. Ted Acworth, "UFO Hunter": *I'm not a believer. I think it's extremely unlikely that there are little green men flying around in spaceships.*

No object found, no constellation found at that point of reference where we're looking at.

Burns: *So this says it's not a star?*

Acworth: *Not a star.*

Burns: *You've got 90 percent of them either delusional, out-and-out hoaxes, or honest mistakes.*

Tomlinson: *UFO hunting is one of the best ways for us to determine who we are and where we're going.*

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: We now pay attention to the "UFO Hunters".

In New York, **Bill Burns** -- co-host of "UFO Hunters" on the History Channel, publisher of "UFO Magazine". **Ted Acworth**, also in New York -- he has a heart science background, has a PHD in mechanical engineer, has worked for NASA. And here in Los Angeles, **Paul Uskert** -- co-host of "UFO Hunters" as well -- became intrigued by the UFO phenomenon after seeing a strange metallic object flying in the skies over Venice, California 4 years ago. And he's a contributing writer for "UFO Magazine".

Bill, what do we mean UFO hunters?

Burns: What "UFO Hunters" do is find stories that seem compelling, interesting that also have a historical background. We are "boots on the ground". We get out there. We talk to witnesses. We look at the scientific evidence. We do our measurements. And we try to relate these stories -- the witness stories -- to each other. We try to eliminate all of the conventional explanations one-by-one, until we wind up at something we can't explain away.

King: Do you go, Ted, with a position of belief?

Acworth: No, Larry. I go with a very unbiased, sort of scientifically objective position.

King: And Pat, what is your involvement in the "UFO Hunters"?

Uskert: Well, I'm the only guy on the team without a Ph.D. So I'm the guy that does the dirty work. If there's a ...

Oh, basically, I'm the field investigator going out, talking to people, gathering information, library archives. And if there's ever a case where someone has to go into a cave, look for debris or something like that, I'm the guy that goes in.

King: We have been talking about Stephenville, Texas tonight. And your UFO Hunting team has taken you to Stephenville. Let's look at some of the investigative efforts conducted there.

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

***Unidentified Male:** Since December 2007, more than 200 UFO sightings are reported in Araf (ph) county and the cluster is reportedly moving south. The investigation has left the team with more questions than answers. What was this object? Why here? And why now? Not knowing what it is, the team hopes to find out at least where it was.*

Media outlets and countless witnesses report seeing UFOs in varying areas and times. But the team is only interested in three of these -- the reports from January 8th between 8:00 and 9:00 p.m.

***Acworth:** This is actually a pretty exciting experiment we're doing here. As far as I've ever heard of, it's the first full geographic-scale triangulation experiment of a UFO sighting.*

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Bill Burns, based on the first 40 minutes of this show, how does that in any way affect your thinking?

Burns: Well, what we found was that there was an object. We can't say where it came from. We can't say what it was.

We did some very intriguing triangulation experiments that Ted can explain that showed that the witnesses who said they were seeing something actually did see something in the place they said they saw it. So we know that.

King: Ted, can you explain it without being too technical?

Acworth: I'll try, Larry. We went down there and first of all, we found out that there had been basically a rash of sightings starting as early as November and went well through January into February. And statistically, first of all, there was about a 10 times increase in the number of reportings -- the number of sightings that were reported, which gave us -- you know, that was a hunch that something was going on down there.

So what we did is with our friends at MUFON who collect all these reports is that we filtered the long list and we found right away that January 8th was sort of the hottest night of the whole 3-to-4 month period.

Then we filtered the list again and tried to identify at least 3-or-4 people who had described the same object, the same shape and color, around the same time, around the same date, and around geographically the same position.

King: What did you conclude?

Acworth: We found the four and then we went and interviewed them -- Pat and I and Bill -- and I got quantitative measurements of exactly what they had seen and what direction. I took it all back to the lab. And if you took 4 random guesses, they would probably all aim in different directions.

But in this case lo and behold! all 4 reports quantitatively aimed at the same position about 1,500 feet to about 2-to-3 miles east of town, 1,500 feet of elevation. Which tells me that conclusively, something was there that night.

Now, of course, I'll have to let Ted tell you.

King: We're going to get ... Pat Uskert was there and we want his thoughts as well. We'll also take your calls. Don't go away.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

--- BEGIN VIDEO CLIP ---

Unidentified Male: I picked up a ship-to-shore transmission. The gentleman on the transmission said, *"That's right, Bob. I don't know what-the-hell it is. It's about 200 yards off my bow and it's starting to tilt."* He started to go on to explain exactly what it was that I was seeing. That's all I needed to freak out. And I did.

--- END VIDEO CLIP ---

King: Pat, you went to Phoenix. You went to Stephenville. What did you find out? What did you conclude?

Uskert: Well, I had a chance to talk to multiple eyewitnesses of this event on January 8th. And this is one of the best forms of evidence there is. Multiple eyewitnesses who don't even know each other telling the same story. What we were able to conclude is that all these people did indeed see something strange.

King: So it makes it impossible to discount?

Uskert: Well ...

King: Different people, different locations seeing the same thing ... How do you discount?

Uskert: Exactly! Ted actually performed some science. We took the compass directions of all their readings and we put it all together. We triangulated and they were all looking at the exact same location at the exact same time. Clearly, there was one object that they saw.

King: We'll take a call.

Phoenix, Arizona, hello.

Caller: Hi. I was wondering if any of the gentlemen ever found an *alien* artifact? And if they're familiar with the website LockdownMyID.com?

King: Bill Burns?

Burns: I know that Website. And to answer your question, not to be coy but we did examine some *alien* artifacts. They are in the lab. They're being tested. We will know the results soon.

King: You know they're alien, though?

Burns: The people who have them claim they're *alien*. They could turn out to be conventional objects. But we'll find out.

King: We have an e-mail question from Jack in Chumford, Massachusetts: "*With all the digital cameras and camcorders out there, why hasn't someone taken a clear picture of one of these UFOs? It seems all the images we see are blurry at best*" Ted?

Acworth: That's a great question. You know, it turns out that if you consider the lighting conditions of a typical night UFO sighting, it's basically a faint point source of light way off in the distance with a very black background. Your typical cellphone camera or consumer-grade still- or video-camera is just not designed to take good quality pictures in those conditions.

Even a professional grade camera would have a hard time. That's the reason we're just not getting a lot of good footage.

King: Okay. We have another e-mail question from Karen in Texas: "*Does the Government ultimately control what information is released is released to the public through media outlets and shows like 'UFO Hunters'?*"

King: Pat?

Uskert: Actually, that sounds like a Bill question.

King: Bill?

Burns: Well, the answer is a very qualified and very passive 'Yes'. The CIA has been involved in manipulating information in the media ever since the late 1940s when the first strange objects crashed in Roswell. They have done this and they've admitted it. And they've been very happy about admitting it.

They basically force stories into the tabloid media. They give stories to science-fiction writers and in so doing, they marginalize what are really intriguing and true stories about UFO so as to make the people who talk about them seem odd, strange eggs off the planet.

King: Orlando, Florida ... hello?

Caller: Yes. Fascinating subject. I have a question. Is it possible that *aliens* are traveling from the Future back into our time zone? And if they're advanced enough for time-travel, how could UFO Hunters chase or track them?

King: Pat?

Uskert: No, that's a very good question. But I'm afraid I don't have the answer.

King: Does anyone have a thought? Bill, Ted?

Acworth: I do. And the thought is this. There is a theory. And a person in the Navy who investigated the Philadelphia Experiment explained that the Military does believe that *aliens* are actually us from our Future.

And this is his theory -- this is not a fact. It's theory that it's us from our Future and they are manipulating the timeline so that we don't make critical mistakes, catastrophic mistakes. True, not true? I have no evidence.

[StealthSkater note: a twist to the standard P-X story is that it was intended for a vessel (a minesweeper, not a destroyer) to re-trace a prior history timeline (10-minutes or so) so as to avoid a mine. So it was not "teleportation" per se but something that Tesla allegedly discovered on his workbench => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#) . Unfortunately, many of the crew went crazy. Project Phoenix (I) was supposed to continue the research.

When it was combined with Reich's ([doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#)) "orgone"-based weather-modifying radiosonde, Phoenix I/II/III became known as the Montauk Project. Folklore has it that time portals created by remote-viewers were created and that timelines were or could be altered. The legend goes on to say that *aliens* were/are working with researchers to try to stabilize these timelines.

A possible tie-in with this is Dan Bursich's assertion that these "*aliens*" are what we evolve to in the Future. And that they are returning to this time (hence time-travel, not space travel) to un-do the tinkering that our researchers did => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#)

There was a sidenote in former ELINT Sgt. Dan Sherman's "Project Preserve Destiny" tale that said the *aliens* passed "around" time but not "through" it as that was impossible => [doc](#) [pdf](#) [URL](#) .

Don't know if all these are independent of one another or if somebody is coping portions (e.g., disinformation/counter-intel).]

King: Based on that statement: "*Look, Ma! There I am. Up there, I'm coming!*"

We'll be right back with more after this.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

King: Another e-mail for our panel from Mickey in Denton, Texas: "*Do you think people are truly ready to face the implications of extraterrestrial intelligence? How do you think the public would react if presented with absolute proof of it*" ... Bill?

Burns: I don't think they are ready. I think there would be shock. I think eventually we would accept it. I think that part ... There is a gradual disclosure going on, I think through science-fiction movies. I think through a number of outlets there is disclosure going on. And I think that is preparing us for what we will eventually come to see as we have people out there that are *extraterrestrials* and they're here.

King: Ted, how do you think people would react?

Acworth: I think I can only base that on the people I've met in the research we've done for the show. It's just amazing how many people we've come across in our interviews and our case studies who I get to talk to who feel like they've either seen something themselves or a close family member has.

It has astonished me just how prevalent this is not just American society but over in England as well and worldwide. It seems like a lot of people think about it. A lot of people believe they may have seen something.

I don't think ... I disagree with Bill. I think people would be ready to hear the truth whatever that truth is.

King: Pat?

Uskert: It sounds to me like people already. They have these UFO experiences. They're not having any kind of a meltdown. They're able to discuss these experiences with us. It seems like we're an intelligent species enough to fathom that we are not alone. And I think we can handle it.

King: So no panic?

Uskert: Well, I talked to a lot of people about it -- with people who have studied this much longer than I have. There are theories that people would panic, this would adversely affect the economy, that there would be mayhem in the streets.

But I don't know. From the people ... We're meeting and talking to people who are okay with it.

King: Bill, we have a short time left. Do you think most people believe there is Life elsewhere?

Burns: Yes, they do. In fact, I think that in CNN's own poll, 85 percent of those who responded said that they did believe there was Life elsewhere. Whether that life is now here is another story. But they did believe that. I think our government believes that. I think Seth Shostak believes that with SETI.

So I think that anybody you speak to would be very arrogant to say there is no other life in the Universe. The question here is has that life come here? We believe it has.

King: Thank you all very much. From the "UFO Hunters" and "UFO Magazine" and the History Channel -- Bill Burns, Ted Acworth, and Pat Uskert.

**if on the Internet, Press <BACK> on your browser to return to
the previous page (or go to www.stealthskater.com)**

**else if accessing these files from the CD in a MS-Word session, simply <CLOSE> this
file's window-session; the previous window-session should still remain 'active'**