

# FLYING SAUCER REVIEW

# FSI

Vol. 18, No. 4

July-August 1972

35p



## WAS GOD AT ABERFAN?

SEE PAGE 3



Edited by CHARLES BOWEN

Consultants

GORDON CREIGHTON, MA, FRAI, FRGS, FRAS  
 C. MAXWELL CADE, AInstP, FRAS, AFRAeS, CEng, FIEE, FIERE  
 BERNARD E. FINCH, MRCS, LRCP, DCh, FBIS  
 CHARLES H. GIBBS-SMITH, MA, FMA, Hon Companion RAeS, FRSA  
 R. H. B. WINDER, BSc, CEng, FIMechE PERCY HENNELL, FIBP  
 Overseas AIMÉ MICHEL BERTHOLD E. SCHWARZ, MD

Assistant Editors DAN LLOYD EILEEN BUCKLE

An international journal devoted to the study of Unidentified Flying Objects

Vol. 18 No. 4  
 July/August

## DUBIOUS PHOTOGRAPHS

### CONTENTS

Was "God" at Aberfan?: F. W. Holiday .. ..	3
"Beauty of the Night": Dr. Berthold E. Schwarz ..	5
Sociology of the Iberian Land- ings: Dr. Jacques Vallée and V-J. Ballester Olmos ..	10
Postscript on Monsieur Vincent: Aimé Michel .. ..	12
Strange Light Phenomena near Banbury: The Rev. H. D. L. Thomas ..	13
Mystery Flying Object rolls along a German Road: Hubert Malthaner .. ..	15
Experiment to obtain UFO in- formation by Psychic means: Ann Druffel .. ..	18
Light Phenomenon at Stutfield Glacier: W. K. Allan .. ..	20
Recent reports from Argentina and Peru: Jane Thomas .. ..	21
World Round-up .. ..	24
More news on Aladinao Felix— alias Dino Kraspedon: Gordon Creighton .. ..	27
Mail Bag .. ..	28
Record and Analysis of the Spanish "Negative" land- ings: V-J. Ballester Olmos ..	31

1972

© Flying Saucer Review

Contributions appearing in this magazine do not necessarily reflect its policy and are published without prejudice

For subscription details and address please see foot of page ii of cover

CONFUSION still prevails concerning the validity of the photographs of an unidentified luminous object, allegedly taken from Cradle Hill, Warminster, on the evening of March 28, 1970. These photographs, supposedly pictures of an unexplained light seen by the photographer and several other witnesses including John Ben and Terence Collins, were the subject of a last-minute warning notice which we inserted in *FSR Case Histories* Supplement 10 (June 1972).

In mid-June we learned of a rumour that the images of an object which appeared on two photographs displaying the familiar background street lights of Warminster (published in the issues of *Flying Saucer Review* for July/August 1970 and March/April 1971) had been recorded *before* the group of witnesses on Cradle Hill had observed the appearance, and disappearance, of the distant light on March 28, 1970. We were given this information by Carl Grove, a researcher who has had work published in *FSR*. Mr. Grove heard of the rumour from a friend who is also an acquaintance of the photographer, Mr. N. Foxwell.

When the information reached us we checked immediately with Mr. Collins, who confirmed that he and Mr. Ben met Mr. Foxwell for the first time on Cradle Hill on the evening of March 28, 1970: it will be recalled that Mr. Foxwell agreed to let Mr. Ben have the film for independent processing by technicians at the laboratory where he worked. Mr. Collins was then told about the doubts concerning the validity of the photographs: we are satisfied that until that time both he and Mr. Ben considered the photographs to be genuine.

There has been some correspondence between Messrs. Ben and Grove on one side, and Mr. Foxwell on the other, but nothing definite has emerged from this. On June 26, 1972, a letter was addressed to Mr. Foxwell by the editor of this journal. He was told that we were aware of the doubts about the photographs; that the images on the photographs were of something other than the light which was seen by the observers, including himself. The letter continued:

"If this is so, your clever pictures certainly had a lot of people fooled, even to the extent of thinking they were important. The laugh's on us!"

The letter was concluded with a request that we be told how the photographs were taken.


In his reply Mr. Foxwell neither denied these implications, nor did he tell us how the photographs were taken. He stated merely that he was "confused" by the stories, and that as he had already expressed his thoughts to Mr. Ben, we should ask for them to be passed on to us. We now have a copy of Mr. Foxwell's letter of June 20, 1972, to Mr. Ben. The "thoughts" were that Mr. Ben, in querying the validity of the photographs, was joking, and that he—Foxwell—was shocked that Mr. Ben should try to discredit him by "inventing such backbiting stories."

The truth is that Mr. Ben invented nothing, but was merely querying stories that, so rumour had it, had come indirectly from Mr. Foxwell himself.

In the circumstances we state that we now consider the photographs which were published on pages 4 to 6 of our issue for July/August 1970—and subsequently—to be of no value to the study of our subject. We accepted them in good faith—as, we are sure, did Mr. Ben—and were of the opinion that genuine enquiries had been made to establish their authenticity. This view was reinforced when, following discussions about the position of the “object” in relation to Battlesbury Hill, and criticisms about the interpretation of the position of the car headlights which were recorded on the photographs, prompted Messrs. Ben and Collins to make further enquiries at Warminster.

We apologise to those readers who may have gained a false impression about these photographs, although it is emphasised that at no time has it been claimed that the object was other than an unidentified light, or luminous object.

The existence of a number of “UFO” photographs of dubious merit does not prove that UFOs, as reported by hundreds of reliable witnesses, do not exist, but merely serves to show that photographs are perhaps the most unreliable evidence of the phenomenon.



Mr. Foxwell's reply to the Editor. The letter, which is incorrectly dated, was received in an envelope post-franked July 4, 1952.

**THE NEW GUINEA WAVE** is one of the most important events in the history of ufology, yet until we published our Special Issue No. 4, **UFOs IN TWO WORLDS** (see below), it had never appeared in full in permanent form. That is why we describe it as "Essential reading" and why you should make sure you do not miss your copy.

## ESSENTIAL READING

# UFOs IN TWO WORLDS

An intriguing study of 100 landing cases, many with occupant reports, from the **Old World** of Spain and Portugal. A large number of these cases, particularly those from the recent wave of 1968, will be new to readers of our journals. By **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** and **Jacques Vallée**.


Also . . .

The remarkable story from the even **Older World** of missionaries and natives of New Guinea living on the fringe of the Stone Age. This is the account of the important UFO wave in Papua in 1958-1959 as originally told by the **Revd. Norman E. G. Cruttwell**, of Anglican Mission, Menapi, Papua, New Guinea.

### WHY NOT ORDER WHEN RENEWING YOUR FSR SUBSCRIPTIONS ?

Fully illustrated, 64 pages. Price 60 pence (£0.60); Overseas 65 pence (£0.65) or \$1.80

**FSR (Special 4), 21 Cecil Court, Charing Cross Road, London WC2N 4HB, England**



# WAS GOD AT ABERFAN?

F. W. Holiday

**A**BERFAN, the most terrible land accident of recent British history, left behind a row of question-marks that subsequent inquiry has done little to clarify. Following the collapse of a Coal Board spoil-heap on October 21, 1966, which killed 116 children and 28 adults, there were many who doubted any sort of universal wisdom. "Where was God when this lot came down, then?" demanded one angry resident.<sup>1</sup>

Aberfan called into question the entire machinery of causation in time and space. Could such a traumatic disaster occur without casting a shadow before it? There is some evidence to suggest it could not.

Peter Fairley, science correspondent of the *Evening Standard*, requested information relating to possible precognition of the disaster and received 76 replies which were analysed by Dr. J. C. Barker. Of these, 22 subjects were able to supply the names of witnesses who confirmed that apparent precognition occurred prior to the catastrophe.

One of the most remarkable was a drawing by an eight-years-old boy, made the day before he died, which shows miners working on slag-heaps with vehicles labelled "National Coal Board". He captioned the sketch "The End". The same evening the same little boy reported "a man" near the bathroom door although no one was there.


Some witnesses described vivid precognitive dreams of the disaster. One child actually mentioned her own death and that of her two school-friends. These three little victims were later, in fact, buried side by side in the mass grave. This child's foresight, as well as that of some of the adult percipients, appeared to extend forward in time to about 14 days prior to the accident.

Numerous theoretical models are possible on the basis of which the human mind might perceive future events. However there is no scientific reason why any one of these should be preferred before the others. Nearly all such approaches assume a direct perception of the coming event by the person concerned. It is at least possible, however, that the perception is not direct but is obtained by a telepathic link with a mind or minds which themselves are doing the overlooking.

I was led to this possibility following the events of October 8, 1966, thirteen days before the Aberfan disaster occurred.

## Object over Tenby

At about 7.00 p.m. on the evening of that day I was standing on the lifeboat slipway in Tenby harbour fishing for whiting. About a dozen men and boys were similarly occupied. The night was clear and calm with a brilliant display of stars. Eventually I noticed an under-current of excitement amongst the fishermen and when I asked the cause someone drew attention to an object directly above our heads.



It looked like a small, bluish, luminous cloud. That it was not a cloud was suggested by the curious manner in which it was orbiting slowly in a circle equal to about three times its own diameter. Since it was opaque and blotted out the background stars it was possible to observe this motion plainly. The object was about the size of a 10p coin\* held at arm's length and it resembled a self-luminous lump of blue-grey cottonwool. I remember someone exclaiming about "lights", or it may have been "coloured lights", but I failed to see these and my attention finally wandered back to the fishing.

Possibly ten minutes later there were exclamations all round at a spectacle as unambiguous as it was remarkable. Out of the cloudlike object had emerged a dark object which was beaming a brilliant ruby light down on us. The emergence was leisurely. After the two objects were separated by a little distance they each began to move away steadily on different courses. The "cloud" moved east and the red light object moved south-west. A belated dash by me at this point to get binoculars from the car was too late, and when I focussed the area both objects had moved out of vision.

Until this incident occurred my interest in alleged UFO phenomena was marginal. A subsequent study of the literature—including descriptions collected by Michel of the so-called "cloud-cigar"—strengthened the conviction that the Tenby object was not an atmospheric cloud, a helicopter, a balloon or even a nocturnal

\* Diameter  $1\frac{1}{8}$  inches, or 2,8 cms.

flight of snow-geese hovering overhead for the specific purpose of being mistaken for a UFO. Such crass suggestions, I discovered, were the stock-in-trade of certain hardcase astronomers whose dogmatism was equalled only by their abject failure to explain the plainly discernible.

It was much later (how obtuse one can be!) that I took a map and plotted the tracks of the objects from their point of departure over Tenby. Purely by accident—because I was looking for no such thing—I noticed that if the cloudlike object had held a steady course for about 57 miles it would have arrived over or very near the village of Aberfan at about the time the precognitive dreams were starting to occur.

### The question of causation

It is impossible to consider UFO phenomena rationally without considering the inherent morality of causation, including the entire vast body of psychic and paranormal phenomena. If UFOs do indeed function intelligently—albeit unintelligibly by current western standards—then they are necessarily a manifestation of remarkable natural forces having a direct bearing on the morality of the mental universe.

During the last century scientific methodology has driven a coach and horses through religious dogmatism leaving behind a shambles of which the public is widely aware. The only organised system of religious thinking it has not so reduced seems to be Buddhism. Relativity and molecular physics demonstrate that the physical universe is precisely the sort of illusory structure the Buddhists describe. Moreover, the origin of the universe—whether it started as a “big bang” or exists in steady state—seems to be resolving in favour of the former. The Buddhists with their “Day of Brahma” teach this very thing. Are they equally correct therefore in arguing the existence of a timeless, all-knowing Cosmic Mind? If so, it may cast light on the problem under discussion.

It could be objected that the cloudlike object we observed over Tenby may have changed course when it was out of sight and have traversed a route nowhere near Aberfan. Even though Michel's rectilinear orthoteny lines were rejected by Vallée (the argument being happily quoted by Condon although his pro-UFO

arguments were carefully not quoted) I believe, nonetheless, that orthoteny will yet bear fruit. Lacking evidence we can only say that the object *may* have pursued a straight course. In which case it reached Aberfan some 13 days before the disaster.

If we make the daring assumption that the impending catastrophe was the motivation for this particular journey, then the mechanism by means of which the entities foresaw the landslide becomes almost immaterial. If we accept the possibility that they were aware of the impending event then small wonder, perhaps, that various people dreamed dreams and small children calmly discussed their fate in advance of its unfolding. Telepathy is no longer the dirty word it once was.

The reason for the visitation, if it occurred as suggested, is quite beyond conjecture in our present state of ignorance about causation. It is well worth remembering that *Odin*, chief of the Icelandic gods, was also known as *Valfödr* (Father of the Slain). He was the Lord of Valhalla to whom those who died violently were taken by the *Valkyries* (Odin's Friends).<sup>2</sup> This should be set beside a recent remark by Dr. Paul Tabori: “A great deal of what has been considered rank superstition and appalling ignorance in past ages has been found, at the least, to be prophetic insight in the light of modern scientific research.”<sup>3</sup>

This is not an attempt to paint a chocolate-box picture of the phenomena, but merely to explain several seemingly unrelated facts. Even if true, there is no reason for doubting certain well-attested cases in which witnesses appear to have encountered UFOs of sinister or malignant character. These may well exist and form part of the phenomenal universe the Buddhists so accurately describe.

### References

- 1 Austin, Tony (1967): *Aberfan: The Story Of A Disaster*. Hutchinson: London.
- 2 Branston, Brian (1955): *Gods Of The North*. Thames & Hudson: London.
- 3 Tabori, Paul (1968): *Companions Of The Unseen*. H. A. Humphrey: London.

**Editor's note:** This article was submitted to FSR during April 1972.

### PRAGUE SYMPOSIUM OF PSYCHOTRONICS

(Book now translated into English)  
Written by ten Soviet and Czech scientists.  
Up-to-date expert reports on magnetic sleep, bioplasm exteriorising from the human body, “reincarnation” experiments, sensitivity to electric fields, etc. Unique photos of paranormally moving objects impressed on film sealed in black envelopes. Price £1.50 or \$5 from:  
PARAPHYSICAL LABORATORY  
Downton . Wilts . England

### STENDEK

A Spanish magazine, of high quality, published by the CENTRO DE ESTUDIOS INTERPLANETARIOS (CEI), founded in 1958 by M. Lleget, A. Ribera and E. Buelta.

Enquiries to: STENDEKCEI, Apartado 282, BARCELONA, SPAIN

### DATA-NET REPORT

Edited by Mrs. Josephine Clark, this is the duplicated monthly publication of DATA-NET, the UFO Amateur Radio Network.  
\$4.00 per annum, from 7900 Harvard Drive, Ben Lomond, California 95005, USA.

### PURSUIT

The journal of the Society for the Investigation of the Unexplained (SITU). Editorial Director: Ivan T. Sanderson.  
Society address: R.D.1 Columbia, New Jersey 07832, USA.