

UCU

## Reinventing Government

by Clark Matthews

So now we're "Reinventing Government," are we? Whoa, Bubba. Is that a promise? Or a threat?

Americans are entitled to examine your bright ideas and high-tech gadgets, Bubba. We live in a democracy, after all—and the things you're proposing have an enormous potential for abuse.

Here's an example, Bubba: your National "Health" security Smart Cards. They can potentially track every American from cradle to grave. They can monitor our movements, dictate how we raise and educate our children, force us to accept medical treatments we don't want, confiscate our assets and generally quash our freedom of choice and wreck what's left of our privacy, Bubba.

We're entitled to examine these things. Our country routinely publishes the specifications of our government computers, software, telephone standards and other high-tech public works. That's because our tax dollars paid for them.

Presumably we even paid for the National "Health" Security Smart Card System created for Hillary by Ira Magaziner's friends at the National Security Agency and the CIA. What an impressive piece of work. A complete national identity system, cooked up from scratch in less than six months. Right? Not likely.

The plans for Ira's Smart Cards are

classified, Bubba. We can't see them. Heck, AT&T and Bell Labs can't even admit they've been working on them for the last four years. If your Smart Cards are really harmless, why not declassify all these boring technical details?

While you're at it, why not publish the specifications of the giant computer database your Smart Cards are designed to work with? From what I've heard, your National "Health" Security database seems somewhat excessive. For example, do you really need to store more than 20,000 pages of information about every American man, woman and child? And why reserve gobs of space to keep everyone's unique genetic "fingerprints" in their file?


Americans deserve to know that you intend to link everyone's medical files, children's names, tax records, bank accounts, pension records, fingerprints, X-ray images and "National Security" information to each individual's chromosomes. Some of us still value our privacy, Bubba—we're no different from your friends the Rockefellers, who went all the way to Luxembourg to set up their secret family trusts.

So how about it, Mr. President? Why not talk to us about our privacy, for a change? Declassify these things so Congress, computer professionals and laymen alike can all see what your

National "Health" Security System is really designed to do. Then we can either build in some safeguards, or scrap the whole frightening idea, or do something simpler—like tattooing identity numbers on people's arms.

Why are you so vague about this system created by your secret agencies, Bubba? Why keep promising to "reinvent" government and then invoke the National Security Act when people ask about the details?

Other leaders have "reinvented" their governments with dire consequences. Fidel Castro sure reinvented Cuba, didn't he? The ayatollah left Iran with much more than his delightful Fountain of Blood in downtown Tehran. And let's not forget Pol Pot's vision of Cambodia—2 million of his countrymen didn't fit that picture.

So spare us this "reinventing" stuff, Bubba. Just show us the plans for your computerized, Big Brother-like National "Health" Security System. Then we can draw our own conclusions about whether your "reinvented" government is intended to work for us—or against us. 

Reprinted from Clark Matthews' column "Technology & Liberty" in *The Spotlight*, October 18, 1993 edition. *The Spotlight* is published weekly by Liberty Lobby, Inc., 300 Independence Ave. SE, Washington, DC 20003.

## Federal Weather Modification Board Suspect in "Unnatural" Midwest Floods

by John Kaminski

PEREGO

The federal Weather Modification Advisory Board was created in 1976 under Public Law 94-490 as a subdepartment in the Department of Commerce.


The catastrophic Midwest flooding of 1993 was a result of two gigantic, circular weather patterns—one high, one low—which were locked into place for four months, a meteorological event unprecedented in U.S. history (as was the so-called "Storm of the Century" earlier in the year). Experts say it is not normal for any weather pattern to remain in one place for more than 132 days.

There has been no effort by the U.S. government to explain why this phenomenon should have suddenly occurred. While there is no hard evidence that the government caused this \$8 billion disaster, many weather watchers suspect that artificial means were used to create this unprecedented weather catastrophe. Some weather experts theorize that artificial

electronic barriers can be created to block the movements of these high-and low-pressure systems.

It is more than curious why NOAA (National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration) has made no pronouncements on why this bizarre weather event should have occurred at all.

Perhaps this is a question you should ask your Congressperson: What does that National Weather Modification Advisory Board actually do, and why haven't we heard anything about this group in the mainstream media?

Was the Midwest flood, which caused so much heartache and ruined so many lives, a bizarre experiment gone awry, or was it a deliberate attempt to destroy America's entire food crop? 

Reprinted from *New England Pilgrim*, September, 1993 edition. *New England Pilgrim*, Box 1661, Brattleboro, VT 05302.