

Interference with Mail

Dear Mr. Creighton—Thank you for your letter of November 14, 1994. It was indeed about three years ago. But I know very well how busy you are, and the surprise was to find out the fact that **you never received the material that was sent with the letter and the subscription. Unfortunately the written material contained many addresses and names from cases investigated by me over the past 13 years.**

It may mean that my name and the names of all those witnesses are now on "somebody's" file..... **(They assuredly are! G.C.)**

The parcel was sent to you as "**post express**"; it was sealed, and, having cost me about \$50.00 (Australian currency), was meant to get to the United Kingdom in three days.

They have obviously opened it, and posted the envelope — with the cheque — on to you. (They apparently don't steal money!). **(Not yet, G.C.)** And they kept the rest of the material.

One week later I sent the second parcel (containing video tapes) in the same way, and it looks as though it ended up in the same hands.

FSR Consultant Professor John D. Frodsham has also confirmed to me that he has had a great deal of difficulty in sending mail to you and receiving from you. He is now back here in Perth from the University of Singapore, so perhaps you may get something from him. (There was more control over mail correspondence in Singapore.)

Would you perhaps have a FAX number available? It may be that they will intercept FAXES just as much as letters, but at least they might find it a little more difficult to stop the message from getting to you.

Yours sincerely,
Andrew Milani

146 Burke Drive
Attaotle 6156
Perth, Western Australia
February 19, 1995

NOTE BY EDITOR. I have not heard a word from Professor Frodsham since he wrote on February 15, 1994, so I think that all he has sent since then has surely been "lifted". (John Frodsham will be "paying the price" for the article by him that I published in FSR 38/2, Summer 1993).

As for a FAX, I do not have one, and do not intend to have one, because Eve has made it clear that if I do have one then she is leaving. As she says, **we are suffocating under paper already. What we require is not more paper, but clear and honest thinking.**

The "Trick-Cyclists" and Debunkers

Dear Editor—I had looked forward to the Esther Rantzen programme on **UFOs and Al-**

ien Abductions on March 3rd of this year.

Right at the start however, I was taken aback by the apparent absence of a debunker of the stature of Dr. Sue Blackmore. But not for long, for after a few minutes Miss Rantzen introduced the great Arthur C. Clarke on screen, and instantly he began his rabbiting on about the total nonsense that it all was, plus the fact that no UFOs had ever been picked up on radar, etc., etc., etc., while the huge "Cheshire Cat" grin on his face grew bigger and bigger with every passing moment of the ten or twelve minutes of the time that he managed to "hog" out of the half-hour programme. But what relish — what a kick — Miss Rantzen could clearly not conceal in ridiculing the doctor's paper that the young lady had brought into the studio — Miss Rantzen clearly enjoyed every moment of that!

As you stated in a recent FSR Editorial (39/4) those in control here must be desperately alarmed, and when they feel it necessary to bring on screen, from the other side of the world, a debunker such as Arthur Clarke, then we can see that they are indeed really worried.

Yours,

D. Roberts
12 Gollg y Bryn
Neath
Glamorgan
Wales
March 7, 1995

NOTE BY EDITOR, FSR. I did not have the inestimable privilege of seeing the programme in question, but I am inordinately happy to be able to report now that I did receive a 'phone call from Miss Rantzen's office enquiring whether I would like to participate in this programme on UFOs and abductions, and that with alacrity I seized the opportunity to reply that I would most certainly not desire to be seen in any such programme. (This particular elephant has an excellent memory, and has not forgotten the deliberate and pointed discourtesy with which I was treated by Mr. Desmond Wilcox, Miss Rantzen's husband, in the famous BBC programme at Banbury years ago, when I was shown sitting at the panel table like a stuffed owl throughout the entire affair while every single word that I uttered was cut out.)

The Cosmic Peregrinations of Otis Carr

Dear Editor—In 1958 I wrote off to America for some information about a newly invented "free-power machine", and when clearing out cupboards recently I came across the material that I received in reply. It was about an invention by a Mr. Otis Carr, who claimed he had offered it to the U.S. Government and was also preparing to demonstrate it, on a certain date, to anyone interested.

After that — blank! I never heard another word! Do you happen to remember * anything about Otis Carr?

Yours sincerely,

Clare Branch
44 Queen Street
Honiton, Devon, EX14 8HD
April 18, 1995

*Yes, I recall it vividly. During the 1950s and 1960s the Almighty saw fit to enrich our lives with a number of brilliant inventors, some of whose exploits were chronicled from time to time in FSR.

There was, for example, in addition to Otis Carr, Mr. Searl of Britain — now, incidentally, **Professor** Searl, although neither we nor apparently he himself appear to know precisely from which University he got his credentials. (In a fairly lengthy talk with him not long ago, our FSR colleague George Wingfield was baffled by the Professor's seeming inability to recall such details).

Mr. Searl's invention, as many FSR readers will recall, was nothing less than a **FLYING SAUCER**, and we are still looking forward to learning more. Over the years we followed his doings with bated breath and we recall that, at one point, he was bound for Australia, where **"the Government had placed an entire factory at his disposal."**

Then (see FSR 8/5, 1962, page 28) there was the marvellous case of the alleged South African engineer Basil van den Berg, aged 35, of Johannesburg, who had solved the problems of cancelling out the Earth's gravity and had invented a motor that would enable flying saucers to travel anywhere in the Universe **without even requiring fuel.** His motor was going to be taken shortly to the USA to be demonstrated before scientists from 18 countries. The Government of Mexico too was deeply interested, and had invited Mr. van den Berg to that country, where **"a fully equipped laboratory would be placed at his disposal to complete his inventions."**

There was also - if I remember aright — one distinguished colleague of Sweeney Todd — a "piemaker", either from Detroit or Chicago. He too is in FSR somewhere and he too had invented a **flying saucer**, and was about to take off in it **for the Moon.** I don't think we ever heard any more after that, and I have the impression that we said we thought he had simply left on a one-way ticket. (On second thoughts, I feel that the "piemaker" may have been identical with Otis Carr.)

FSR still receives its steady quota of inventions for the conquest of Space, and quite frequently they give rise in our hearts to the hope that their inventors will also take off on a one-way ticket and never be seen or heard from again. In one respect, however, Allah has certainly smiled on us. For never once do I recall having been invited to invest money in any of them. Editor