



CASE HISTORIES

Supplement No. 18

(Published September, 1974)

Companion journal to the
FLYING SAUCER REVIEW

Editor CHARLES BOWEN
Assistant Editor EILEEN BUCKLE

Consultants

GORDON CREIGHTON,
MA, FRAI, FRGS, FRAS
C. MAXWELL CADE,
AInstP, FRAS, AFRAeS,
CEng, FIEE, FIERE
BERNARD E. FINCH,
MRCS, LRCP, DCh, FBIS
CHARLES H. GIBBS-SMITH,
MA, FMA, Hon Companion
RAeS, FRSA
R. H. B. WINDER,
BSc, CEng, FIMechE
PERCY HENNEL
FIBP
I. GRATTAN-GUINNESS,
MA, MSc, PhD

Overseas

AIMÉ MICHEL
BERTHOLD E. SCHWARZ,
MD

Artwork

EILEEN BUCKLE

CONTENTS

Alfalfa Crop Report from a small planet

The Landing at Puente de Herera

J. Macías and A. Barrigón 1

A Welsh Mountain Explosion

Eileen Buckle 6

Strange Affair on the Kimba Road

Keith Basterfield 7

Twelfth Night...And a UFO

Which, with an Andalusian farmhouse incident,
comprise two Spanish cases from 1961

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos 10

George Adamski still casts his shadow

Thoughts prompted by an interesting report from Peru

Gordon Creighton 12

Preliminary Catalogue of Type 1 Cases in Argentina — Part 5 (concluded)

Prof. Oscar A. Uriondo 15

Readers' Reports

.. .. . 16

1974

Flying Saucer Review
Case Histories

Contributions appearing in this magazine do not necessarily reflect its policy and are published without prejudice.

Price of this issue: 24p each (65c)

Overseas subscribers should remit by banker's draft on a London bank, by personal dollar cheque or by International Money Order.


FI FLYING
SAUCER
REVIEW

CASE HISTORIES

TWENTY FOUR PENCE

SUPPLEMENT 18

FEBRUARY 1974



**LANDING AT PUENTE
DE HERERA, SPAIN**

See page 1

ALFALFA CROP REPORT FROM A SMALL PLANET

The Landing at Puente de Herrera

J. Macías and Angel Barrigón

Based on an investigation by Enrique Bueno, Santiago Mella and J. Macías of the Charles Fort Group, Valladolid.* Translated from the Spanish by Gordon Creighton. We have either used, or copied, the original sketches and illustrations by Fernando Lorenzo which appeared in the magazine *Stendek*.

ON August 16, 1970,¹ Señorita "C.R." (name withheld by the Charles Fort Group) was working as a domestic employee in the farmhouse Sr. Don Luis de Diego, at Puente de Herrera in the Province of Valladolid, when she had the extraordinary experience which we give below, as described to us in her own words:

"I had just been watching the television, and, in particular, the Saturday night programme 'Medical Centre', when I was suddenly surprised by an intense whistling noise which worried me by its unusualness, especially at that time of night. As I was getting up to go outside and investigate, I noticed that there were changes in the television picture, so I went over and manipulated the controls to see if I could improve it. But although I tried all the buttons I couldn't stop the interference. So, as it was almost time for the TV to close down anyway, I switched it off and went to the door to try to see the cause of the noise I had heard. You can't imagine my astonishment when I found that outside, on the entrance-road, there was a 'weird thing' with various lights on it, and, near it, a man looking at the field of alfalfa that we have there. I was very scared, so I went back indoors and shut the door. After a while, I heard the whistle again, like at the beginning. Soon after that I looked out again through

On two occasions which I recall, both in Argentina, the occupants, allegedly of a mysterious craft, have been reported to have shown an unusual interest in the animal food-crop alfalfa. I here translate, from the Spanish journal *Stendek* of December 1972 (organ of C.E.I., the Centre of Interplanetary Studies, Barcelona)† an account of what appears to have been a similar sort of inspection visit in Spain in August, 1970. The case has been the subject of a thorough investigation by the Charles Fort Group, who began their enquiries in March, 1972. The retitling of the account, I should add, is my own.

GORDON CREIGHTON

the window of my room, and found that 'it' had disappeared."

Investigation

When she had talked at length and without interruption about her experience, we put a lot of

* Address of the Charles Fort Group: López y Gómez 12, Valladolid, Spain.

† Address: *Stendek*cei, Apartado 282, Barcelona, Spain.

AU REVOIR

It was a venture well worth-while. Space has been found in *FSR Case Histories* for cases which might otherwise have been missed, and new authors have established their names in its pages. So it is with regret that we suspend publication of this supplementary magazine, and this final (for the time being) issue is good proof of what will be missed. The stoppage of *Case Histories* is a necessity brought about by lukewarm support, and the shocking rise of costs and postage. For most of its history, it has been supported by *FSR* and the Special Issues, and for us to charge for it at its true price would be considered excessive for such a small publication. Thus inflation wins the day.

Despite everything, *Flying Saucer Review* continues to do well, so maybe when the dust of recent troubles has settled we will return as supplementary pages to the main magazine.

C.B., E.B.

questions to her in order to get further details. The questions, and her replies, are as follows:

General Details

Q. What sort of changes were there in the TV? Did it affect the image? Or the sound?

A. Lots of lines appeared on the screen.

Q. Were you alone in the bungalow?

A. No, the grandmother was with me — I mean the grandmother of Don Luis. The lady heard the noise, but she did not see the UFO.

Q. Were there any dogs or other animals at the farm?

A. At the time in question there were only two horses, used by the employers for riding. But we have had dogs and cattle.

Q. Excuse me for insisting: *but were there any dogs?*

A. No.

Q. Did you notice any signs of unrest among the horses?

A. No. But I couldn't have known anyway, as the stables are a bit far.²

Q. What was the sound like that you heard? Did it vary in its intensity?

A. It was like a whistle. It lasted all the time that I was standing in the doorway, but was less intense than when I heard it from inside the house. Later on, just before I looked out again, through the window, it got as piercing again as at the beginning.

Q. What was the length of time between your going out and seeing the UFO and your going in and shutting the door?

A. Just a second or so, and then I went in again at once.

Q. How long do you think the time was between the first whistle and the second?

A. I think about five or six minutes, because I did various things in the meantime.³

Q. How far was the UFO from the bungalow?

A. About 30 metres.

Details about the object

Q. If you compared the object with a "Seat 850" car,⁺ seen from the side, would it be bigger or smaller, or the same size?⁴

A. Almost the same.

Q. What would the height of the "saucer" have been?

A. It was the same height as the trees that we have just had planted there.

Q. Expressed in metres, what would the actual size of the UFO have been?

A. About 4 metres wide and about 2½ metres high. Of that, about 60 cms. would have corresponded to the length of the feet, and the rest for the frame and the cupola.

Q. What was the shape of the object? Could you draw it?

A. Yes, I can draw it for you.

Q. In your drawing, you show us an ellipsoid-shaped object, standing on a number of supports, and surmounted by a hemispherical cupola. Could you tell us what colour the cupola was?

A. Like crystal. Almost transparent.

Q. What was the colour of the ellipsoid structure?

A. It was of a silvery colour.

Q. Was the UFO suspended in the air, or was it standing directly on the ground?

A. I think it was standing on feet, on the cement-paving of the entrance road.

Q. Did it show any lights? If so, can you give a description?

A. On top of the cupola there was a bluish-white light that was revolving, but not in a continuous fashion. It would make a turn, and then stop, and then make another. And, another thing, the speed of its rotation was quite slow. And when the speed of the rotation slowed down, then the brightness of the light diminished with it, but at no time did the light go out.

Q. Were there any lights on the ellipsoid-shaped body itself?

A. Yes, there were a number of lights of various colours, and all smaller than the other light I have just mentioned. I think they were white, purple, and yellowish...

Q. Were the lights in a row, or were they placed irregularly around the body of the object?

A. They were in a row, forming a line around the object.

Q. Are you able to say exactly how many supports the object was standing on?

A. No, I could not say precisely.

Q. Was the cupola or cabin revolving or was it fixed?

A. It was fixed. What was revolving was the light on top of it, as I have already told you gentlemen.

Q. Did you notice whether there was any door or any steps?

A. No, I didn't see anything of that kind, but they might have been behind, where I could not see.

Details about the occupant

Q. How many occupants did you see?

A. I only saw one, who was outside the "saucer". I didn't see anybody inside the cabin.

Q. How far was the UFO from the crew member?

A. Three or four metres.

Q. Could you describe him?

A. He seemed to me to be a man of about 1.80 metres (about 5ft. 10inches) in height. He was dressed in a dark tight-fitting garment, black or dark blue. His head was covered by a close-fitting helmet of the same colour as the rest of his clothing. Round his wrists and ankles he had shining white things like bracelets. Also, in the middle of his waist, he had a square buckle — I think it would be the buckle of the belt — of the same colour as the things on his wrists and ankles.

+ Literal translation and meaning obscure. Could it be Fiat 850?—G.C.

Q. Can you give us any details about his face?

A. No.

Q. And you couldn't see either whether the colour of his skin was white, black, or yellow?

A. I think the face was light coloured...

Q. Did he have hair?

A. I don't know as I couldn't see any.

Q. Could you give us a complete description of the humanoid's behaviour?

A. When I caught sight of him, he was standing looking fixedly at the field of alfalfa. He was not crouching, but just looking about him. After that, I saw him walking towards the "saucer," but I didn't see him get into it because then I shut the door.

Q. Did he walk like a normal person? Did you notice any defect or difficulty in his gait? Did he swing his arms?

A. He walked normally. With big strides ... Yes, those long strides seemed to me quite big. He moved his arms in the way everybody does.

Q. Do you think the humanoid saw you?

A. I don't think so. Moreover I wasn't showing all of myself. I was about to go down the steps of the bungalow, but when I saw "it" I turned back and stayed just inside the house, with only my head sticking out... And again, the entrance lights to the house were off, it being my regular custom to switch them off every night between 10.30 and 11.00 o'clock. For these reasons I don't think he would have seen me from where he was.

Details of physical marks or footprints

Q. Did the object leave any sort of traces or marks at the spot where it landed?

A. Yes.




Fig. 1

General map of the Province of Valladolid. The arrows show the location of the landing.

Q. What were those marks like?

A. When I looked out the second time, from the window, I could see absolutely clearly that, at the spot where the UFO had landed, the whole area was shining with a uniform glow. Next morning, even by daylight, you could see quite clearly black footprints at the place.

Q. What was the shape of these print-marks? How many of them were there?

A. They were like the prints of a human foot. More precisely, they were boot-shaped, with the heel narrower than the front part of the sole. On the sole there were the marks of some oblique lines. I didn't count the footprints, but there were several of them.

Q. Did you find nothing on the ground round about that caught your attention? Flattened or burnt grass? Any little broken trees?

A. The UFO must have stood partly on the bare earth, but I saw the marks only on the cement of the road.

Q. Did anyone else see them? How long was it before the marks disappeared?

A. Everybody entering the farm saw the marks, but as I didn't tell anybody anything about it, they paid no heed to the marks. The footprints took several days to disappear and, as I have said they shone at night.

Q. Did you not find any circular marks?

A. No. During the day all that could be seen were the black footprints. And at night the ground shone, but not in patches, but all of it.

The Eyewitness

She is a girl of 22, a domestic servant, a job in which she has been employed since an early age. Her level of education is very defective, as she has had no primary school instruction. She is thus illiterate, and ignorant of the most basic matters.

During the interview her attitude changed from an initial one of fear to a good state of interpersonal communication, and she accepted without objection our suggestion that we make a tape-recording of the interview.

Nevertheless, throughout the whole of our conversation, the tension and anxiety produced in the witness by the recollection of the events were dominant in her.

Subsequently we learnt, through members of her family, that after we had left she had a hysterical reaction with much weeping and much protestation to her brother-in-law for having told our Centre about the happening.


Obviously, while we were questioning her there were some difficulties on the matter of sizes and dimensions, measurements of which could only be arrived at by employing, for comparison, objects of everyday usage.

Similarly, her limited vocabulary frequently obliged her to have recourse to expressing herself by gestures. As an anecdotic feature, we will mention that, when describing for us the whistling noise she had

Fig. 2.

Diagram of the inside of the farm:

- 1) The terrace
- 2) Garage
- 3) Stables
- 4) Swimming Pool
- a) Witness
- b) Landing place
- c) Humanoid



heard, she chose the method of onomatopoeic rendering as the best one available for her purpose.

Taking a critical view of our own method of conducting this enquiry, we can say that we admit to having made the mistake, on several occasions, of putting leading questions which, as Dr. Vallejo Nágera has pointed out, can predetermine the answer in suggestionable subjects. *However, we only made this mistake when we were actually doing the tape-recording and this was after we had actually conducted our first interrogation of her, in which only neutral questions were put to her.* Consequently we do not think that the few leading questions invalidate the investigation, seeing that the tape-recording was only a confirmation or repetition of the conversation we had had with her previously.

The scene of the occurrence

The farm where these events occurred is on the outskirts of Puente de Herrera (Province of Valladolid). The exact distance from Valladolid is 11.6 km., and the place lies on the Madrid-León main road. (See Map.)

The whole region falls within the district known as "the river area." Primarily agricultural, it possesses rich irrigational belts using the water of the river Duero (better known to foreigners by its Portuguese name, Douro—G.C.) and there is an abundance of conifer types of trees.

The farm with which we are concerned lies on the Segovia road (Madrid-León highway) and the ease with which any passing motorist can have a view of the place prompted us to investigate the volume

of night-traffic along it. However, we regret that we were only able to secure figures for the average overall density of traffic, which is in the category of 1,000 — 2,000 vehicles every 24 hours.

It is important to mention that a 13.2 kv power-line passes at a distance of 100 metres from the bungalow.

The distance from the property to the river is about 300 metres.

The layout of the various buildings on the farm can be seen from Fig.2, so we will not enter into details. We will merely mention that the whole property is enclosed. (Photo 1.)

The entrance road to the farm is about 4.5 m. wide and is flanked on both sides by small trees as our photos show. (Photo 2.)

Conclusion

We are sure that the usual explanations offered when attempts are made to clear up cases of this sort, namely the presence of a conventional type of aircraft (helicopter), or the occurrence of some change in the sensory perceptions of the eyewitness, are quite inadmissible in the present case. We make this assertion in view of the special features of the object seen and, on the other hand, the presence, visible by day, of physical marks which themselves exclude the possibility of any hallucinatory phenomenon or a mere trick of the senses.

At the same time however we must not overlook the possibility of voluntary or involuntary fraud on the part of the witness. Voluntary fraud we consider to be ruled out for the following reasons:



Photo 1 (above): the bungalow with the door through which the witness had her sighting. The UFO was standing approximately where the man is standing in the left hand side of the photograph
Photo 2 (right): panoramic view of the entrance road leading to the farm, taken from the main doorway



1. The illiteracy of the eyewitness, which in our view renders it impossible for her to have concocted a story of this complexity. Nor do we consider that the amount of information on the subject possessed by the other members of her family could have possibly been enough for Señorita C— R— to have been able to include such precise data in her statement and, indeed, data that have been confirmed by other landing cases.

2. The absence of any concrete motivation for hoax (desire for publicity, for example, or desire to joke). In this respect it is important for us to emphasise that the eyewitness told nobody but her boy-friend of her sighting, and that even her own family were unaware of the occurrence until March 1972 when, by mere chance, she happened to tell her brother-in-law about it after he had made some passing remark about the UFO Phenomenon.

Nor do we believe that there is any substantial basis for fraud or mythomania, for the following reasons:

- a) The life story of the girl shows that she is an honest person.
- b) During our interview with her, despite our repeated attempts, we never managed to catch a single contradiction or inconsistency in her statements. On the basis of this evidence, the veracity of which we consider to be beyond dispute, it is our conclusion that we have here a genuine case of a landing by an Unidentified Flying Object involving the presence of humanoids.

Nor do we think it unreasonable to utter in all seriousness the hypothesis — although of course we have obviously no way of proving this — that the UFO in question was, to judge by its features and by

the presence of a crew member, a genuine extra-terrestrial craft.^{6 7}

Notes

- 1 We think this is the right date, as the eyewitness recalled that the incident had happened in the night between two days of holiday (Saturday/Sunday) in August. This would have been in the night of August 15/16.
- 2 The stables are about 150 metres from the bungalow.
- 3 In view of the subjective factors, and the unease felt by her, we think that the witness may have been wrong in her estimate of the time duration.
- 4 We used this size of car as our standard of comparison because this is the type of car owned by the proprietor of the farm and therefore the witness was familiar with it and used to seeing it coming past on the entrance road to the farm.
[Comment by Gordon Creighton: We have no idea what is meant by "Seat 850," and wonder whether it is not a misprint (very rare in Stendek) for Fiat-850?]
- 5 Dr. J.A. Vallejo-Nagera. *Introduction to Psychiatry*, p.20.
- 6 The entity seen corresponds to Type 1, Variant 1 (T1.V1) of the Classification of Humanoid Types compiled by Jader U. Pereira in Brazil. [Not yet published in FSR—G.C.]
- 7 In view of the great number of landings that we (C.E.I. Headquarters) have found in the close vicinity of the BAVIC Line as it crosses the Peninsular, and in view of the fact that, until 1969 (date of the establishment of the Charles Fort Group in Valladolid and Burgos) there had been no centres of Ufological research in any of the Provinces across which the Line passes, we now think it will be necessary to take a fresh look at the whole question of Iberian landings, for we feel that the sample used in past studies on this subject is not representative.

WELSH MOUNTAIN EXPLOSION

Eileen Buckle

A DEAFENING explosion was heard over North Wales on the night of January 23, 1974 which shook houses and buildings for 60 miles around. It led to a fruitless search by police and RAF patrols for a "mystery object," thought to have been a large meteorite and to have crashed on the slopes of Cader Bronwen mountain, one of the Berwyn range in Merionethshire. Police switchboards throughout North Wales were jammed with 999 calls as people reported the loud bang and, in many instances, a streak of light falling to earth.

Edinburgh University's seismological department recorded an earth tremor at 8.39 p.m. (the explosion occurred at 8.30 p.m.), and there would also seem to be good reason for assuming a large meteorite had entered the earth's atmosphere, especially as exceptionally brilliant and active meteors and fireballs had been reported early in the year.

If it were not for certain other circumstances, the above explanations could be readily accepted. One of the anomalies was the sighting of a luminous sphere by Mr. Ken Haughton of Betws-y-Coed about 90 minutes later than the other incident. At first he and his wife saw what looked like sheet lightning behind a cloud, and then a sphere, without a tail, coming down at a speed estimated between 1,000 and 1,500 m.p.h. It seemed to be about 15,000 feet up and 300-400 feet across. Mr. Haughton reckoned the object would have come down in the sea in the area north-east of Rhyl or in the Dee estuary. He pinpointed the time of the sighting because when he went back into the house, the ITV programme "News at Ten" was starting. (*The North Wales Weekly News*, January 31.)

The *Border Counties Advertiser*, Oswestry, of January 30, was most informative. From it we learn that mysterious lights were seen by police immediately after the rumble. Also, coastguards at Holyhead saw a flaming object shaped like a tadpole about an hour later. A farmer, Mr. John Lewis, is said to have seen a hedgehog-shaped object hovering in the sky the day before the bang, but strangest of all is that same newspaper's full report of the sighting of a UFO over the Berwyn range on the evening following the explosion while the search was on for its cause. The object was first seen by 14-year-old David Upton of Gobowen, and according to the account:


"As he went out of the back door of his home at about 7.15 p.m. he was struck by the brightness of an object in the westward sky. He ran into the house for a pair of field-glasses. To his astonishment, these revealed a disc-shaped object divided up into four sections, each section a different colour — red, green, yellow and purple. His sister Elizabeth, a 20-year-old bank clerk, took over the field-glasses and then her mother, Mrs. Mary Upton, examined the object. All verified David's description.

" 'I had been watching it for about ten minutes and thought perhaps I should tell someone about it,' said Elizabeth. She telephoned the local police and they said they would come and have a look. A minute after the call, the disc slid behind a cloud. We waited for the cloud to pass and when it did the disc had gone too' said Elizabeth.

"Elizabeth said: 'When I first came out of the house the light from the object was dazzling, like a street lamp. When we looked through the field-glasses we could define its overall disc-shape and the four sections.' "

To cap the sighting at Gobowen, here is another one, reported in the *Sunderland Echo*, Co. Durham, of January 24, and although occurring about 150 miles from North Wales, it has some striking similarities to that of the Upton family. It was seen by a Sunderland housewife, Mrs. Margaret Naylor, and her son Michael, aged 11, as it hovered over Barnes School on the night of January 23. Mrs. Naylor watched the object through binoculars for six minutes and described it as "a long black oblong, rounded more on the top than underneath." Her description in the *Echo* continues:

" 'I saw a red light come round it, then green, yellow and orange, and it seemed as if it was going to go up in flames. Then the lights died down and it became black again and buzzed around. It hovered



The UFO sighted by members of the Upton family — reconstructed from their descriptions by an artist for the *Border Counties Advertiser*

over Barnes School and then disappeared. I have never seen anything like it in my life before.'

"Earlier in the day when her son returned home at lunch-time from Thornhill School he said he had seen four UFOs together. Then on his way home from school last night he saw another. 'I didn't really believe him until he called me outside and showed me the one by Barnes School,' said Mrs. Naylor."

I find it hard to believe that the above sightings could all have been meteors, and equally hard to believe, assuming they were UFOs, that their appearances around about the time of the explosion could be mere coincidence, even if the explosion was due to a natural cause — which as far as I know has not been proved.

STRANGE AFFAIR ON THE KIMBA ROAD

Keith Basterfield

ON February 4, 1973, approximately 32 miles from Kimba, South Australia, a young man and a young woman, Mr. Brian Hunt and Miss Sharyn Finckler of Kimba, were travelling from Iron Knob to Kimba on Highway 1 (Eyre Highway) that evening. Mr. Hunt, who was driving, noticed what he at first called a "red flare" to their right, just off the road in a clearing. It was about 9.50 p.m.

Brian Hunt looked back and saw a rectangular shape, orange-red in colour, 10 feet high by 5 feet wide, with a sharply defined figure, in what he called a "white spacesuit," floating in the middle of it. This figure, looking like an ordinary human figure, appeared to be motionless some two to three feet from the ground, surrounded by the orange-red glow, with its hands and legs pointing slightly downwards, covered all over in white.

Realising "just what it was" he had seen, Brian Hunt accelerated the car from the steady 55 mph he had been doing, and motioned to Sharyn Finckler. It was a few seconds before she realised that he wanted her to look out of the car. When she looked back she saw in the clearing a deep orange-coloured glow rectangular in shape, with a white blob on top of it. She turned around to comment on it, and upon looking back again, observed that the orange glow now appeared to extend right across the road behind them. Several seconds later she again looked back, but this time there was nothing to be seen in the darkness.

Mr. Hunt, meanwhile, had concentrated on driving. He did not notice any unusual effects on the working of the car, and the witnesses themselves experienced nothing unusual, and noted no strange noises or odours.

They drove at speed to the house of Miss Finckler's parents in Kimba, and told them of the incident. Shortly afterwards they got in touch with the local police, and Constable Peter Summerton later drove out to the clearing with them. By 11.10 p.m. they were at the site of the observation, and they took a good look at the ground, trees and scrub in the area. They found no evidence to suggest a hoax, or to indicate a recent fire. There were no signs of any vehicle or pedestrian tracks.

The following day a Ceduna truck driver related to

Mr. Basterfield, whom we thank for this interesting Australian report, informs us that when Dr. J. Allen Hynek was in Australia last year the opportunity was taken to tell him about it and that, in view of the fact that it is a multiple-witness case, Dr. Hynek suggested that the full story be forwarded to FSR. We are happy to publish this account, especially in view of the similarity between the observed object in this case, and that reported by Miss E. Clappison at Rosedale, Alberta, Canada (see *FSR Case Histories*, Supps. 10 and 16 of June 1972 and August 1973 respectively).

Mr. Basterfield's investigatory organization, known as UFO Research, is, as he informs us, scientifically orientated, and has been in existence for six years, and aims to conduct deep probes into cases of this kind.

EDITOR

the Kimba police that he had passed the same spot [what *transpired* to be the same spot—? EDITOR] at about 10.00 p.m. on February 4. He had seen, inside the fence line, what appeared to him as a brightly lit caravan doorway, except that it was much taller than a normal door, and had a rectangular shape, twice as high as wide. It had a shape outlined in white inside it. He did not stop to take a closer look.

Brian Hunt recalled that a car had passed them just prior to their reaching the clearing. The driver of this vehicle was located, and stated that as he passed the clearing he saw "...a glow of light, rectangular in shape and orange in colour" which was a chain or two* outside the fenceline.

The investigation

The case first came to our notice through a news clipping from the *Whyalla News* of February 16, 1973, which reached us by February 24. That evening we tried to telephone Mr. Hunt at Kimba but he was not available. We then despatched a reply-paid telegram. A reply was received, and arrange-

* A chain = 66 feet, or 22 yards,—EDITOR


ments were made to interview Mr. Hunt and Miss Finckler on the following Saturday, March 3. Four members of our investigating team, Dean Piovesan, Peter Horne, Peter Powell and myself, made the journey to Kimba.

We made a stop on the road at a point 23 miles from Iron Knob and 32 miles from Kimba. The terrain there consists of flat land, with trees ten to twenty feet high, and small scrub set in a deep reddish-orange coloured, dry soil. The barbed wire fence line lies some 20 - 30 yards from, and parallel to, the road at this point.+ Visibility is limited to about 50 - 100 yards from the road, depending on the angle of vision regarding the trees.

We drove on to Kimba, and first called at Miss Finckler's house. Her father confirmed that on the night of the incident the couple had returned there at about 10.30 p.m. He saw that Mr. Hunt was white-faced and trembling, and obviously distressed about something. When they were told what had happened, the police were called. A police constable arrived and soon afterwards drove off to the site with his daughter and Mr. Hunt.

Our next move was to put through a telephone call to the police constable's house. His wife answered and told me that when her husband arrived home she would ask him to come to our next interview, which was to be at the Fincklers' home. When we arrived there at 2.00 p.m., Miss Finckler and Mr. Hunt were present, and the constable arrived five minutes later.

Miss Finckler and Mr. Hunt re-told their accounts, and Constable Summerton confirmed that he had gone out to the clearing, some 32 miles from Kimba, where, he stated, he had been unable to find any trace of footprints, or tracks, or other marks which could possibly account for what the young couple reported they had seen. When referring to his notebook he showed us a sketch of the object and "man" as drawn by Mr. Hunt. It was then too that he confirmed that two other people had reported seeing an identical thing the same night.



The arrow shows the region of the sighting

The first of these was the truck driver, Mr. Allan Degnor (c/o Post Office, Ceduna) who said that he was passing the clearing at about 10.00 p.m. when he saw a large caravan "doorway" with a figure in white in the middle of it. There was, however, nothing else of a structural nature to be seen at the top, bottom or sides of this "door." He said that he had not stopped.

Secondly, Mr. Max Inglis had been driving a car which passed the young couples' car a short distance before reaching the clearing. Brian Hunt and Sharyn Finckler remembered that a car had passed them at this spot, and they told us that they had stopped about a quarter of a mile from the clearing for about two minutes while Mr. Hunt "went behind a bush." At this stage they had noted nothing odd.

Mr. Inglis had told the policeman that, just after passing the stationary car, he had seen an orange glow to the right-hand side of the road. It was a "glow of light, rectangular in shape, orange in colour," which would have been a chain or two outside the normal fence line. He said that he would have placed this clearing at about 33 to 34 miles out of Kimba. However, we learned that after Mr. Hunt and Miss Finckler saw the object they travelled approximately two miles before they saw a post indicating that it was 30 miles to Kimba. In the circumstances they consider their estimate to be reliable.

Mr. Inglis stated that he thought that what he saw might have been an accident, and he slowed down, but as soon as he realised that something wasn't quite right, and seeing that there would soon be another car (Mr. Hunt's) following his, he decided to keep driving slowly and see what the following driver did. When the following car was seen to accelerate after passing the clearing, he too put on speed and arrived in Kimba well clear of the other car.

Everything stated independently by Mr. Hunt and Mr. Inglis confirmed the statements of the other party.


Finally we went out to the clearing with Constable Summerton. It was found to be semi-circular and about 100 yards across. It was clearly visible from the roadway once one was level with it, but there was a limited view of it from the approach side. The side that followed was fairly open, and that tallied with the description of visibility given by the young couple.

In a rigorous search of the ground, trees and scrub, we found no marks, depressions, burns, rope marks on trees, and so on, although it should be remembered that we were there four weeks after the incident.

The witnesses

About two hours were spent with the main

+ When he read this account Mr. Creighton thought there may be a discrepancy here, for, as he saw it, a "chain or two outside the fence line" would have meant that the phenomenon was right on the road. As I read it, however, I take it that the road is *inside* the fence line, and that the great wide scrubland is *outside*.—EDITOR



Reconstruction of the event on Highway 1, as seen by Miss Finckler and Mr. Hunt. A: Mr. Hunt's first view. B: Mr. Hunt's second view. C: Miss Finckler's view.

witnesses, both of whom are in their early twenties. Brian Hunt works in Kimba itself, while Miss Finckler is a nurse at the Port Lincoln hospital.

On the evening concerned they were travelling home from Port Pirie where they had been on a visit to Mr. Hunt's parents. Their accounts did not vary when they were questioned in front of the police constable, who also asked questions. Constable Summerton knows them personally, and was sufficiently impressed with their testimony to make the 65-mile round trip.

Minor discrepancies, such as in their first estimates of distances and times of duration, were pointed out to them. We were able to get them to re-live the event in "real time" and found that the incident probably took less than one minute from start to finish.

Nothing unusual was noticed about the witnesses — which is not always our experience when interviewing some close encounter witnesses, or contactees. We feel there can be no question of their having concocted the story.

Comment

One is left with a firm impression that these people witnessed a real event of some kind. None of the witnesses saw the phenomenon come or go; it was simply there. There was an indication of movement, since Mr. Inglis saw only an orange shape, whereas Mr. Hunt saw both the shape and a figure in the middle of it, and Miss Finckler saw an orange shape with a white blob on top.

This is certainly one of the most puzzling reports which I have investigated.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE NEXT FLYING SAUCER REVIEW (Vol.20, No. 2 of 1974) . . .

**FRENCH MINISTER SPEAKS ON UFOs
UFO LANDING AND REPAIR BY CREW**

by Ted Bloecher

**LUMINOUS 'SNAILS' NEAR TRAUNSTEIN,
AUSTRIA**

by Ernst Berger

SOME RECENT WELSH CASES

by F.W. Holiday

THE ITALIAN SCENE ONCE MORE

by Gordon Creighton

NOTES ON 'URI' AND A NEW 'CONTACTEE'

by Charles Bowen

AND, A LOOK INTO THE FUTURE...

HIGHLIGHTS OF ISSUES TO COME (Vol. 20, No. 3 and so on) . . .

AN "ARMY OF HUMANOIDs" IN SPAIN

by Ignatio Darnaude

AN ARABIAN LANDING?

by Gordon Creighton

UFO FIESTA IN SPAIN

by Eileen Buckle

THE "THINGS" AT YSSANDON

by Joël Mesnard

ANTHROMORPHIC PHENOMENA AT

SANTA ISABEL

by Dr. Oscar A. Galindez

STELLA LANSING'S CLOCK-SHAPED UFO

FORMATIONS

by Dr. Berthold E. Schwarz

TWELFTH NIGHT...AND A UFO

Which, with an Andalusian farmhouse incident, comprise two Spanish cases from 1961.

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Translation by Gordon Creighton

THESE two cases have been selected from my Catalogues of Landings in the Iberian Peninsular. Both involve multiple witnesses and both have a high strangeness rating.

1. Twelfth Night...and a UFO

A few words first about the principal witness in this case, which I have chosen for the sobriety of his account and for its interesting details. He is Don Luis G. Ferré Casas, a young man with administrative and technical qualifications having pursued courses in business studies and in teaching. He was 33 years old at the time of his experience. It was not until more than ten years later that our investigation group, CEONI, gathered all the data which I give below. In June 1971, having found out where the witness lived, we wrote to him requesting his co-operation, and he completed one of our questionnaire forms. Further details were secured in the course of subsequent correspondence between him and myself.

The time was around 3.00 a.m., in the morning of the day of the Feast of the Epiphany, that is to say, January 6, of 1961. Sr. Ferré and his parents and his sister were staying for a few days on an estate at Torroja del Priorato, in the Province of Tarragona. His father, accompanied by the family's dog (a pointer), had just retired to his room on the first floor, and the rest of the family were still downstairs chatting in the dining room and about to go up to bed themselves.

For some reason which has not been specified, the mother went out on to the terrace, and at once called urgently to them to come, whereupon the witness and his sister both went out to the terrace. And there, right in front of the house, on the mountain, at a distance of some 1,500 or 2,000 metres from the house, they saw what at first looked as though the Moon had quitted the sky and had come down and landed on the Earth. But the Moon was still there in the sky, full, shining as brightly as ever, and without a cloud to veil it. It was a perfect night, with clear visibility, and the temperature in the neighbourhood of 10° Centigrade.

What they observed has been described as a disc-shaped object, seemingly solid, with clearly defined edges, with a colour and brightness resembling those of the Moon itself. They could detect no sound from the object. It was on the ground on the mountainous terrain, in a spot where there was a fair amount of vegetation round about. Comparing the apparent size of the object with a nearby water tank owned

by the local waterworks (this tank is a few hundred metres from the house) Sr. Ferré estimates that its real diameter might have been about six metres. (See sketch by Sr. Ferré.) The effect which this sight produced in the minds of the witnesses is well summed up by Sr. Ferré:


"A desire to investigate came over me, and I said I would like to get my shotgun and approach the object by a roundabout route. The object was as bright as the Moon. Or even brighter. But Mother went into a state akin to hysteria and so, in the face of this, I gave up the idea. So then we stood there looking at the thing as though it had been the most natural, purely everyday thing, and a great sense of tranquility took possession of us. And then, as there seemed to be no change, and without paying great heed to the matter, we went off to bed."

About twenty minutes had elapsed. The object had remained there in the same position throughout this time.

Next morning however, the mountain looked just as it did on all the other days. Although Ferré tried to find something which might have reflected the rays of the moonlight as a mirror does, he was forced in the end to the conclusion that there was nothing up there that could have done this.

And here is one final point, in the realm of the possible psychobiological effects produced in living beings by the UFOs. One day, as the witness was out hunting, with a pointer dog with an extremely good nose, he happened to walk across the place where the object had stood. The dog refused to follow him, and made a detour around the area.

Finally, the witness declares that on subsequent occasions, when the Moon is full, and looking out from that same window, he has never seen the phenomenon repeated.



Sr. Ferré's drawing of the UFO he saw on
January 6, 1961

2. A visit to a farmhouse in the Province of Andalucia

In our opinion the next case is one of the most remarkable that we have on our files. We think this for two reasons: namely, because this sighting, so meticulously reported, is a typical example of all those varied features which go to constitute the world-wide UFO phenomenon, and, secondly, because our source happens to be a personal friend in whom we have the utmost confidence. He is a Sevillian, of a family that is held in high esteem, and a man who, by virtue of his whole background and career, academic and personal, is worthy of the highest praise. He is, to put it in a nutshell, in my opinion the ideal witness.

José-Gregorio Darnaude y Rojas Marcos was 28 years old at the time of his sighting. He took his bachelor degree, then did a three-year Law course in the University of Seville, and he has done courses in Economics and Business Management. In 1961 he was the manager of one of the enterprises owned by his family, the agricultural and cattle-breeding firm of Fuenteluenga S.A.

First a brief sketch of the setting. The Fuenteluenga Estate consists of 1300 hectares of mountainous terrain, low hills, meadows, an olive-grove, pine groves, and an orchard in front of and skirting the valley where the cluster of farm buildings lies. The property is within the municipal boundaries of Villanueva del Río y Minas, Cantillana, and El Pedroso, at a distance of some 50 kilometres from Seville.

The time is 11.00 p.m. on June 11, 1961, a typical hot night of the Andalusian summer. José Darnaude is lying in a hammock in the garden in front of the house, when he feels a sensation of discomfort and a peculiar malaise, with a pricking and itching throughout the whole body and particularly on his head. And suddenly, from behind the house, there appears a luminous disc flying at enormous speed on a NE-SW course. With an abrupt 90° turn it then comes down and hangs in the air, at a height of some 20-30 metres from the ground, and practically on an eye-level with him, as the situation of the farmhouse is lower than the area over which the UFO was hovering. From where the witness was, the horizon (in this case bounded by a hill), appeared to be above the stationary object. The distance of the object from him was about 250 metres. It emitted no detectible sound and gave the impression (although he is not fully sure on this point) that it was spinning on its axis, while all the time changing in colour and intensity of colour through white, orange, red, purple, and back to white again, always scintillating and flashing.

The night was dark, with no Moon, but also with no clouds to hide the stars, and visibility was excellent. The apparent size of the UFO was roughly half that of the full Moon, and he estimated its actual dimensions at some 4-6 metres in diameter and 3-4 metres through from top to bottom (these being its major and minor axes respectively, as it was elliptical in form). Its appearance was as of two dishes set face to face and, as Darnaude describes

it, it seemed definitely solid, and with luminous, slightly diffuse, contours which were however brighter than the rest of the object. He could see no windows or other particular features.

Sr. Darnaude at once ran into the house and called to various members of his family and to the servants to come and see the phenomenon. The people in the house included José-Maria Barrera, Rufino Macías Helo and his wife Antonia, and some dozen other persons. Then suddenly the object, turning white again, shot straight upwards at an enormous speed and vanished from sight towards the West. The whole duration of the incident, from the initial sensations of itching and malaise to the disappearance of the UFO, had been some 7-10 minutes.

And now we come to yet another aspect of the complex riddle of the saucers, namely the changes brought about in the surroundings through the presence of an unidentified flying object. Darnaude informs us that all the insects, crickets, cicadas, etc., fell silent and only suddenly started singing again after the extraordinary machine had gone. In the stables (which have no openings in the walls through which the animals might have seen the UFOs) the horses and mules went mad with excitement. The sheep, some four kilometres distant from the house, at the far end of the property, broke through their hedges and wire-fences and scattered over the mountainside, so that the shepherds had to go and get help to round them up again. The mastiff dogs of the house, and the other dogs such as are usually found on farms, all cowered and were silent.

Moreover there is yet another indirect corroboration for this case: the watchman at a limestone quarry at a distance of one kilometre from the house declared that he had seen a "round light" cross the sky at high speed.

* * * * *

I will close this report as I began it, with some of my own impressions of the eyewitness. I made the acquaintance of José-Gregorio Darnaude in October 1969 and, in fact, precisely during a meeting of students of the UFO problem which was held in Madrid, and which I myself had organized. He never at any time spoke confidentially about this case, nor did he make it public. On our way home afterwards however, as we were driving together to Seville in his car, he gave me, almost casually, a few snippets about the sighting. The present report is the result of subsequent meetings that I had with Darnaude and of correspondence with him, in the course of which he filled in one of our questionnaires with extensive details. He assured us that, in 1961, he neither knew of, nor was interested in, the UFO problem. But it is logical that after that experience he should have had the desire to inform himself on the subject and to seek, maybe unconsciously, to find some explanation for the occurrence or some reassurance and support in the catalogues of the very numerous similar cases that have taken place throughout the world. Senor Ferré

too (the witness in the first case which I have recorded above) has had the desire — since his own experience — to read serious books on the UFO theme. Nor do we think that this fact in any way gives ground for doubting his statements. Both

these men maintained strict secrecy for ten years about their sightings, and only “opened up” to the investigating specialist when given prior assurance that their testimonies would be used solely for the purpose of scientific study of the UFO question.

GEORGE ADAMSKI STILL CASTS HIS SHADOW

Thoughts prompted by an interesting report from Peru

Gordon Creighton

WE are indebted to Captain Jorge Milberg of Lima, Peru, formerly with the Panagra Air Line, who has forwarded to us, through his old friend Derek Dempster,* a recent press account of a remarkable sighting.

This took place on October 19, 1973, when a Peruvian engineer named Hugo Luyo Vega allegedly photographed, with a Polaroid camera, an unidentified hovering object which appears to have been identical in all respects with the ‘Venusian scout-ship’ allegedly photographed at Palomar Gardens, California, on December 13, 1952, by the unfortunate and possibly much maligned George Adamski.

Captain Jorge Milberg states that he has a friend who knows the Peruvian engineer. There is consequently a possibility that a fuller report, and copies of the photograph, will in due course be secured for *Flying Saucer Review*, and we have asked Captain Milberg if he can help.

According to the account which we have, published in the October 23, 1973, edition of the Lima newspaper *El Comercio*, Friday, October 19, 1973, is a date that Senor Hugo Luyo Vega is not likely to forget. The time was about 3.00 p.m., and he was driving on the Peruvian Central Highway towards Lima, accompanied by a business client from Matucana. The client had just bought some mandarin oranges from a road-side stall, and now suggested that they take a little break for a few minutes and enjoy some of the mandarins.

Then, in the words of Hugo Luyo Vega: “We saw the flying saucer, just a few metres from us. My breathing grew faster. Neither of us spoke a word. A whole string of ideas raced through my mind. I managed to keep calm and ~~ran to the car and~~ and ran to the car and got out my camera. And I just did the best I could to secure a picture of it.”

He managed to get only the one photograph.

His story goes on: “At first the saucer was moving very slowly. But then it began to climb and was quickly out of sight in the sky, and this is why I was unable to get more than one picture.

“For a moment I didn’t actually think that the

picture would come out all right, for I don’t consider myself all that good as a photographer, and I was greatly surprised when I saw that it had come out. All the photo showed was the thing’s shape, but at any rate this little bit of evidence is enough to prove that it was a real ‘UFO’ and not an invention of my mind.”

Sr. Vega explained that when they first caught sight of the saucer it was “at eye-level.” The place was at approximately kilometer 54 on the Peruvian Central Highway, La Carretera Central. As he described it to the newspaper reporter: “The UFO appeared above the river Rimac and over the tremendous ravine. It was travelling very slowly, and my client and I just stood there enraptured by the sight.”

Description of the UFO

Sr. Vega described the saucer as follows:

“It was of the colour of burnished silver. The upper part looked like a cupola. At its apex there was a steady blue light,¹ and below that there was the shape of a turret with small windows, which we could see perfectly. Beneath that, the saucer terminated in a big platform about ten metres² in diameter, and, in the centre underneath, it had a cone with a very powerful dark red pulsating glow.”³


It took the two men some twenty minutes to recover from their astonishment, and even then they remained there discussing the affair for some time still before driving on.

Sr. Vega was reserved with the press on the matter of the identity of his companion. His concluding words were: “He is a wealthy man who prefers no publicity.”

The accompanying sketch was done for the newspaper by Sr. Vega. As can be seen, it displays the typical “Adamskian” bell-shaped “scout-ship.” It will also be noted that the sketch shows the portholes of the craft seemingly distributed in fours, and thereby hangs a tale which is not without interest and which may be unfamiliar to many readers, so I relate it again now.

It will be recalled that, some fourteen months after Adamski claimed to have photographed his “scout-ship” over Palomar Gardens, a thirteen-year-

* Mr. Dempster was first Editor of *Flying Saucer Review* in 1955/56.



Adamskian "Scout craft" as drawn by Sr. Vega, which he alleges he saw and photographed near the Peruvian Central Highway

J.C.

old English boy named Stephen Darbishire, the son of a doctor, produced two strikingly similar photos which he said he had taken on a hill above Coniston Water (Lancashire) in the Lake District, at 11.00 a.m. on the morning of February 15, 1954. He and his eight-year-old cousin Adrian Myer, had gone up to the top of Coniston Old Man (2,575 ft.), taking their simple, cheap little camera, in the hope of getting some bird pictures, for their hobby was ornithology. And there, hovering very briefly near the ground, they said they had seen this object, of which Stephen secured two snapshots, not very good ones, but sufficient at any rate to indicate the shape and size. According to Stephen, the thing had a silvery or glassy appearance, "like metal or plastic which light goes through but which you can't see through." At first it was directly in the sun's rays, and very bright. But as it began to rise, clouds cut off the direct sunlight, so that the boys were now able to see it more distinctly. "It was a solid, metal-like thing, with a dome, portholes, and three bumps or landing domes underneath. In the centre the underneath was darker and pointed like a cone... There was what looked like a hatch on top of the cabin dome."⁴

When Stephen Darbishire was being "grilled" by four journalists a few days later, it was evident that they were extremely anxious to induce him to change his mind or contradict himself on some small detail. They failed. They also tried to get him to admit that he had read the Leslie/Adamski book *Flying Saucers Have Landed* (first edition, London, September 1953).⁵ This he denied, as did his parents. But Stephen readily agreed that he *had* seen a reproduction of the Adamski "scout-ship" photo, which was published in the London magazine *Illustrated* of September 30, 1953. With devastating small-boy-logic, however, he argued that what he had seen and what Adamski claimed to have seen *were not the same sort of object at all*. Pressed to explain, he said that the reproduction in *Illustrated* had

shown an object with "three portholes in a row," whereas what he had seen "had *four* portholes in a row." As the object had shot downwards and almost brushed the earth it had indeed seemed to have the ports in threes, for this was the number that they first saw. But then the object rotated, and they perceived that there were four portholes set close together, not three.

The following year, 1955, saw the launching of FSR. I had just returned to Britain after many years of absence. I had seen a UFO over a Chinese city in 1941; in New Orleans I had noted (and extracted) the heavily censored American press reports of "foo fighters" seen during the Allied aerial attacks on Germany (December 1944),⁶ and, while in Brazil between 1948 and 1951 I had noted some of the first press reports there. So, not surprisingly, I became a reader of FSR right from issue No. 1, and before long I had met the then Editor, Derek Dempster, and also Waveney Girvan, then a director.

Waveney Girvan's post in the business world was that of managing director of Werner Laurie Ltd., publishers, of 1 Doughty Street, London, W.C.1. — the firm which had taken the gamble and been the first to publish the Leslie/Adamski book *Flying Saucers Have Landed*. (That they did so was purely through the advice of Girvan, who had already arrived, quite independently, at the conviction that there must be some element of truth behind the snowballing mass of UFO reports.)⁷

On one of our occasional midday meetings in 1955 (usually these took place in the charming Victorian *décor* of the famous "stage personalities pub," *The Salisbury*, in St. Martin's Lane, London W.C.2) Waveney told me an interesting thing about the Adamski "scout-ship" photos and the Darbishire photos. He said that, when he had received it from Adamski, the large close-up snap of the craft (frontispiece in the original edition of 1953, and photo no. 1 in Desmond Leslie's new and enlarged edition of 1970)⁸ did in fact show four portholes in

a row. But his firm had trimmed the picture on its right-hand side when preparing it for inclusion in the book, so that it appeared, when it came out, that the ports were arranged in sets of three. Stephen Darbishire, who could not possibly have known of that fact, had insisted that "his" craft showed a row of four portholes. The two alleged machines were seemingly identical after all, at any rate in respect of the arrangement of their portholes!

Some time after this conversation with Waveney, I read Leonard Cramp's excellent little book, *Space, Gravity & The Flying Saucer*. Its introduction was by Desmond Leslie and I noted that Leslie confirmed (p.17) what Waveney had told me both about the "trimming" of the right-hand side of the "scout-ship" photo and the grilling of Stephen Darbishire by the newspapermen. Leslie again confirmed this in his commentary in the new edition of *Flying Saucers Have Landed*.

Moreover Leonard Cramp produced in this book some orthographic test drawings,⁹ by means of which he had made a minute comparison of the Adamski and Darbishire photos and by which he demonstrated conclusively that, whatever the objects in question might be, they were at any rate quite certainly completely identical as regards their dimensions. Either Adamski had staged an extraordinarily well organized hoax extending from California to the English Lake District, or a thirteen-year-old boy had done so. Either way, the hoax theory was beginning to look a bit thin.

Nor, I must repeat, are these the only known "UFO" photographs in which the Adamski type of "scout-ship" appears. There have been quite a number of such photographs, their credentials generally no better and no worse than those of the rest. One such photo, which I recall particularly, I found in an illustrated Italian magazine of the spring or summer of 1963. The accompanying article was about an American Army officer named Madeira, and the object photographed by him was allegedly a landed saucer which he had seen one day, standing on or beside a main road in a Central American republic (I think Costa Rica). I could detect no difference between the object allegedly shown and the object in the Adamski photo. The Italian press was bursting with many remarkable UFO reports in 1963, and I translated a great many of them for FSR, including this one. However it was not used by Waveney, then Editor of the journal, and one can only speculate as to why he did not print it,¹⁰ for he was strongly convinced that George Adamski was no liar or hoaxer and this photo was in Adamski's favour.

The arrival of the Vega report from Peru suggests that we might perhaps be wise to prepare for a scrupulous re-think about Adamski's photos and about his story. For, over the years since 1952, there has not only been, as I have just pointed out, a steady dribble of reports and even photos of the "bell-shaped Venusian scout-ship" type of craft but — horror of horrors for the sceptic — even of precisely such tall, fair, long-haired, benevolent men as Adamski had been rash enough to describe (and at a date when no men in America or Europe were yet

addicted to wearing their hair long). In October 1966, in my article *The Humanoids In Latin America*¹¹ I suggested that honesty called for a very careful study of these problems, and that perhaps such honesty was not being displayed... "because of the fear that Adamski might turn out to be right."

After all, we have gone a long, long way with our UFO reports and "contactee stories" since 1952. We are beginning to learn quite a lot about possible mental manipulations, brainwashing, programming, projection, and the like. In the light of the truly fantastic UFO stories that are flooding in upon us now, what, pray, is there particularly "far-out" or "unacceptable" about the story told in 1952 by George Adamski? Is he, not beginning now to seem a bit of a conservative?

Finally, on the specific matter of the "Adamski" Venusian scout-ship", I would add that while my own knowledge of photography is minimal, I have nevertheless heard a great many very knowledgeable people discussing these matters at various times, and have been forcibly struck by the fact that no two of them ever seemed to be in agreement as to precisely what the pesky thing was that George had snapped. Among the small collection which I made of these "explanations," I find that impeccable authorities have, *inter alia*, ruled it to be:

1. A type of lamp used in hospital operating theatres in the U.S.A.,
2. A tobacco-curer,
3. A chicken-incubator.

That the mystery object can have served three such disparate purposes I am somehow loth to accept. As time passes, I find myself more and more inclined to think that it may far more probably have been precisely what George Adamski said it was... a "flying saucer," — whatever that may be.

Notes & References

- 2 Adamski said that his "scout-ship" looked like a translucent glass bell and that on the very top of it had "...a round ball that looked like a heavy lens of some kind. And it glowed." Numerous other UFO reports feature a flashing light, not always blue, on top of the craft.
- 2 "Around ten metres" is a very common estimate in accounts of those who claim to have had close sightings of UFOs. George Adamski thought the craft he saw was "about twenty feet," but said he had been too dazed by the whole experience to take a very careful note of its exact size.
- 3 Adamski mentions no glow or light from below, but his photo shows precisely the same sort of "cone" as we see in Sr. Vega's sketch. Moreover many other eyewitnesses have spoken of just such a cone, and a number of other photographs show it clearly or show some indication of it. Furthermore, the Adamski photo and the Vega sketch agree entirely upon the "ring of gears or heavy coil built into and encircling the side wall at the base of this domed top", the pronounced "ring" surrounding the cabin just above the portholes; the flange; and the "half-lowered three-ball landing-gear." And there may be still other similarities which I have missed.
- 4 See Waveney Girvan: *Flying Saucers and Commonsense*

(Frederick Muller Ltd., London, March 1955).
Leonard G. Cramp, MSIA, MBIS: *Space, Gravity and the Flying Saucer* (Werner Laurie, London, 1954).

- 5 Published by Werner Laurie, London, of which firm, as stated, Waveney Girvan was the managing director at the time. Perhaps it is also important to take this opportunity to repeat that the British edition of *Flying Saucers have Landed* was the first anywhere. There had been no prior "American bestselling edition," as so many folk seem to think, for the American publishers had turned down Adamski's ms, and it was not until this had been wedded to Desmond Leslie's ms, and both had been tightened up and edited by Waveney Girvan that they appeared in print.
- 6 For details of these early "personal glimpses" of the UFO phenomenon, see my article *Foo Fighters*, in FSR for March/April 1962, and Robert Chapman's *Unidentified Flying Objects* (Arthur Barker, London 1969, pp. 152-153).
- 7 On p.10 of *Flying Saucers & Commonsense* (1955) Waveney Girvan stated that, early in 1953, he had received a letter from a man living at Weymouth, Dorset, to the effect that not only did flying saucers exist but that he had actually communicated with the pilot of one which had landed on the Downs behind that town. The pilot had told him that "they were visiting the earth because they had become alarmed by the state of affairs into which our politicians had allowed us to drift," a piece of perspicacity in which we can only concur, over twenty years later. Waveney Girvan continued: "When I read this letter, I pondered for some time and then, impulsively, tore it up and threw it into the waste-paper basket. I took the writer of the letter for a crank or a madman. About a month later the Adamski manuscript and photographs were on my desk, and I suddenly remembered the Weymouth letter — too late. If this book should chance to be read by that man in Weymouth — I have forgotten his name — will he please accept my apologies for my 'will-not-to-believe' and hasten to get in touch with me again?"
(So far as we know, he never did.—G.C.)

- 8 Desmond Leslie & George Adamski: *Flying Saucers have Landed*, (pub. Neville Spearman, London, 1970). This new edition contains additional commentaries by Desmond Leslie. As I have indicated, I believe Adamski may yet be vindicated and come into his own, and that everyone interested in our subject might therefore do well to read this book carefully, particularly Leslie's commentaries. His present view of the Adamski story is very close to views that have been expressed in FSR, — especially as regards the possible nature and origin of Adamski's mysterious "Venusians."
- 9 Leonard Cramp's orthographic test drawings have been widely reproduced. They can be seen in both his books, *Space, Gravity & The Flying Saucer* (Werner Laurie, 1954), and *Piece for a Jigsaw* (pub. Somerton, Isle of Wight, 1966), and also in Desmond Leslie's new edition of *Flying Saucers have Landed*. They were also dealt with in an article in FSR for September/October 1963, and again in FSR for July/August 1965 soon after the death of Adamski. (Incidentally, now that the fuel and energy crisis is upon us, I trust that many people with scientific training are looking carefully at the ideas of Leonard Cramp, as well as those of "Rho Sigma," the German author of *Research in Shackles*, which was dealt with recently in FSR, and the ideas of the Frenchman Leplantier and of our own colleague Professor R.H.B. Winder, all of whom have pondered long and deeply upon the problems of UFO propulsion.)
- 10 I cannot get at my file copy of the translation, which lies buried under a vast pile of papers in a spare room. So far as I now recall, the original Italian press-clipping, with the reproduction of Madeira's alleged photograph, went with the top copy of my translation to Waveney Girvan, and we do not know what became of it. (After the death of Waveney Girvan in October 1964, extraordinarily little was salvaged from the FSR records in his home.)
- 11 In *The Humanoids*, FSR Special Issue No. 1, October 1966; republished in an augmented edition by Neville Spearman Ltd., London W.C.1 in 1969. See pages 86-87.

PRELIMINARY CATALOGUE OF TYPE I CASES IN ARGENTINA — PART 5 (Concluded)

Prof. Oscar A. Uriondo

Translated from the Spanish by Gordon Creighton

This section concludes the sub-class A3 which appeared in FSR *Case Histories* Supplement 17. The remaining sub-classifications will be published in *Flying Saucer Review* as and when space permits.

14. (119). 1968, June 29; San Luiz del Palmer While driving along National Highway No. 5, Eduardo Sánchez Aguilar, Pablo Pastor Ortega and Damián Vega saw a circular flattened object which emitted a vivid orange light. As it passed at a close distance from their car, it shook the car violently and the gears went into reverse, the car moving backwards.

La Croñica, Buenos Aires, July 4, 1968, and Creighton: *A New South American Wave* (case 15), in FSR, September/October 1968.

15. (124). 1968. July 2; Sierra Chica (Buenos Aires). 23.15 hrs.

A party of five people (including a sergeant from the local police force) observed a light zigzagging slowly across a field straight towards them, at a height of only a few metres. Terrified, they threw themselves to the ground. The UFO accelerated, shot up vertically, and vanished in the distance.

La Razón, Buenos Aires, July 4, 1968, and Creighton: *The Remarkable Affair of Oscar Iriart*, case 19 in: *A New South American Wave*, FSR, September/October 1968.

16. (127). 1968, July 7; Cuesta de las Vacas (San Juan). Night.

Driving in his car, with six members of his family, business-

man Francisco Zamora suddenly encountered what he took to be a lighted railway coach, 30 metres long, which passed across the road in front of their car. But there was no railway line at that place, and the "train" gave the impression of travelling suspended in the air, at a height of about one metre from the ground. Their view of the thing was perfect as it was caught in the beams of their headlights. The object vanished into the darkness and they continued their journey.

Bulletin of AIDOVNI, Buenos Aires, No.15, September/October, 1968, pp. 46-47. Also see Dr. Oscar A. Galindez: *A New Teleportation Near Córdoba*, in FSR, May/June 1973.

17. (132). 1968, July 16; Andacollo (Neuquén). 00.15 hrs.

An officer and four sub-officers of the National Gendarmerie observed a flattish object, some 2 metres in diameter, slowly flying close to the ground and emitting a pale-blue light.

During the period of five minutes for which they had

the UFO in sight, the engine of their jeep had a loss of power.

La Razon, Buenos Aires, July 18, 1968.

18. (133). 1968, July 18, Lavalle (Mendoza). 07.30 hrs. Four women teachers, Amelia Arasqueta, Zulema Arasqueta, Mary Granada de Bariglia, and Silvia Grozona were driving to Lavalle when they saw a strange, reddish-orange, oval object, moving along at a height of a very few metres from the ground. It was some 20 metres in diameter, was travelling very slowly, and produced no sound. Before it vanished they became aware of a smell like that of damp paper, which became stronger and stronger until they felt it difficult to breathe. One of the witnesses felt sleepy.

Espacio, Rosario, No. 3, 1970, p.4.

19. (147). 1968, September 12; Ceres (Santa Fe). Night. A strange flying object, oval-shaped and 0.25 m. in diameter, which emitted an intense reddish light, came down and almost touched the roofs of houses. It finally made off towards the west.

La Razón: Buenos Aires, September 13, 1968.

Readers' Reports

UFOs spotted over Thanet

Dear Sir,—Here is an account I took from my local newspaper, (the Thanet edition of the *East Kent Times*) dated July 29, 1973. It describes my sighting of July 26, 1973. The statement I gave to the press is true in every way, but although I am looked on as a freak at work, I don't mind.

"As the row over night-flying continues, UFOs were sighted over Thanet early yesterday morning, Mr. Michael Barker, 25, a night-shift electrical worker at a Westwood factory, spotted four bright elliptical objects in the sky shortly before 3.00 a.m.

"During a tea-break I was looking at the stars with two friends when we spotted the objects," he told an *East Kent Times* and *Mail* reporter yesterday. "I am interested in astronomy and was waiting to see the American Skylab satellite, which is visible to the naked eye. Suddenly we spotted the four shapes. They were all the same size, an orange colour, and were surrounded by a bright glow. There was no trail left and we heard no noise."

"He went on: 'They travelled north towards Cliftonville and were visible for 30 to 40 seconds.' Mr. Barker telephoned the secretary of the Thanet Amateur Astronomers, Peter Jordon, and was advised to report his sighting to the RAF.

"Yesterday an RAF spokesman at Manston said: 'We are unable to explain the objects, but as far as we know there were no aircraft over Thanet at that time of night.' He said Mr. Barker's

report had been notified to the Ministry of Defence. Added Mr. Barker: 'I shall definitely be out to look for these objects again tonight.'"

That ends the extract from the newspaper report.

A fortnight later the Ministry of Defence sent me a letter explaining what the objects may have been, such as sun shadow, cloud formations, reflections, something to do with space junk...but I know they were not any of these, and I have two witnesses who will support my statement. [The names of the witnesses are: Mr. D.J. Latter, and Mr. J. Oakes. Their addresses were also supplied.—ED.]

The four objects were flying in a straight line except for the second from the back which was a little to the left of the other three, as though it were pulling out to overtake the others, but we did not see them long enough to see this. They were visible for at least forty seconds, with no noise. There was an orange light all around them but not in the middle, so we were thus able to determine their shape. They headed out for the Channel and were gone.

R. M. W. Barker
80 College Road,
Margate, Kent.



The four UFOs seen over Thanet as depicted by Mr. Barker

An eye-witness's account

Dear Sir,—As an investigator for BUFORA and WATSUP, I am submitting a rather interesting report of a case I have been investigating recently. The individuals who underwent the experience are Mr. P. C. Watson and Mr. D. M. Almond, both of Portsmouth. They are actively interested in UFOs and regard the event in a scientific manner. The following is Mr. Almond's account:

"One Friday in September, 1971, whilst teaching my friend to drive a motor-cycle on Portsdown Hill, Portsmouth, Hampshire, between Nelson's Column and Fort Southwick, we had a very strange experience. After Peter had been driving around for about an hour, and darkness was drawing in, he came back to me to say he had found a good track to practice along. It was very narrow with bushes one side and trees and bushes on the other. It was decided that I would ride pillion in order to assess Peter's driving skills.

"We then entered the path and began following it towards the top of the hill, and on coming to one particular bend in the path, Peter, for no apparent reason, drove straight into

the thicket. I looked ahead to see why he had come off the path, and there about 15 feet away and 9-10 feet from ground level — I saw a pair of eyes. They were elliptical in shape, at least twice the normal size and about 6-8 inches apart.

"The battery operated lights on the motor cycle went out or were knocked out by the impact. Despite the fact that we were in total darkness, the eyes were still visible to both of us for a few seconds, and to me, they appeared to turn away to our left without making the slightest sound. It was at that moment we became very frightened of what we had seen. I quickly dragged the motor cycle out of the bushes, restarted it, and we made a hasty retreat from the area. After a considerable distance, I looked back towards the bushes and the area around it, but saw nothing. During the whole episode, there was no conversation between us.

"As soon as we arrived home, I asked Peter if he had seen anything. He replied that he had seen two eyes ahead of him, causing him to freeze and lose control of the machine. He told me he had seen the eyes about 5 seconds before me but did not see them go."

As the investigator of this case, I would state emphatically that in my estimation the two eye-witnesses (excuse the pun) are giving a true account of their experience. I formed this opinion as the result of a three-hour interview. Photographs of the area where the occurrence took place have been carefully studied and no logical explanation of the event can be found.

M. B. Handley,
9, Norman Road,
Southsea, Hants.

UFO Activity in Thailand

Dear Sir,—I have sent a clipping from the major daily newspaper of Bangkok, Thailand. The translation reads as follows:

"**GHOST FLYING SAUCER**—The object was confirmed by the two girls named Cristena Liewragwong and Lisaned who said that they saw the flying saucer (as shown in the picture) flying over the dormitory area in Soi (Lane) Aree, Sukhumvit Road, Klong Toey District of Bangkok."

The date of this report was September 2, 1973.

I am unable to gather more details as I am currently assigned to an isolated signal relay site in N.E. Thailand near the amphur (district) town of Mukdaharn. But I have hearsay accounts from U.S. Air Force personnel in this region that several of the F-111's lost during combat in S.E. Asia have disappeared just as inex-



Two girls describe their sighting in a suburb of Bangkok.

plicably as the two which disappeared

in the state of Nevada, USA, in 1972. Also, an F-4 Phantom jet fighter bomber vanished from radar screens simultaneously as visual contact was lost by the wingman. No debris was recovered and enemy fire was not suspected as the incident occurred over Thailand just a few miles from Ubon Royal Thai Air Force Base. Sgt. Terry W. Colvin, Ubon Royal Thai Air Force Base, Thailand.

Another Welsh report from the past

Dear Sir,—A reporter with the *Mid-Wales County Times, Express & Gazette*, I came across this interesting item, when searching through the newspaper's files of 75 years ago, in the *County Times*, of October 29, 1898. The item was headed **COMET OR METEOR?**

"A Welshpool correspondent writes:—

"Did anyone see the comet on Sunday night between 11 and 12 when it rose from behind the Long Mountain with great rapidity? A short luminous tail was perceptible. The nucleus appeared like a small moon, partially eclipsed. At the upper part of this were several tiny brilliant discs, red, blue, green and yellow, flashing like fireworks. Clouds hit it every now and then, but several times it could be perceived through them. I watched it for about an hour during which time it attained a great height and seemed to move in an oblique line. Thick dark clouds then concealed it, and watching seemed in vain.

"I shall be glad to learn if it has been observed in other places, and at what time." Yours truly,
Barry Jones

1967 Sighting in Nebraska

Dear Sir,—My brother and I saw a flying saucer here in Beatrice, Nebraska in 1967. It was perfectly round, about 30 ft. in diameter, reddish in colour, and looked at first like the full Moon seen rising. It had no shine or twinkle such as a star has. It was just skimming along above the tree-tops, less than a quarter of a mile from us, and moving at about 30-50 m.p.h. (I was able to judge its distance from us, and its speed as it was just at the end of our lane. I have watched cars and trucks pass along there over the past 16 years).

It was not wobbling, as I have heard mentioned in some UFO reports, but going straight as an arrow, and it was emitting a very loud, rapidly pulsating noise.

Our dog was with us and he also saw it and went absolutely wild when it came into view.

As you probably know, many personal sightings go absolutely unreported, so I thought I would satisfy myself by sending this account to somebody intelligent enough to believe it.

Sincerely yours,
Tom Shuffs,
Beatrice,
Nebraska, U.S.A.

Brazil

UFO causes panic and traffic jam

From *A Luta Democrática* of April 6, 1972 comes the following report — "The sudden appearance of a flying saucer over the Estrada do Tinguá highway, at a spot near Paulo de Frontin, in the Brazilian State of Bahia, has caused a panic and stopped traffic for many hours. The UFO then flew about over the region, emitting lights of various colours and weird and unearthly sounds. The eyewitnesses totalled more than one hundred.

"Corinto Fontes, a taxicab driver, was travelling in his cab with his wife and with Sr. Joaquim Loureiro dos Santos and his wife, and following them was a bus containing 43 students of the Faculty of Law at Valença. When the cab-driver saw the saucer coming lower and lower towards them, he stopped and with his passengers fled in panic from the cab.

"The students in the bus meanwhile began shouting in terror. Other witnesses told the paper that the saucer was silvery and so dazzling bright that to look at it made one temporarily blind. The fantastic and terrifying noises emitted by it were said to be utterly indescribable.

"The UFO continued to fly around above the milling traffic-jam for a quarter of an hour, and then made off at high speed.

"The chief worry of most of the Law students afterwards was that they might be taken for madmen..."

Credit: Dr. Walter Buhler, Rio de Janeiro.

CENTRE FOR UFO STUDIES

Founded by Dr. J. Allen Hynek, who is the Director, the *UFO Center* has been established for those who wish to see positive action taken to end a quarter century of misrepresentation and misunderstanding.

Details from: **UFO CENTER**
P.O. Box 11, Northfield,
Illinois 60093, USA

HIGHLIGHTS FROM RECENT BACK NUMBERS OF FSR CASE HISTORIES...

1973

Supplement 17, December
UFO OVER NAGAI CITY, JAPAN
by Yusuke J. Matsumura

Supplement 16, August
THE HUMANOIDS AT ROSEDALE
by Brian James

Supplement 15, June
THE GREAT "CROSS" ABOVE THE VATICAN
by Dr. Alberto Perego

Supplement 14, April
LOW-PASS UFO OVER THE A5 by G. Geldard

Supplement 13, February
HIGH ALTITUDE OBJECTS OVER ROMANIA
by Florin Gheorghita

1972

Supplement 12, December
A POSSIBLE LANDING AT MATADEPERA
by Jose Maria Casas-Huguet

Supplement 11, August
A HOT RECEPTION AT FORT BEAUFORT
by Charles Bowen

Supplement 10, June
THE STRANGE FORCE THAT MOVED A CAR
GICOFF report

Supplement 9, February
LANDING REPORT FROM DELPHOS
by Ted Phillips

1971

Supplement 8, December
CONTACT IN HELSINKI by Timo Pyälä

Supplement 7, October
UFO LANDING AT SOUTH FREMANTLE
by Nancy L. Wilson

Supplement 6, August
HORSE ILL AFTER UFO INCIDENT
by John Magor

Supplement 5, June
THE REMARKABLE LANDING AT LAGOA
NEGRA by Jader U. Pereira

Supplement 4, April
OLAF DAVY AND THE WROXHAM UFO
by Peter Johnson

Supplements 1, 2 and 3

24p per copy, post paid (65c)

Remittance with order to F.S.R. Case Histories, c/o Compendium Books, 281 Camden High Street, London NW1, England