

MORE ON THE "INTRADIMENSIONAL HYPOTHESIS"

By Ann Druffel

FSR readers will no doubt be interested to see this section of a letter written by FSR Consultant Ann Druffel of Pasadena, California, which appeared in the MUFON UFO JOURNAL for April 1993 (to which Mrs. Druffel is herself a frequent contributor). EDITOR.

"Various persons have inquired, through letters to the *Journal* and in person, for clarification of my term "intradimensional" as used in my March 1992 "Resisting Alien Abductions" article¹. One reader inquired if I referred to (1) a spatial dimension; or (2) hidden dimensions such as in H.P. Lovecraft's books; or (3) the "astral plane," where psychic phenomena (astral projection, telepathy, etc.) are supposed to take place.

Lovecraft's writings are fiction, and have nothing to do with ufology. The term "astral plane" as used by my inquiring reader, is imprecise. Although many "abductees" report that they seem to be "out-of-body" during abductions, not enough is known about the OBE altered state to link it directly to the interdimensional hypothesis. Other psychic phenomena such as telepathy, clairvoyance, clairaudience, precognitive dreams, etc. seem to be widespread human talents which may or may not be "interdimensional". My own experience with remote-viewing under laboratory conditions seems to indicate that it works within our physical universe, but this is a purely subjective observation. Parapsychologists, although they have been trying for over a century, have not yet determined the source or nature of psychic phenomena.

The "intradimensional hypothesis," as used by me, refers to the hypothesis that there are other dimensions besides our four-dimensional physical world (three space dimensions and one time dimension). For example, Theodor Franz Kaluza's 1919 proposal to Einstein of a five-dimensional theory of gravity interested Einstein

because a fifth spatial dimension provided enough "room" to fit the electromagnetic force into Einstein's theory of gravity, a problem which Einstein had been unable to solve. Mathematicians of the present day continue study into the multidimensional theory.

The implication for ufology, of course, is that other dimensions might be peopled by intelligent, non-human creatures. Following out this assumption, it is hypothesized that abducting entities interpenetrate from their own dimension into our own space-time, work their mischief, and disappear back into their own dimension, leaving little or no verifiable traces.

As Jacques Vallee has described in his book *Dimensions*, writing about incubi, faerie folk, etc. and as Gordon Creighton has so elegantly written about the jinns of the Muslim world in *FSR (Flying Saucer Review)*², there seems little doubt that the human race has been harassed for thousands of years by non-physical intelligences whose true nature and motives remain a mystery. The "abducting entities" currently being studied avidly by ufology, in my opinion, might have nothing at all to do with physical UFOs, but are simply an old human problem, currently posing as "UFO extraterrestrials." The situation cries out for continued study by objective minds." Ann Druffel, Pasadena, CA.



Footnotes by Editor, FSR.

(1) This article was reproduced in FSR 37/3 (Autumn, 1992). See also Ann Druffel's other work in which she discusses these problems:-

(a) *The Tujunga Canyon Contacts* (book), New American Library, New York, 1988.

(b) *Can We Battle These Entities?* (article), in FSR 33/3 (Autumn 1988).

(c) *Input into the Infra-red/Ultra-Violet Theory* (article), in FSR 37/4 (Winter, 1992).

(2) See *A Brief Account Of The True Nature Of The "UFO Entities"*, by Gordon Creighton, in FSR 29/1 (October 1983), reprinted in FSR 33/3 (Autumn 1988) ■

SCHOOLBOY PHOTOGRAPHS "UFO FLOTILLA" OVER REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN IN CENTRAL ASIA

JEZKAGAN, January 26, 1993. TASS News Service Report from KAZTAG Correspondent Andrei Sivaschenko:-

"Vanya Shavnev, an eleven-year-old schoolboy in the Kazakh city of Jezkagan, could never have dreamed that the first snapshot he would get with the camera he had just received from Santa Claus for the New Year would be of a flotilla of twenty flying saucers.

Vanya, a fifth-form pupil, took the photograph on the same day on which an accident occurred at the

local power-generating plant, and wonders whether the "Extraterrestrials" had come to warn the townspeople. But, as he told the newspaper *Tsentrallyy Kazakhstan*, "they came too late!"

Three UFOs are seen most clearly on the photograph. Vanya and his parents said that they can distinctly make out the "diamond-shaped fairing of the stabilisator and two hemispheres of the chassis below it". They added that in sci-fi books they had seen similar pictures of UFOs.

Experts say however that the photograph is no fake."

Item ends.

RON PEARSON CHALLENGED

In FSR 37/2 (Autumn, 1992), with his article *Are UFOs Compatible With "Our" Physics?*, I introduced a new FSR Scientific Consultant, the engineer Ron Pearson.

I now reproduce below the text of a critical letter received from a reader in Canada, together with Mr. Pearson's reply to him. EDITOR.

[A] THE EDITOR, FLYING SAUCER REVIEW.
February 23, 1993.

Dear Editor,— I am writing to you because of an article in Vol. 37 No. 3 by R.D. Pearson entitled *Are UFOs Compatible With "Our" Physics?*. I'm no scientist. So when I read that article, I was glad to see that someone had finally found an answer to the dilemma represented by UFOs.

This happiness didn't last long. I spoke of it with others (some of whom are scientists) and learned some details that were not included in the article.

Here is what I was told about the Champeney and Moon experiment:

"The time dilatation effect described was originally thought to be evident around a structure called a Tipler cylinder. That's a really, really huge structure, extremely dense, perhaps made of neutronium, spinning along its long axis in space, at a speed near the speed of light. Near the spinning surface, it's been shown that some interesting effects based on relativity *may* make themselves evident. The experiment you listed used a cylinder made of lead, spinning far, far below the speed of light. Most responsible researchers dismissed the experiment, due to the fact that the predicted effects were several orders of magnitude below anything our instruments could detect. It's not a practical experiment, as things stand now."

As you see, things are not as simple as they seem. And I think that the author must have known about this. Here I continue with some thoughts about the experiment of Alain Aspect:

"Aspect's work is completely in accord with Quantum Mechanics. In fact it was designed to test a controversial claim of Quantum Mechanics called Bell's Theorem. It would be rejected by relativity, that is what made it controversial.

It isn't known if the effect is purely local within the same frame of reference, or if it truly ignores the space/time continuum, and is instantaneous over any distance."

Again, many details were kept from the readers. Now the last argument presented by the author is the experiment carried out by Silvertooth which showed that the ether exists after all:

"Michelson and Morley's maths was correct, and what Silvertooth proposed is absurd, mathematically. Also, it has been shown that he bases his conclusion on a single run, out of many, which was the flawed one.

And the evidence against an ether comes from more than the Michelson-Morley experiment."

The author could have at least mentioned the fact that these were *not* absolute certainties. He is adding to the confusion in the field by his irresponsible writings. He didn't include references for the readers to verify. How can we, lay-men readers, decipher what's possible and what's not, if we're not given all possible means of verification?

On the overall *Computing Grid* theory, here are

some comments:

"I've heard of a set of algorithms for super-computers described that way; it was originally written to help solve some of the problems involved in the Superstring Theory of the cosmos."

"Certain solutions generated so far seem to indicate that several universe systems can co-exist, overlapping dimensionally, but none of those solutions reflect the laws and structure of the Universe that we can observe. They have been rejected for that reason..."

I hope that you will understand the reasons I'm writing this letter. I greatly appreciate FSR. It is full of information, very well documented (generally), and permits readers to make contact with other UFO researchers (the addresses are almost always included). Frankly, I wouldn't want to see this magazine disappear.

So instead of not renewing my subscription, I decided to write and express my opinions: in hope that it was an isolated incident. Ufology has suffered enough battering from mainstream science. If we want respect, as my father always said, we must act respectfully. If we want the scientific community's support, it's time we start adopting the scientific method, and stick to it.

Finally, I wanted to thank you for the time and effort you put into publishing this magazine. Keep up the good work.

Jacques Poulet
404 9th Avenue
Deux-Montagnes, Quebec,
Canada J7R 3M8.

[B]

April 24, 1993.

Dear Gordon,—Thank you for sending on the criticism from Jacques Poulet.

To me, his reaction seems to be an extraordinary one for a lay reader. He sees your acceptance of my article as merely a one-off aberration, which he hopes will not be repeated. He has clearly taken on board the "rejection attitudes" of his scientific friends, lock-stock-and-barrel, in a quite uncritical way, and he even reveals that he considered not renewing his subscription to FSR as a way of protest!

I think it unlikely that readers will let themselves be "put off" by a controversial article. Indeed, I feel that controversial articles will enhance the FSR readership, seeing that, in the present and prevailing climate, controversy is lacking. In general, people will like to compare opposing theories and then to judge for themselves.

Enclosed please find my reply to Jacques Poulet.
Yours sincerely,
Ronald Pearson,
Curbar Edge,
2 Rowlands Close, Bathford, Bath,
Avon, BA1 7TZ.