

# MAIL BAG

Correspondence is invited from our readers, but they are asked to keep their letters short. Unless letters give the sender's full name and address (not necessarily for publication) they cannot be considered. The Editor would like to remind correspondents that it is not always possible to acknowledge every letter personally, so he takes this opportunity of thanking all who write to him.

## The name of the game

Dear Mr. Bowen,—I have read Philip Creighton's article (FSR 24/1) with the greatest interest but only a modicum of understanding. I am no scientist. But with every issue of FSR it seems to me that the plot thickens. Mr. B.J. Hall "jibs at the concept of control of the human race" (p.32), whereas Philip Creighton and Andrew Collins make it abundantly clear, if only unwittingly, that this is what the game is all about.

Thus Andrew Collins: UFO entities "reproduce through humans" (p.10) and "they gave the child Kevin a lot of things to do when he grows up" (p.15); and Philip Creighton: "minds can manipulate objective reality," etc. (p.19).

History is one long story of the control of the many by the few. If the few are divinely inspired, well and good. If not ...! For a great many years (my letter in FSR 2/4 1956 refers) I have warned against just this sort of control by demonic powers. The pace is now hotting up and I hope that my book *UFO's and the Christian* will do something to stop the rot.

Meanwhile, congratulations on maintaining such a high standard of objectivity and integrity through thick and thin.

Yours sincerely,

(Rev.) Eric Inglesby

Spring Cottage, West End Gardens,  
Fairford, Glos. GL7 4JB  
July 14, 1978.

## Multidimensional intelligences?

Dear Mr. Bowen,—As you know, two major hypotheses are currently at loggerheads with each other concerning the UFO phenomenon: the extraterrestrial hypothesis and the human parapsychological hypothesis. Neither of them is convincing.

I therefore would like to propose a third hypothesis which seems to me more acceptable:

Behind the UFO phenomenon hides an *Extradimensional Intelligence* (located in the 5th or 6th dimension). It knows everything about us, who live in a quadridimensional world. It is not malevolent, but it makes fun of us by manipulating us as it pleases.

We are probably not the only ones to be so manipulated. All extraterrestrials in our Milky Way galaxy as well as in the other galaxies, whatever the degree of their cerebral development, must also be controlled by this Extra-

dimensional Intelligence. We cannot, however, rule out the possibility that the most advanced among the extraterrestrials or extragalactics graduated to a higher plane of existence and were thus incorporated into the Extradimensional Intelligence long ago. It is possible that we, too, shall end up by being assimilated in the far-off future, say, 2 billion years from now.

The UFO phenomenon is therefore neither of extraterrestrial origin nor of a human parapsychological nature. The UFOs (like the Loch Ness Monster, the Bermuda Triangle and other inexplicable phenomena) are manifestations of the Extradimensional Intelligence whose true nature and intentions will not be revealed to us for at least a billion years.

This Extradimensional Intelligence, however, is controlled in its turn by an Ultradimensional Superintelligence located in an even higher spatiotemporal dimension and so on and so on...to the infinite. In other words, there is an infinite hierarchy of spatiotemporal dimensions and corresponding intelligences. Let's not forget that the Universe is infinitely multidimensional.

Sincerely yours,

Julian H. Kaneko

9, Crêts de Champel,  
CH-1206 Geneva, Switzerland.

July 27, 1978

The following letter, which was datelined Florence, October 28, 1977, has been handed to me by Mr. Creighton for publication as a "Letter to the Editor." It is published here without comment as we cannot possibly know who is right.

— EDITOR

## The Carlo Rossi Case

Dear Mr. Creighton,—With regard to Dr. Marianti's letter (FSR, Vol. 23, No. 1, p. 31) I should like to say something about the case of Carlo Rossi at San Pietro a Vico. I am speaking on behalf of the authors of the book *UFO in Italia* and myself.

From the letter in question and from your comment on same, one has the impression that the version of the Rossi case in the book *UFO in Italia* is wrong (even with regard to the date). On this subject I would like it to be known as follows:

1) The version of the Rossi case given in the book *UFO in Italia* was drawn from the newspaper *La Nazione* of September 29, 1952, where an interview with the eye-witness was published after he had reported his sighting to the *Procura della Repubblica* of Lucca.

2) The sketch which had been published by the same newspaper agrees exactly with what the witness had told the journalist. Therefore it is not correct to say that "the drawing used in the local newspaper was only a journalist's imaginative contribution."

3) The "new version" of the "new sketch" began to spread only in 1975, when the eye-witness, who died long before, was not able to confirm or to deny any longer. Note that none of Carlo Rossi's relatives mentioned the "new facts" at all in the course of the inquiry made by the Gruppo di Ricerca of Prata in January 1973. On the contrary, the son of Carlo Rossi, Sergio, confirmed that all things occurred exactly according to the version given in *La Nazione* of September 29, 1952.

4) The divulger of the "new facts" is Maurizio Rossi, grandson of the witness, who at the time of the sighting was not yet born; his source is Sergio Rossi, his father, who at a certain moment declared that he remembered the "correct version" of the sighting of Carlo Rossi (his father), and it is he who drew the alleged "correct sketch" of the UFO. Unfortunately he is not able to show either the alleged original writing of Carlo Rossi or his alleged original sketch. Note that this unexpected recollection of Sergio Rossi occurred in 1975, two years after that he himself had asserted that the version of *La Nazione* had been completely right.

5) After having got knowledge of the alleged "new facts", the authors of *UFO in Italia* and I took care of publishing them in the *Giornale dei Misteri* (No.66, page 17). Nevertheless, as documents in Carlo Rossi's own handwriting have not been shown, we think that the present assertions of Maurizio and Sergio Rossi have to be accepted with caution. In fact we admit they may have been led (maybe unconsciously) to "rationalize" the old account of their relative, who obviously used words and images according to his intellectual and cultural level. It is our conviction (also shared by the most authoritative ufologists

in the world) that it is advisable to distrust "re-elaborations" carried out after many years, when the eye-witness is dead, above all, when these "re-elaborations" are not based on uncontested evidence. On account of this, the authors of *UFO in Italia* and I think that the old version of this case of San Pietro a Vico (published in the newspaper *La Nazione* and based on the words of the witness himself) is still the one that sticks the most to the facts.

Thanking you in advance for your attention,

With my very best regards,  
Yours sincerely,  
Pier Luigi Sanj  
Via A. Baldesi 21  
50131 Firenze, Italy

#### Alleged official studies

Dear Sir,—With reference to Sir Victor Goddard's letter published in FSR Vol.24, No.1, and in view of the fact that it was I who wrote to him concerning the two alleged official studies, I feel that a reply is necessary.

Sir Victor is in an unrivalled position to make his six statements, and because of that I generally accept what was stated. However, I take exception to the fact that he seems to think that I represent yet another

case of a serious investigator chasing a wild and baseless rumour.

May I ask Sir Victor how one is to assess the validity of a rumour, without first investigating the formulation of the rumour, and making attempts to gain as much information as possible (whether it be positive or negative) relevant to the rumour's subject matter, on which a final decision (which may not even be *that* final) can be taken as to whether it is an unfounded rumour or fact (probably based on circumstantial evidence)?

It was because of my attempt to gain information on the two alleged official studies that I wrote to Sir Victor.

Whilst on the subject of the alleged official studies into UFOs, there are two rumours currently circulating that may be of interest to FSR readers.

Apparently, during a daily newspaper's front page coverage of UFOs a few months ago, a reporter had interviewed a Ministry of Defence spokesman who let slip that there was a secret government UFO study centre based in Acton, West London. This was not printed in the newspaper but was mentioned to the data research co-ordinator of the UFO organisation of which I am a member, who in turn told me. The only clue I

can find which may be relevant to this matter, is that in Kelly's Post Office London Street Directory of 1977, under Bromyard Avenue, Acton, W.3, is a list of various government departments among which is one quite simply entitled — Air Ministry. Maybe the P.O. have not realised that this department ceased to use this name years ago.

The second rumour concerns a government department in Cheltenham — The Department of Aerial Studies, (ND HQ) — which (if it exists) supposedly has close links with the CIA, NORAD, BBC Intelligence Units and the Metropolitan Police, amongst others. In one particular — and esoteric — UFO periodical there was published recently a supposed copy of one of the Department's classified memoranda listing UFO contactees for the year ending the 22 February 1978. Fact or fiction — who *can* tell?

It is all too easy to dismiss such things because there is no proof in support of their truthfulness, but then again, what proof exists to confirm that they *are* wild and baseless rumours?

Yours faithfully,  
Paul Pinn,  
64 West Hallowses, Mottingham,  
London, SE9 4EX.  
July 14, 1978.

## PERSONAL COLUMN

£0.30 (\$0.65) per line or part, i.e. £1.20 (\$2.60) for 4 lines, and so on.

"UFO's AND THE CHRISTIAN" (Regency) by Eric Inglesby. Foreword by Bishop Bardsley. £2.50 direct from Spring Cottage, Fairford, Glos. An essential Encounter.

WANTED: 1955-56 issues of FSR, paying up to £20.00 (PLEASE NOTE: BRITISH POUNDS) \$40.00 to \$50.00 PER ISSUE FOR MINT CONDITION. Bradford Johnson, P.O. Box 83, Allston, Mass 02134, U.S.A.

THE BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION f. 1962) continues to publish a lively journal six times a year, investigate UK reports, hold monthly lectures in London and sponsor a national research conference. Please send (9"x5") S.A.E. for details of membership, meetings and publications to: Miss Betty Wood, 6 Cairn Avenue, London W5 5HX.

BRITISH UFO SOCIETY Membership £4.00. Includes T-shirt, card, photos, information. 47, Belsize Square, London NW3.

THE BRITISH FLYING SAUCER BUREAU (1953) are at the moment looking for people to represent them in the United Kingdom and overseas. Anyone interested, male or female and of any nationality, must speak and write as representatives for us. They would have to investigate UFO reports, translate and send on any news clippings they may get and, all important, where possible co-operate with local

groups. Write for application form to: T. Hooper, Deputy Head I/R BFSB, R.I.C. BUFORA, c/o 20 VINNY AVE., BLACKHORSE ESTATE, DOWNEND, BRISTOL, U.K.

CLOSE ENCOUNTERS OF THE NORTHERN KIND: 16-page booklet on the UFO phenomenon and the north of England. Includes cases, research notes, official attitudes, address and bibliography. A complete picture of ufology in the region. Available 40p (post inclusive) from: Jenny Randles, 23 Sunningdale Drive, Irlam, Salford M30 6NJ.

CORRESPONDENTS WANTED from many different countries to exchange information, newsclippings, and ideas on UFOs and related phenomena in an upcoming UFO journal. The new publication will have an extensive international section, covering 100 to 200 pages using own offset printing equipment. Free subscriptions to selected active correspondents. L. Whitehurst, P.O. Box 45673, Dallas, Texas 75245, U.S.A.

YOUR CLIPPINGS of newspaper items are very welcome. We apologise here for being generally unable to acknowledge these items as the pressure of work on our tiny staff and on our postage resources is too great. However, please do not be deterred by this seeming lack of courtesy. We really do appreciate anything you care to send.