

# UNANSWERED QUESTIONS

No. 5 . . . SCULLY

**I**N 1950 Frank Scully's famous book, *Behind The Flying Saucers*, was published in the U.S.A. In 1953 it was re-issued by Victor Gollancz in Britain.

One of the earlier flying saucer books, its author and the work itself were subjected to considerable ridicule by both the Press and the public.

Possibly, the chief reasons for this reaction to the book were: (1) it was an early book on the subject and saucers had not been in the public eye for 10 years, as is the case today (taking 1947, the year of the Arnold sighting, as the start of modern saucer interest), (2) Scully states in his book through the mysterious scientist (afterwards identified as Silas M. Newton) who lectured on March 8, 1950, to students at the University of Denver, that four flying saucers had actually landed on this earth (as at 1950) and that little men were found to be in them. It was very easy to cause ridicule to flow on to a book of this nature.

Three of the four saucers, the lecturer stated, had been captured and inspected by men in geophysical research. Sixteen men, measuring between thirty-six inches to forty inches in height, were taken dead from the first craft. Their bodies had been charred to a dark-brown colour. This saucer was said to have landed near Aztec, New Mexico.

Sixteen men were also found in the second craft. These, however, had not suffered from burns and were all of fair complexion. Otherwise, they were like the men in the first ship. No different from us, except for height and lack of beards.

The third ship was also manned and the men in it were also dead. This one, a small saucer, 36 ft. in diameter, had a crew of only two. These had died while attempting to climb out of their cabin.

He went on to say that in construction the saucers were quite different to anything we had designed. "There was not a rivet, nor a bolt, nor a screw in any of the ships."

The scientist made no reference to the means of propulsion beyond "that the craft presumably operated on lines of magnetic force and the de-

signers had conquered the problem of how to switch from Venus (which is positive) to this earth (which is positive), and which therefore repel each other.

As he neared the end of his lecture, he told of the discovery of a fourth saucer, which members of his group stumbled on near a government proving ground. It was unoccupied at the moment.

Although the ships apparently had no doors, they did, however, have portholes. One was broken, and it had a hole about the thickness of a pencil. Through this had rushed either gases or air with such speed that it burned the 16 passengers inside to a brown crisp.

There were two or three instruments which the scientists judged to be timepieces. It took 29 days for the instrument to make a complete circumference. This was their first clue that there might be something between the ship's means of propulsion and magnetism, because a magnetic day is 23 hours and 58 minutes, which works out at 29 days for a magnetic month.

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW readers will recall the news story in Vol. 2, No. 1, Jan.-Feb. issue of 1956, in which a top-ranking V.I.P. told our Special Correspondent that the American authorities had established that flying saucers are manned by visitors from outer space, and that these visitors were trying to work out a method of breathing and staying alive in our atmosphere, before landing and establishing contact. *It was also admitted by the official that on three occasions there had been saucer landings which had proved disastrous for the occupants.* On each of these occasions breathing the heavily oxygenated atmosphere of this earth had literally incinerated the visitors from within, and had burned them to a crisp.

**This information given to "Flying Saucer Review" from such a source seems to completely corroborate the story told by Silas Newton and Dr. Gee in Frank Scully's book!**

On January 11, 1950, Scully addressed 20 questions to the Pentagon. These were published in the American magazine *Variety*. Subsequently, they were reprinted in *The Buffalo Evening News*, *The Christian Science Monitor*, *Fortnight*, *The Canyon Crier*, and in *The Los Angeles Daily Mirror*. Finally, Scully asked them again in his book, *Behind The Flying Saucers*. To date, the Pentagon has never answered them.

**WHY HAVE THEY NEVER BEEN ANSWERED?**

It could be the real reason is that some of the questions were too "hot." And so was Scully's book. Hence the ridicule heaped upon it.